

available online at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs>. The full text of this decision can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs>. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden “for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees,” pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4). The Commission will publish the required summary of this notice of proposed rulemaking on <https://www.fcc.gov/proposed-rulemakings>, pursuant to The Providing Accountability Through Transparency Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(4).

Channel 277A can be allotted to Hamilton, Alabama consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 7 kilometers (4.4 miles) north of the community at reference coordinates 34–11–50 NL and 88–01–37 WL. Channel 261B1 can be allotted to Coalinga, California consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, without a site restriction at the community’s reference coordinates 36–8–23 NL and 120–21–37 WL. Channel 289A can be allotted to Rocksprings, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 12.1 kilometers (7.5 miles) west of the community at reference coordinates 29–59–52 NL and 100–20–10 WL. Channel 261A can be allotted to Silverton, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 11 kilometers (6.8 miles) northwest of the community at reference coordinates 34–33–34 NL and 101–21–13 WL. Channel 281C2 can be allotted to Spur, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 22.5 kilometers (14 miles) east of the community at reference coordinates 33–26–51 NL and 100–36–59 WL.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a notice of proposed rulemaking is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this

one, which involve channel allotments. *See* 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, *see* 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Nazifa Sawez,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

Proposed Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

■ 2. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under Alabama by revising in alphabetical order an entry for “Hamilton”;

■ 3. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under California by revising in alphabetical order an entry for “Coalinga”;

■ 4. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under Texas in alphabetical order by:

■ a. Revising the entry for “Rocksprings”;

■ b. Revising the entry for “Silverton”; and

■ c. Adding the entry for “Spur”.

The revisions and addition read as follows:

§ 73.202 Table of Allotments.

* * * * *

(b) *Table of FM Allotments.*

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (b)
[U.S. States]

Channel No.				
*	*	*	*	*
Alabama				
*	*	*	*	*
Hamilton				277A
*	*	*	*	*
California				
*	*	*	*	*
Coalinga				261B1

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (b)—
Continued
[U.S. States]

Channel No.				
*	*	*	*	*
Texas				
*	*	*	*	*
Rocksprings				289A
*	*	*	*	*
Silverton				261A
*	*	*	*	*
Spur				281C2
*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2025–20229 Filed 11–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

49 CFR Parts 1241 and 1251

[Docket No. EP 787]

Updating Class I Rail Carrier Reporting Requirements; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Board provides notice that comments in this docket will now be due no later than November 24, 2025, and replies in this docket will be due no later than December 8, 2025.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published September 30, 2025, at 90 FR 46779, is extended. Comments should be received by November 24, 2025, and replies will be due by December 8, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda Gorski, (202) 915–8453. If you require accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call (202) 245–0245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) served and published on September 30, 2025 (90 FR 46779), the Board proposed to terminate Class I carriers’ supplemental reporting of certain Positive Train Control expenditures and to require Class I carriers to report two service metrics on a weekly basis. *Updating Class I Rail Carrier Reporting Requirements*, EP 787, slip op. at 1 (STB served Sept. 30, 2025).

In the NPRM, the Board directed comments to be submitted by October

30, 2025, and replies to be submitted by November 13, 2025. During the shutdown of the federal government from October 1, 2025, through November 12, 2025, all deadlines requiring the submission of materials to the Board, including the deadlines in this proceeding, were tolled. *See Materials Due To Be Submitted During the Fed. Gov't Shutdown*, EP 751, slip op. at 1 (STB served Oct. 1, 2025).

Comments on the NPRM will now be due by November 24, 2025, and replies will be due by December 8, 2025.

Decided: November 14, 2025.

By the Board, Scott M. Zimmerman, Acting Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel.

Brendetta Jones,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2025–20225 Filed 11–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

[Docket No. FWS–HQ–ES–2025–0771;
FXES1111090FEDR–256–FF09E21000]

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Not-Warranted Finding for the Okinawa Woodpecker

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notification of finding.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce a 12-month finding on a petition to list the Okinawa woodpecker (*Dendrocopos noguchii*) as an endangered or threatened species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The Okinawa woodpecker is a relatively large woodpecker endemic to northern Okinawa, Japan. After a thorough review of the best scientific and commercial data available, we find that listing the Okinawa woodpecker as an endangered, or threatened, species is not warranted at this time. However, we ask the public to submit to us, at any time, any new information relevant to the status of the Okinawa woodpecker, or its habitat.

DATES: The finding in this document was made on November 18, 2025.

ADDRESSES: A detailed description of the basis for this finding is available on the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–HQ–ES–2025–0771. Please submit any new information, materials, comments, or questions concerning this

finding to the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rachel London, Manager, Branch of Delisting and Foreign Species, Ecological Services Program, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: ES, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803; telephone: 703–358–2171.

Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), when we receive any petition that we have determined contains substantial scientific or commercial information then we must decide, within 12 months, if the petitioned action is warranted or not (12-month finding). We must make a finding that the petitioned action is: (1) not warranted; (2) warranted; or (3) warranted but precluded by other listing activity. We must publish a notification of the 12-month finding in the **Federal Register**.

Summary of Information Pertaining to the Five Factors

Section 4 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1533) and the implementing regulations at part 424 of title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations (50 CFR part 424) set forth the procedures for: (1) adding species to, (2) removing species from, or (3) reclassifying species on the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (Lists). The Act defines “species” as including any subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plants and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate fish or wildlife which interbreeds when mature. The Act defines an “endangered species” as a species that is in danger of extinction throughout all, or a significant portion, of its range and a “threatened species” as a species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. The Act requires that we determine whether any species is an endangered species or a threatened species because of any of the following factors:

(A) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;

(B) Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;

(C) Disease or predation;

(D) The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or

(E) Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

These factors represent broad categories of natural or human-caused actions or conditions that could have an effect on a species’ continued existence. In evaluating these actions and conditions, we look for those that may have a negative effect on individuals of the species, as well as other actions or conditions that may ameliorate any negative effects or may have positive effects.

We use the term “threat” to refer, in general, to actions or conditions that are known to, or are reasonably likely to, negatively affect individuals of a species. The term “threat” includes actions or conditions that have a direct impact on individuals (direct impacts), as well as those that affect individuals through alteration of their habitat or required resources (stressors). The term “threat” may encompass—either together or separately—the source of the action or condition or the action or condition itself.

However, the mere identification of any threat(s) does not necessarily mean that the species meets the statutory definition of an “endangered species” or a “threatened species.” In determining whether a species meets either definition, we must evaluate all identified threats by considering the species’ expected response to the threats, the effects of the threats, and any actions and conditions that will ameliorate the threats at an individual, population, and species level. We evaluate each threat and its expected effects on the species, then analyze the cumulative effect of all the threats on the species as a whole. We also consider the cumulative effect of the threats along with those actions and conditions that will have positive effects on the species, such as any existing regulatory mechanisms or conservation efforts. The Secretary determines whether the species meets the definition of an “endangered species” or a “threatened species” only after conducting this cumulative analysis and describing the expected effect on the species.

The Act does not define the term “foreseeable future,” which appears in the statutory definition of “threatened species.” Our implementing regulations under 50 CFR, section 424.11(d) set forth a framework for evaluating the “foreseeable future” as a case-by-case basis. This term is further described in