

TABLE 7—ESTIMATED TOTAL COST OF ANNUAL PRA BURDEN—Continued

OMB control No.	Subject of 311	Number of expected respondents	Average total annual burden hours ^a	Total cost per regulation ^b
1506–0071	North Korea	6.35	762.44
1506–0036	Syria	6.35	762.44
Total PRA Burden Hours and Cost			40.22	4,828.80

^a Values are calculated using the three-year average estimate of annual burden hours per respondent multiplied by the number of expected respondents, rounded to nearest hundredth hour. See Table 4.

^b FinCEN applies a standard hourly compensation rate of \$120.07 based on the average hourly cost of labor required to complete BSA-related compliance tasks at financial institutions. See Foreign Shell Bank Renewal at 90 FR 21996.

Under the PRA, FinCEN as a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Records required to be retained under the BSA must be retained for five years.

General Request for Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in a request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (5) estimates of capital or start-up costs, cost of operation and maintenance, and cost involved in purchasing services.

III. Additional Requests for Comment

In connection with a variety of initiatives FinCEN is undertaking to implement the AML Act, FinCEN intends to conduct additional assessments of the PRA burden associated with BSA requirements. To assist with those activities, FinCEN is requesting comments in response to the following additional questions:

1. Because FinCEN cannot directly ascertain the number of covered financial institutions that maintain foreign correspondent accounts at any given time, it relies on the methodology described above to estimate the number of expected respondents per year. Are there alternative information sources or approaches that would provide more accurate estimates? If feasible, please

provide specific references or descriptions.

2. Are FinCEN’s assumptions about how and when expected respondents provide requisite notifications to foreign correspondent account holders consistent with common market practices? If not, are differences in practice significant enough to warrant revised burden and cost estimates? If so, please provide information to support such revision information.

3. The estimates in this notice do not include an itemized cost for technology. Are the incremental costs of third-party technology services or software used to comply with special measures and to document such compliance identifiable and substantial enough that an additional, separate cost estimate is appropriate? If so, please provide information to support general estimation.

4. The estimates in this notice do not include an itemized cost for data storage. Are the incremental costs of technology used to communicate, record, and store the materials necessary to comply with special measures identifiable and substantial enough that an additional, separate cost estimate is appropriate? If so, please provide information to support general estimation.

Andrea M. Gacki,
Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.
 [FR Doc. 2025–22425 Filed 12–9–25; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Appointment of Members of the Legal Division to the Performance Review Board, Internal Revenue Service

Under the authority granted to me as Acting Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service by the General Counsel of the Department of the Treasury by

the Civil Service Reform Act, I have appointed the following persons to the Legal Division Performance Review Board, Internal Revenue Service Panel:

1. Tyler S. Badgley, Deputy General Counsel, Department of the Treasury—Chair
 2. Edward T. Killen, Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities (IRS)
 3. Krishna Vallabhaneni, Tax Legislative Counsel, Office of Tax Policy (Treasury)
- Alternate:* Kevin M. Salinger, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Tax Policy (Treasury)

This publication is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

Kenneth J. Kies,
Chief Counsel (Acting), Internal Revenue.
 [FR Doc. 2025–22418 Filed 12–9–25; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Appointment of Members of the Legal Division to the Performance Review Board, Internal Revenue Service

Under the authority granted to me as Acting Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service by the General Counsel of the Department of the Treasury by General Counsel Directive 15, pursuant to the Civil Service Reform Act, I have appointed the following persons to the Legal Division Performance Review Board, Internal Revenue Service Panel:

1. William M. Paul, Deputy Chief Counsel (Technical)
2. Julie Hanlon-Bolton, Associate Chief Counsel (Income Tax and Accounting)
3. Joseph A. Spires, Division Counsel (Litigation and Advisory)
4. Gary E. Sharp, Associate Chief Counsel (General Legal Services)
5. Edith M. Shine, Associate Chief Counsel (Finance and Management)