

California (“Eco Food Pak”), and Ningbo Linhua Plastic Co., Ltd. of Xiwu, China (“Ningbo Linhua Plastic”). *Id.* at 37690. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations participated in this investigation. *Id.*

The investigation was terminated with respect to Eco Food Pak based on the entry of a consent order. Order No. 6 (Oct. 1, 2020), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 20, 2020).

On October 6, 2020, the Commission found Ningbo Linhua Plastic in default for failing to respond to the complaint, the notice of investigation, and an order to show cause why it should not be found in default. Order No. 7 (Oct. 6, 2020), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 20, 2020). On February 25, 2021, the Commission issued a LEO against Ningbo Linhua Plastic with respect to claim 9 of the ’281 patent and claims 1, 12, 20, and 21 of the ’680 patent, and terminated the investigation.

On December 4, 2024, Ningbo Linhua Plastic filed a petition to rescind the LEO because the subject patent claims were found unpatentable by the United States Patent and Trademark Office’s (“USPTO”) Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”). Ningbo Linhua Plastic provided the PTAB’s final written decisions that found, *inter alia*, that claim 9 of the ’281 patent and claims 1, 12, 20, and 21 of the ’680 patent are unpatentable, as well as the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s affirmances of those final written decisions and denials of en banc review. Ningbo Linhua Plastic asserted that the unpatentability of the patent claims in the limited exclusion order was final and unappealable and constituted a changed circumstance that warranted rescission of the limited exclusion order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 1337(k)(1) and 19 CFR 210.76.

On December 13, 2024, Complainants filed a response opposing the rescission of the LEO. Complainants argued that the patent claims are valid until the USPTO cancels the claims, which cannot occur until after the time for filing a petition for writ of certiorari expires or the completion of a U.S. Supreme Court appeal.

On January 3, 2025, the Commission instituted a rescission proceeding and temporarily suspended enforcement of the LEO pending the outcome of Complainants’ appeal. On May 27, 2025, the U.S. Supreme Court denied Complainants’ petition for writ of certiorari. On June 26, 2025, the USPTO issued an Inter Partes Review Certificate canceling, *inter alia*, claims 1, 12, 20, and 21 of the ’680 patent. On July 8, 2025, the USPTO issued an Inter Partes

Review Certificate canceling, *inter alia*, claim 9 of the ’281 patent.

The Commission has determined to permanently rescind the LEO. Commission LEOs continue until the conditions that led to the LEO no longer exist or the respondent is no longer in violation of section 337. 19 U.S.C. 1337(k)(1) and (2). Here, the Commission issued the LEO based on the presumption that claim 9 of the ’281 patent and claims 1, 12, 20, and 21 of the ’680 patent were valid, but the USPTO’s cancellation of those claims demonstrates that the condition of validity no longer exists and that Ningbo Linhua Plastic no longer violates section 337. The Commission also finds that the requirements of Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)) are satisfied. The rescission proceeding is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 26, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: September 26, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–19087 Filed 9–30–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1229–1230 (Second Review)]

Monosodium Glutamate From China and Indonesia; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on monosodium glutamate (“MSG”) from China and Indonesia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

DATES: Instituted October 1, 2025. To be assured of consideration, the deadline

for responses is October 31, 2025.

Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by December 11, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rachel Devenney (202–205–3172), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.— On November 26, 2014, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) issued antidumping duty orders on imports of MSG from China and Indonesia (79 FR 70505). Following the first, full five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective November 9, 2020, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty orders on imports of MSG from China and Indonesia (85 FR 71318). The Commission is now conducting its second reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR part 201, subparts A and B, and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are China and Indonesia.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations and its first, full five-year review determinations, the Commission defined a single *Domestic Like Product* consisting of all MSG, coextensive with Commerce's scope.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations and first, full five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* to encompass the sole U.S. producer of MSG, namely Ajinomoto North America, Inc.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the proceeding and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the proceeding as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in § 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the proceeding.

Former Commission employees who are seeking to appear in Commission five-year reviews are advised that they may appear in a review even if they participated personally and substantially in the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the same underlying investigation. The Commission's designated agency ethics official has advised that a five-year review is not the same particular matter as the underlying original investigation, and a five-year review is not the same particular matter as an earlier review of the same underlying investigation for purposes of 18 U.S.C. 207, the post-employment statute for Federal employees, and Commission rule 201.15(b) (19 CFR 201.15(b)), 79 FR 3246 (Jan. 17, 2014), 73 FR 24609 (May 5, 2008).

Consequently, former employees are not required to seek Commission approval to appear in a review under Commission rule 19 CFR 201.15, even if the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the same underlying investigation was pending when they were Commission employees. For further ethics advice on this matter, contact Charles Smith, Office of the General Counsel, at 202–205–3408.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and APO service list.—Pursuant to § 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI submitted in this proceeding available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the proceeding, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the proceeding. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Certification.—Pursuant to § 207.3 of the Commission's rules, any person submitting information to the Commission in connection with this proceeding must certify that the information is accurate and complete to the best of the submitter's knowledge. In making the certification, the submitter will acknowledge that information submitted in response to this request for information and throughout this proceeding or other proceeding may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

Written submissions.—Pursuant to § 207.61 of the Commission's rules, each interested party response to this notice must provide the information specified below. The deadline for filing such responses is 5:15 p.m. on October 31, 2025. Pursuant to § 207.62(b) of the Commission's rules, eligible parties (as specified in Commission rule 207.62(b)(1)) may also file comments concerning the adequacy of responses to

the notice of institution and whether the Commission should conduct expedited or full reviews. The deadline for filing such comments is 5:15 p.m. on December 11, 2025. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of § 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings. Also, in accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the proceeding must be served on all other parties to the proceeding (as identified by either the public or APO service list as appropriate), and a certificate of service must accompany the document (if you are not a party to the proceeding you do not need to serve your response).

Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://edis.usitc.gov>). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117 0016/USITC No. 25–5–657, expiration date June 30, 2026. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

Inability to provide requested information.—Pursuant to § 207.61(c) of the Commission's rules, any interested party that cannot furnish the information requested by this notice in the requested form and manner shall notify the Commission at the earliest possible time, provide a full explanation of why it cannot provide the requested information, and indicate alternative forms in which it can provide equivalent information. If an interested party does not provide this notification (or the Commission finds the explanation provided in the notification inadequate) and fails to provide a complete response to this notice, the Commission may take an adverse

inference against the party pursuant to § 776(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677e(b)) in making its determinations in these reviews.

Information to be Provided in Response to this Notice of Institution: If you are a domestic producer, union/worker group, or trade/business association; import/export *Subject Merchandise* from more than one *Subject Country*; or produce *Subject Merchandise* in more than one *Subject Country*, you may file a single response. If you do so, please ensure that your response to each question includes the information requested for each pertinent *Subject Country*. As used below, the term “firm” includes any related firms.

Those responding to this notice of institution are encouraged, but not required, to visit the USITC’s website at https://usitc.gov/reports/response_noi_worksheet, where one can download and complete the “NOI worksheet” Excel form for the subject proceeding, to be included as attachment/exhibit 1 of your overall response.

(1) The name and address of your firm or entity (including World Wide Web address) and name, telephone number, fax number, and Email address of the certifying official.

(2) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is an interested party under 19 U.S.C. 1677(9) and if so, how, including whether your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of the *Domestic Like Product*, a U.S. union or worker group, a U.S. importer of the *Subject Merchandise*, a foreign producer or exporter of the *Subject Merchandise*, a U.S. or foreign trade or business association (a majority of whose members are interested parties under the statute), or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.

(3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in this proceeding by providing information requested by the Commission.

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the antidumping duty orders on the *Domestic Industry* in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of *Subject Merchandise* on the *Domestic Industry*.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the

Domestic Like Product. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* and producers of the *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* that currently export or have exported *Subject Merchandise* to the United States or other countries after 2019.

(7) A list of 3–5 leading purchasers in the U.S. market for the *Domestic Like Product* and the *Subject Merchandise* (including street address, World Wide Web address, and the name, telephone number, fax number, and Email address of a responsible official at each firm).

(8) A list of known sources of information on national or regional prices for the *Domestic Like Product* or the *Subject Merchandise* in the U.S. or other markets.

(9) If you are a U.S. producer of the *Domestic Like Product*, provide the following information on your firm’s operations on that product during calendar year 2024, except as noted (report quantity data in pounds dry weight MSG and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the *Domestic Like Product* accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm to produce the *Domestic Like Product* (that is, the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix);

(c) the quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s);

(d) the quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(e) the value of (i) net sales, (ii) cost of goods sold (COGS), (iii) gross profit, (iv) selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, and (v) operating income of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s) (include

both U.S. and export commercial sales, internal consumption, and company transfers) for your most recently completed fiscal year (identify the date on which your fiscal year ends).

(10) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* from any *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm’s(s’) operations on that product during calendar year 2024 (report quantity data in pounds dry weight MSG and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of *Subject Merchandise* from each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm’s(s’) imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of *Subject Merchandise* imported from each *Subject Country*; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of *Subject Merchandise* imported from each *Subject Country*.

(11) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the *Subject Merchandise* in any *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm’s(s’) operations on that product during calendar year 2024 (report quantity data in pounds dry weight MSG and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm(s) to produce the *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* (that is, the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix); and

(c) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the *Domestic Like Product* that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* after 2019, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in each *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This proceeding is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 24, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–19126 Filed 9–30–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–432 and 731–TA–1024–1028 (Fourth Review) and AA1921–188 (Sixth Review)]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Brazil, India, Japan, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on prestressed concrete steel wire strand (“PC strand”) from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand, and the antidumping finding on PC strand from Japan, as well as revocation of the countervailing duty order on PC strand from India, would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

DATES: Instituted October 1, 2025. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is October 31, 2025. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by December 11, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jesse Sanchez (202–205–2402), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 8, 1978, the Department of the Treasury issued an antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan (43 FR 57599). The Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) issued a continuation of the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan following Commerce's and the Commission's first

five-year reviews, effective February 3, 1999 (64 FR 40554, July 27, 1999), and second five-year reviews, effective June 25, 2004 (69 FR 35584). On January 28, 2004, Commerce issued antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand (69 FR 4109–4113). On February 4, 2004, Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India (69 FR 5319). In 2009, the Commission conducted grouped first five-year reviews of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the first five-year review of the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the third five-year review of the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan (“2009 reviews”). Following the Commission's 2009 reviews, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan, effective December 11, 2009 (74 FR 65739). Following the Commission's grouped second five-year reviews of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the second five-year review of the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the fourth five-year review of the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan (“2015 reviews”), Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan, effective April 23, 2015 (80 FR 22708). Following the Commission's grouped third five-year reviews of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the third five-year review of the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the fifth five-year review of the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan (“2020 reviews”), Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty orders on imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Mexico, South Korea, and Thailand; the countervailing duty order on imports of PC strand from India; and the antidumping finding on imports of PC strand from Japan, effective November