

August 10, 1999); is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997); is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note); and does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

Because this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the APA or any other statute, it is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, the EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 26, 2026.

Michael Martucci,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Environmental Protection Agency amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(42)(xiii)(H) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(42) * * *

(xiii) * * *

(H) Previously approved on June 3, 1980, in paragraph (c)(42)(xiii)(C) of this section and now deleted with replacement in paragraph (c)(610)(i)(D)(7) of this section: Rule 702.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2026–06386 Filed 4–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R01–OAR–2026–0653; FRL–13092–02–R1]

Air Plan Approval; Connecticut; New Source Review Permit Program State Plan Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Connecticut. This revision clarifies applicability for stationary sources in nonattainment areas through Connecticut’s New Source Review (NSR) permit program. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act.

DATES: This rule is effective on May 4, 2026.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R01–OAR–2026–0653 at <https://www.regulations.gov> website. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Region 1 Regional Office, Air and Radiation Division, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, Boston, MA. EPA requests that, if at all possible, you contact the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andre Turner, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1 Office of Air and Radiation Division, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA

02109, Phone number: (617) 918–1216, Email: turner.andre@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background and Purpose
- II. Final Action
- III. Incorporation by Reference
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background and Purpose

On November 28, 2025 (90 FR 54609), EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) for the State of Connecticut. The NPRM proposed approval of revisions to Connecticut’s New Source Review (NSR) permit program, primarily to clarify applicability for stationary sources in nonattainment areas.

The formal SIP revision was submitted by The Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CT DEEP) on October 3, 2024.¹ CT DEEP submitted a SIP revision containing changes to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (RCSA) sections 22a–174–3a(a)(2)(C)(ii), 22a–174–3a(l)(1)(B) and 22a–174–3a(l)(1)(C). These revisions to RCSA became effective, as a matter of state enforceability, on March 14, 2024.

The first revision made by CT DEEP is to RCSA section 22a–174–3a(a)(2)(C)(ii), which references the federal definition of a “non-road engine.” The citation to 40 CFR part 89 in this clause was amended to 40 CFR 1068.30 in order to reflect the correct citation after EPA renumbering. The two other revisions relate to subsection (l) of RCSA section 22a–174–3a, which addresses permit requirements for nonattainment areas. Subparagraph (B) of subdivision (1) of subsection (l) is amended to clarify how the subsection applies to modifications. The revised text provides more detail on how changes to an existing unit may be considered a major modification. The amended text also includes references to the states’ significant emission rate thresholds at Table 3a(k)–1. In subparagraph (C) of the same subdivision, the text is revised to add the phrase “for such pollutant” after “nonattainment area” for clarity.

The rationale for EPA’s proposed action is explained in the NPRM and will not be restated here. No public comments were received on the NPRM.

¹ The Connecticut SIP cover letter lists a submittal date of June 27, 2024, however EPA received this through the online submittal system State Planning Electronic Collaboration System (SPECS) on October 3, 2024.

II. Final Action

EPA is approving the changes to RCSCA sections 22a–174–3a(a)(2)(C)(ii), 22a–174–3a(l)(1)(B) and 22a–174–3a(l)(1)(C) as a revision to the Connecticut SIP.

III. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the RCSCA section 22a–174–3a described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region 1 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the State implementation plan, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.²

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Clean Air Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United

States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by *June 1, 2026*. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 23, 2026.

Mark Sanborn,

Regional Administrator, EPA Region 1.

For the reasons stated in the preamble the Environmental Protection Agency amends part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to read as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart H—Connecticut

■ 2. In § 52.370(c), amend the table by revising the entry “22a–174–3a”.

§ 52.370 Identification of plan.

*	*	*	*	*
(c)	*	*	*	*

² 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

EPA-APPROVED CONNECTICUT REGULATIONS AND STATUTES

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date ¹	Explanations
22a-174-3a ...	Permit to construct and operate stationary sources.	March 14, 2024 ...	4/2/2026 [91 FR [Insert Federal Register page where the document begins], 4/2/2026.	This revision adds 22a-174-3a(a)(2)(C)(ii), 22a-174-3a(l)(1)(B), and 22a-174-3a(l)(1)(C) to update and correct citations to EPA rules; clarifications to the applicability of nonattainment New Source Review for new major sources and major modifications at existing major sources.

¹ To determine the EPA effective date for a specific provision listed in this table, consult the **Federal Register** notice cited in this column for the particular provision.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2026-06384 Filed 4-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA-R05-OAR-2025-0165; FRL-12974-02-R5]

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Muskingum River 2010 Sulfur Dioxide Redesignation and Maintenance Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finds that the Muskingum River sulfur dioxide (SO₂) nonattainment area, located in Center Township in Morgan County and Waterford Township in Washington County, Ohio, (hereafter referred to as “Muskingum River area”) is attaining the 2010 SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). The EPA is acting in accordance with Ohio’s March 31, 2025, request to redesignate the Muskingum River area from nonattainment to attainment for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS because the request meets the statutory requirements for redesignation under the Clean Air Act (CAA). The EPA is also approving Ohio’s maintenance plan for the area and Ohio’s Director’s Final Findings and Orders (DFFOs) issued to Globe Metallurgical, Inc. (Globe Metallurgical) on March 26, 2025, as part of Ohio’s federally enforceable State Implementation plan (SIP).

DATES: This final rule is effective on April 2, 2026.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2025-0165. All documents in the docket are listed on

the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI), Proprietary Business Information (PBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either through <https://www.regulations.gov> or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gina Harrison, Air and Radiation Division (AR18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, telephone number: (312) 353-6956, email address: harrison.gina@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

On June 3, 2011, Ohio submitted its recommendations to the EPA to redesignate certain areas of the State as attainment, nonattainment, or unclassifiable for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS. Ohio recommended that the area located in southeastern Ohio that includes Center Township in Morgan County and Waterford Township in Washington County, the Muskingum River area, be designated as nonattainment for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS. The EPA concurred with Ohio’s analysis and, on August 15, 2013 (78 FR 47191), published a final action designating the Muskingum River area as nonattainment of the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS, effective October 4, 2013.

Under section 192(a) of the CAA, States are also required to submit attainment plans to demonstrate that the respective areas will attain the NAAQS

as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than five years from the effective date of designation. Ohio submitted statewide nonattainment area SIPs to the EPA on April 3, 2015, and October 13, 2015, and submitted supplemental attainment plans for the Muskingum River area on June 24, 2020, July 28, 2022, and May 23, 2023. The EPA approved Ohio’s attainment plan for the Muskingum River area on September 8, 2023 (88 FR 61969).

On October 2, 2025 (90 FR 47686), the EPA proposed to redesignate the Muskingum River area from nonattainment to attainment for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS in accordance with Ohio’s March 31, 2025, request. The EPA determined that the area is attaining the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS and that the improvement in air quality is due to permanent and enforceable SO₂ emission reductions in the area. The EPA also proposed to approve Ohio’s maintenance plan, which is designed to ensure continued attainment of the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS. Finally, the EPA proposed to approve and incorporate by reference Ohio’s DFFOs issued to Globe Metallurgical on March 26, 2025, which set forth emission limits and other requirements that will provide for attainment of the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS in this area.

II. Response to Public Comments

The EPA received two adverse comments on this action. Summaries of the adverse comments and the EPA’s responses are provided below. All comments submitted during the public comment period are available in the docket of this action.

Comment: One commenter stated that the docket materials available to the public did not include the complete, machine-readable AERMOD/AERMET/BPIPPRM input and output files, the meteorological data files used, or the underlying spreadsheets for the base-