

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–747 and 731–TA–1725 (Final)]

Overhead Door Counterbalance Torsion Springs From India; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of overhead door counterbalance torsion springs from India, provided for in subheading 7320.20.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), and imports of the subject merchandise from India that have been found to be subsidized by the government of India.^{2,3}

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective October 29, 2024, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by IDC Group, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, Iowa Spring Manufacturing, Inc., Adel, Iowa, and Service Spring Corp., Maumee, Ohio. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of overhead door counterbalance torsion springs from China and India were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on June 11, 2025 (90 FR 24665), as revised on June 23, 2025 (90 FR 26608). The public

hearing in connection with the investigations was cancelled.⁴

The investigation schedules became staggered when Commerce postponed the final determination for its antidumping duty investigation regarding India and aligned the final determination for its countervailing duty investigation regarding India with the corresponding AD investigation, but did not postpone the final determinations in the antidumping and countervailing duty investigations regarding China. On September 30, 2025, the Commission issued final affirmative determinations in its antidumping and countervailing duty investigations of overhead door counterbalance torsion springs from China (90 FR 47820, October 2, 2025). Following notification of final determinations by Commerce that imports of overhead door counterbalance torsion springs from India were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 735(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(a)) and were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)), notice of the supplemental scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s antidumping duty and countervailing duty investigations with respect to India was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 12, 2026 (91 FR 1196).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to §§ 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on February 17, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5702 (February 2026), entitled *Overhead Door Counterbalance Torsion Springs from India: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–747 and 731–TA–1725 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 17, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–03325 Filed 2–19–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–621 and 731–TA–1447 (Review)]

Ceramic Tile From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on ceramic tile from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 1, 2025 (90 FR 18694) and determined on August 4, 2025, that it would conduct expedited reviews (90 FR 45054, September 18, 2025).³

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 17, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5687 (February 2026), entitled *Ceramic Tile from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–621 and 731–TA–1447 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 17, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–03350 Filed 2–19–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain NAND and DRAM Memory Chips, DN 3885*; the Commission is soliciting comments on

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² 90 FR 61366 and 61369 (December 31, 2025).

³ The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on overhead door counterbalance torsion springs from India.

⁴ 90 FR 39420 (August 15, 2025).

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner David S. Johanson dissenting.

³ Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the Commission tolled its schedule for this proceeding.