

by the frontline personnel before a decision was made?

5. How would you describe your overall experience during the frontline adjudication of your or your client's application? Did you appeal the frontline decision? If so, did you have a hearing on your or your client's application before an ALJ or another agency adjudicator?

6. If you appealed the frontline decision, did you have a hearing before an ALJ or another agency adjudicator? If you had a hearing before an ALJ or another agency adjudicator, how did the adjudicator consider the frontline decision and consider the record compiled by frontline personnel? Did you receive clear information before or during the hearing about how the adjudicator would consider the frontline decision and record when deciding the appeal?

7. Are you aware of agency policies (e.g., rules, guidance, manuals, memorandums) that govern how frontline personnel interact with applicants or how they interact with other ALJs or other agency adjudicators who may hear appeals from frontline decisions?

8. Are you aware of agency policies that govern the following:

- a. Development and communication of relevant policies (including training);
- b. Assuring adequate input from the applicant;
- c. Identifying and reducing administrative burdens in the evidence-gathering process;
- d. Quality assurance and strategies for promoting timeliness; and
- e. Standards governing appeals.

10. Are there any agency policies regarding frontline decision making that you think are especially beneficial? In your experience, are there any agency policies regarding frontline decision making that have presented challenges, are unclear, or are applied inconsistently?

11. Are there particular facts, situations, concerns or goals that you think would be helpful for agencies to consider when they develop and implement policies on frontline decision making? For example, how should agencies:

- a. Strike a balance between allowing frontline decision makers flexibility to decide individual cases fairly, accurately, and efficiently while ensuring policies are applied consistently across all cases?
- b. Leverage the on-the-ground experience and expertise of frontline personnel when formulating policies or managing programs;

c. Strike a balance between efficient and timely decision making and fair and accurate decision making?

d. Use automation, including but not limited to artificial intelligence?

*Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 595.

Dated: April 22, 2026.

**Shawne C. McGibbon,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2026-08118 Filed 4-24-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6110-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments are requested regarding; whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments regarding this information collection received by May 27, 2026 will be considered. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service

*Title:* Restricted, Prohibited, and Controlled Importation of Animal and Poultry Products and Byproducts, Into the United States.

*OMB Control Number:* 0579-0015.

*Summary of Collection:* The Animal Health Protection Act of 2002 (Title X, Subtitle E, Sec. 10401-18 of PL 107-171) is the primary Federal law governing the protection of animal health. The law gives the Secretary of Agriculture broad authority to detect, control, or eradicate pests or diseases of livestock or poultry. Disease prevention is the most effective method for maintaining a healthy animal population and for enhancing the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service's (APHIS') ability to bolster the United States' capability to compete globally in animal and animal product trade. In connection with this mission, APHIS enforces regulations regarding both the importation of controlled materials and the prevention of foreign animal disease incursions into the United States. These regulations can be found at title 9, chapter I, subchapter D, parts 94, 95, 96, and 122 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR). APHIS is responsible for protecting the health of our Nation's livestock and poultry populations by preventing the introduction and interstate spread of serious diseases and pests of livestock and for eradicating such diseases from the United States when feasible. Swine Vesicular Disease (SVD) is a highly contagious disease that resists both environmental factors and common disinfectants. SVD rarely results in mortality in infected swine and does not cause severe production losses. However, the disease can have a major economic impact since eradication is costly and SVD-free regions often prohibit imports of swine, pork, and pork products from affected regions.

*Need and Use of the Information:* APHIS will collect information to ensure that imported items do not present a disease risk to the livestock and poultry populations of the United States. The information collected will provide APHIS with critical information concerning the origin and history of the items destined for importation into the United States. If this information were collected less frequently or not collected, the United States would be at increased risk for the introduction of FMD, ASF, CSF, swine vesicular disease (SVD), ND, or HPAI. This would cause serious economic consequences to several United States livestock industries and potentially serious health

consequences for United States livestock. A certificate must be completed and signed by the issuing official and contains such information as the origin of the meat used in the product, the name and location of the facility that processed the product, and the product's intended destination. APHIS regulations contain specific requirements for the processing, recordkeeping, and certification procedures for pork-filled pasta products exported to the United States from SVD-affect regions. Without the information, it would significantly cripple APHIS' ability to ensure that pork-filled pasta from certain regions poses a minimal risk of introducing SVD into the United States.

*Description of Respondents:* Business or other for-profit; Federal Government.

*Number of Respondents:* 25,806.

*Frequency of Responses:* Reporting; Third-Party; On occasion.

*Total Burden Hours:* 101,504.

**Rachelle Ragland-Greene,**

*Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2026-08124 Filed 4-24-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-34-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-230-2026]

#### Foreign-Trade Zone 255; Application for Subzone; Fisher BioServices; Frederick, Maryland

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, grantee of FTZ 255, requesting subzone status for the facility of Fisher BioServices, located in Frederick, Maryland. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on April 22, 2026.

The proposed subzone (3.72 acres) is located at 4650 New Design Road, Frederick, Maryland. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time. The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 255.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Juanita Chen of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be

addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: [ftz@trade.gov](mailto:ftz@trade.gov). The closing period for their receipt is June 8, 2026. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted through June 22, 2026.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the "Online FTZ Information Section" section of the FTZ Board's website, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Juanita Chen at [juanita.chen@trade.gov](mailto:juanita.chen@trade.gov).

Dated: April 22, 2026.

**Juanita Chen,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2026-08127 Filed 4-24-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-502]

#### Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024-2025

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that certain companies subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) March 1, 2024, through February 28, 2025. Additionally, Commerce is rescinding the review, in part, with respect to 28 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable April 27, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0198.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 11, 1986, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (CWP) from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> On March

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order; Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986) (*Order*).

4, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order* for the POR.<sup>2</sup> On April 28, 2025, based on timely requests for an administrative review, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*.<sup>3</sup> On May 22, 2025, Commerce selected Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd. (Saha Thai) for individual examination as the sole mandatory respondent in this administrative review.<sup>4</sup> On March 4, 2026, Commerce notified interested parties of our intent to rescind this administrative review with respect to the 28 companies that had no suspended entries during the POR.<sup>5</sup>

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.<sup>7</sup> On February 2, 2026, Commerce extended the time limit for these preliminary results to April 8, 2026.<sup>8</sup>

For a complete description of the events following the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup> A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is

<sup>2</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 90 FR 11155, 11156 (March 4, 2025).

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 17568, 17571 (April 28, 2025).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated May 22, 2025.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Intent to Partially Rescind Review," dated March 4, 2026 (Intent to Rescind Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated November 14, 2025.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, "Tolling of all Case Deadlines," dated November 24, 2025.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated February 2, 2026.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand; 2024-2025," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).