Government's evidence in support of its *prima facie* case is confined to Factor E. RFAA, at 4–7.

Evidence is considered under Factor E when it constitutes ''[s]uch other conduct which may threaten the public health and safety.'' 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1)(E). The Agency has consistently found that a lack of candor is proper to consider under Factor E as something that threatens public health and safety. *OakmontScript Limited Partnership,* 87 FR 21516, 21532–33 (2022) (citing *John V. Scalera,* 78 FR 12092, 12093, 12100 (2013); *Jeri Hassman, M.D.,* 75 FR 8194, 8236 (2010)); *see also Annicol Marrocco, M.D.,* 80 FR 28695, 28705 (2015); *Alan H. Olefsky, M.D.,* 76 FR 20025, 20031 (2011) (''Because of the authority conveyed by a registration and the extraordinary potential for harm caused by those who misuse their registrations, DEA places significant weight on an applicant/registrant's candor in the proceeding.'').

Here, as found above, the Agency finds that during his interactions with DEA in 2024, Respondent consistently showed a lack of candor regarding his 2022 fentanyl abuse, subsequent treatment, and reasons for seeing a doctor. *See supra* II. The Agency therefore finds that Factor E weighs towards a finding that Respondent's registration is inconsistent with the public interest.

In sum, the Agency finds that after considering the factors of 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), Respondent's continued registration is ''inconsistent with the public interest.'' 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4). Accordingly, the Government satisfied its *prima facie* burden of showing that Respondent's continued registration would be ''inconsistent with the public interest.'' *Id.* The Agency also finds that Respondent has presented no mitigating evidence to rebut the Government's *prima facie* case. Thus, the only remaining issue is whether, in spite of Respondent's misconduct, Respondent can be trusted with a registration.

IV. Sanction

Where, as here, the Government has met the burden of showing that Respondent's registration is inconsistent with the public interest, the burden shifts to Respondent to show why he can be entrusted with a registration. *Morall,* 412 F.3d. at 174; *Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 830; *Garrett Howard Smith, M.D.,* 83 FR 18882, 18904 (2018).

The issue of trust is necessarily a fact-dependent determination based on the circumstances presented by the individual registrant. *Jeffrey Stein, M.D.,* 84 FR 46968, 46972 (2019); *see also Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 833. Moreover, as past performance is the best predictor of future performance, the Agency requires that a registrant who has committed acts inconsistent with the public interest accept responsibility for those acts and demonstrate that he will not engage in future misconduct. *See Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 833; *ALRA Labs, Inc.* v. *Drug Enf't Admin.,* 54 F.3d 450, 452 (7th Cir. 1995). The Agency requires a registrant's unequivocal acceptance of responsibility. *Janet S. Pettyjohn, D.O.,* 89 FR 82639, 82641 (2024); *Mohammed Asgar, M.D.,* 83 FR 29569, 29573 (2018); *see also Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 830–31.

In addition, a registrant's candor during the investigation and hearing has been an important factor in determining acceptance of responsibility and the appropriate sanction. *See Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 830–31; *Hoxie,* 419 F.3d at 483–84. Further, the Agency considers the egregiousness and extent of the misconduct as significant factors in determining the appropriate sanction. *See Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy,* 881 F.3d at 834 & n.4. The Agency also considers the need to deter similar acts by a registrant and by the community of registrants. *Jeffrey Stein, M.D.,* 84 FR at 46972–73.

Here, although Respondent initially requested a hearing, the proceedings were terminated in the prehearing stage on the basis that Respondent's prehearing statements were ''wholly unsatisfactory.'' *See* RFAA, at 1–2; RFAAX 4–5. Moreover, Respondent did not otherwise avail himself of the opportunity to refute the Government's case. As such, Respondent has not accepted responsibility for the proven violations, has made no representations regarding his future compliance with the CSA, and has not demonstrated that he can be entrusted with registration.

Accordingly, the Agency will order the revocation of Respondent's registration.

Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. FH6657716 issued to Mark Huff, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Mark Huff, M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Mark Huff, M.D., for additional registration in Utah. This Order is effective February 17, 2026.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on January 6, 2026, by Administrator Terrance C. Cole. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2026–00621 Filed 1–14–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

Respondent's compliance or non-compliance with laws related to controlled substances. 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1)(B), (D). As to Factor C, there is no evidence in the record that Respondent has been convicted of an offense under either Federal or State law ''relating to the manufacture, distribution, or dispensing of controlled substances.'' 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1)(C). However, as Agency cases have noted, ''the absence of such a conviction is of considerably less consequence in the public interest inquiry'' and is therefore not dispositive. *Dewey C. MacKay, M.D.,* 75 FR 49956, 49973 (2010).

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1122–0001]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection Requested; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection

AGENCY: Office on Violence Against Women, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until March 16, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Written comments and/or suggestion regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to Tiffany Watson, Office on Violence Against Women, at

202–307–6026 or *Tiffany.Watson@usdoj.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Evaluate whether, and if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act as Amended, STOP Formula Grant Program.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number:* 1122–0001. U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The affected public includes STOP formula grantees (50 states, the District of Columbia and five territories (Guam, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Virgin Islands, Northern Mariana Islands)). The STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program was authorized through the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 and reauthorized and amended in 2000, 2005, 2013 and 2022. The purpose of the STOP Formula Grant Program is to promote a coordinated, multi-disciplinary approach to improving the criminal justice system's response to violence against women. It envisions a partnership among law enforcement, prosecution, courts, and victim advocacy organizations to enhance victim safety and hold offenders accountable for their crimes of violence against women. OVW administers the STOP Formula Grant Program funds, which must be distributed by STOP state administrators according to statutory formula (as amended in 2000, 2005, 2013, and 2022).

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that it will take the approximately 56 respondents (state administrators from the STOP Formula Grant Program) less than one hour to complete a Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, as amended.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total annual hour burden to complete the Certification is less than 56 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Enterprise Portfolio Management, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W–218, Washington, DC.

Dated: January 12, 2026.

Darwin Arceo,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2026–00610 Filed 1–14–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–FX–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number1122–0032]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection

AGENCY: Office on Violence Against Women, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until March 16, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Tiffany Watson, Office on Violence Against Women, at 202–307–6026 or *Tiffany.Watson@usdoj.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Office, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Evaluate whether, and if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Semi-Annual Progress Report for Justice for Families Program.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: 1122–0032. U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The affected public includes the current grantees under the Justice for Families Program. The Justice for Families Program improves the response of all aspects of the civil and criminal justice system to families with a history of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking, or in cases involving allegations of child sexual abuse. Eligible applicants are states,