

DEPARTMENT OF STATE**[Public Notice: 12840]****Notice of Determinations; Culturally Significant Objects Being Imported for Exhibition—Determinations: “Raffaella della Olga: Typescripts” Exhibition**

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: I hereby determine that certain objects being imported from abroad pursuant to an agreement with their foreign owner or custodian for temporary display in the exhibition “Raffaella della Olga: Typescripts” at The Sterling and Francine Clark Art Institute, Williamstown, Massachusetts, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be determined, are of cultural significance, and, further, that their temporary exhibition or display within the United States as aforementioned is in the national interest. I have ordered that Public Notice of these determinations be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Reed Liriano, Program Coordinator, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202–632–6471; email: section2459@state.gov). The mailing address is U.S. Department of State, L/DPD, 2200 C Street NW (SA–5), Suite 5H03, Washington, DC 20522–0505.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The foregoing determinations were made pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, Delegation of Authority No. 236–3 of August 28, 2000, and Delegation of Authority No. 523 of December 22, 2021.

Stefanie E. Williams,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Professional and Cultural Exchanges, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2025–18719 Filed 9–25–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD**[Docket No. FD 36873]****Union Pacific Corporation and Union Pacific Railroad Company—Control—Norfolk Southern Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railway Company****AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Decision No. 5 in Docket No. FD 36873; notice of proposed procedural schedule and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (Board) invites public comments on a proposed procedural schedule for this proceeding. On July 30, 2025, Union Pacific Corporation (UPC) and Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) (collectively, Union Pacific) and Norfolk Southern Corporation (NSC) and Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) (collectively, Norfolk Southern) (Union Pacific and Norfolk Southern collectively, Applicants) filed a notice of intent to file an application seeking authority for the acquisition of control by UPC, through its wholly owned subsidiary Ruby Merger Sub 1 Corporation, of NSC and, through it, NS, and for the resulting common control by UPC of UP and NS.

DATES: Written comments on the Board’s proposed procedural schedule are due by October 16, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Any filing submitted in this proceeding, referring to Docket No. 36873, must be filed with the Board either via e-filing on the Board’s website or in writing addressed to: Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each filing must be sent (and may be sent by email only, if service by email is acceptable to the recipient) to each of the following: (1) Secretary of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590; (2) Attorney General of the United States, c/o Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Room 3109, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (3) UP’s representative, Michael L. Rosenthal, Covington & Burling LLP, One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20001; (4) NS’s representative, Raymond A. Atkins, Sidley Austin LLP, 1501 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20005; (5) any other person designated as a Party of Record on the service list; and (6) the administrative law judge assigned in this proceeding, the Hon. Jenifer Soulikias, at alj.soulikias.inbox@stb.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nathaniel Bawcombe at (202) 915–3555. If you require an accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call (202) 245–0245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 30, 2025, concurrent with their notice of intent to file an application, Applicants filed a petition to establish a procedural schedule. Applicants’ proposed procedural schedule provides for a 390-day period between the date an application is filed and the date on

which the Board would serve its final decision on the merits. (Pet., App. A.) Applicants’ proposed schedule includes a longer comment period than the one listed in 49 U.S.C. 11325, extending the due date for written comments to the date that responsive (including inconsistent) applications would be due. Applicants also propose a 90-day period for the filing of responses to comments on the primary application, rebuttals in support of the primary application, responses to protests, requests for conditions, and other opposition, and responses to responsive (including inconsistent) applications. Applicants state that the proposed procedural schedule “is in line with those in prior major merger proceedings,” and provides ample time for comments and the Board’s review. (*Id.* at 2.)

Given the high level of interest in this proceeding, and the potential for numerous and highly complex issues to arise, the Board proposes extending the period to file written comments and providing a corresponding 90-day period to file responses, as Applicants have proposed. The Board also proposes modifications to Applicants’ proposed schedule. Specifically, for preliminary comments from the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), the Board proposes to conform to the time frame set forth in 49 U.S.C. 11325. Additionally, the Board’s proposed schedule provides that any necessary public hearing or oral argument would be held on a date to be determined later in the proceeding.

Therefore, the Board proposes the following procedural schedule:¹

F Primary application and any related application(s) filed.²

F+30 Board notice of acceptance of primary application³ and any related application(s) to be published in the **Federal Register**.⁴

¹ Substantive filings not contemplated by the procedural schedule are disfavored. Evidence attached to filings that are not contemplated by the procedural schedule will not necessarily be considered in any Board decision on the merits.

² “F” designates the filing date of the application, and “F+n” means “n” days following that date.

³ Should the Board reject the primary application as incomplete, the Board would serve a decision rejecting the application by this date and the remainder of the procedural schedule would be nullified.

⁴ Applicants propose that the Board assign an administrative law judge to handle discovery matters by this date. The proposed deadline is unnecessary because the Board’s August 28, 2025 decision assigned and authorized Judge Soulikias to entertain and rule upon discovery matters and to resolve initially all disputes concerning discovery in this proceeding.