

If you submit a comment at <https://www.regulations.gov>, your entire comment, including any personal identifying information, will be posted on the website.

If you submit a comment via U.S. Mail that includes personal identifying information, such as your address, phone number, or email address, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

The Service provides this notice under section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations and the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (43 CFR 46).

Brian Croft,

Field Supervisor, Palm Springs Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2026-08579 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[A2407-014-004-065516; #O2509-014-004-125222; LLNM922000]

Proposed Reinstatement of BLM New Mexico Terminated Oil and Gas Leases: NMNM128371 and NMNM128376

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of lease reinstatement.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (MLA), as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received petitions for reinstatement of terminated competitive oil and gas leases NMNM128371 and NMNM128376 from R & R Royalty Ltd (lessee). The lessee timely filed petitions for reinstatement of the competitive oil and gas leases located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The lessee paid the required rentals accruing from the date of termination. No leases have been issued that affect these lands. The BLM proposes to reinstate the leases.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Klein, Natural Resource Specialist, Branch of Fluid Minerals, Bureau of

Land Management New Mexico State Office, 301 Dinosaur Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508, (505) 954-2143, rklein@blm.gov. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lessee agrees to new lease terms for rental of \$20 per acre, or fraction thereof, per year, and a royalty rate of 20 percent. The lessee agreed to amended lease stipulations and notices. The lessee paid the required administration fees and has reimbursed the BLM for the cost of publishing this notice. The lessee meets the requirements for reinstatement of the leases per Sec. 31 (d) and (e) of the MLA (30 U.S.C. 188). The BLM is proposing to reinstate leases NMNM128371 and NMNM128376, effective July 1, 2021, for no greater than 2 years due to them being in their extended term, subject to: the original terms and conditions of the lease; amended lease terms; increased rental of \$20 per acre; and increased royalty of 20 percent.

(Authority: 30 U.S.C. 188 (e)(4) and 43 CFR 3108.23)

Michael J. Gibson,

Deputy State Director, Minerals.

[FR Doc. 2026-08563 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4331-23-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1474]

Certain Screen Protectors, Screen Protector Systems, and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting complainant Superior Communications Inc.’s motion to amend the complaint and notice of

investigation (“NOI”) to assert claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 10,021,818 (“the ‘818 patent”), and to withdraw its allegations of infringement as to claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 9,931,823 (the “‘823 patent”), claims 6 and 19 of the ‘818 patent, claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 10,399,315 (the “‘315 patent”), and claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 11,155,067 (the “‘067 patent”).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 7, 2026, based on a complaint filed by Superior Communications Inc. of Irwindale, California (“Complainant”). 91 FR 539-40 (Jan. 7, 2026). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain screen protectors, screen protector systems, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of the ‘818 patent, the ‘823 patent, the ‘315 patent, and the ‘067 patent. *Id.* at 539. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names two respondents: (1) Belkin International, Inc. of El Segundo, California and (2) Belkin Inc. of El Segundo, California (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 540. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

On April 3, 2026, Complainant moved to amend the complaint and NOI to assert claim 9 of the ‘818 patent, and to withdraw its allegations of infringement as to claim 6 of the ‘823 patent, claims 6 and 19 of the ‘818 patent, claim 6 of the ‘315 patent, and claim 6 of the ‘067

patent. Respondents did not oppose the motion.

On April 8, 2026, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 6) granting pursuant to Commission Rules 210.14(b) and 210.42(c) (19 CFR 210.14(b), 210.42(c)) Complainant's motion to amend the complaint and NOI. The ID finds that good cause exists for amending the complaint and NOI to add claim 9 of the '818 patent because Complainant obtained additional information during discovery that was not previously known to Complainant. The ID also finds that including claim 9 of the '818 patent will not substantially expand the scope of discovery because the '818 patent is already asserted in this investigation, and because Complainant is withdrawing its allegations of infringement as to five other claims.

No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Accordingly, the complaint and NOI are amended to assert claim 9 of the '818 patent, and to withdraw allegations of infringement as to claim 6 of the '823 patent, claims 6 and 19 of the '818 patent, claim 6 of the '315 patent, and claim 6 of the '067 patent.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on April 29, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 29, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-08576 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1446]

Certain Active Electrical Cables and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review Two Initial Determinations Terminating the Investigation as to the Remaining Respondents Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations ("IDs") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") that terminate the remaining respondents Molex, LLC ("Molex") of Lisle, Illinois (Order No. 43) and TE Connectivity Corporation ("TECC") of Berwyn, Pennsylvania (Order No. 44) from the above-captioned investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated as to Molex and TECC and, thus, in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 18, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Credo Semiconductor Inc. of San Jose, California and Credo Technology Group Ltd. of the Cayman Islands (collectively, "Credo"). 90 FR 16551-52 (Apr. 18, 2025). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain active electrical cables and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,877,233 ("the '233 patent"), 11,012,252, and 11,032,111. *Id.* The notice of investigation names three respondents: Amphenol Corporation ("Amphenol") of Wallingford, Connecticut; Molex; and TE Connectivity PLC ("TE PLC") of Galway, Ireland. *Id.* at 16552. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is also named as a party. *Id.*

On June 30, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to replace respondent TE PLC with TECC. Order No. 7 (May 28, 2025), *unreviewed by* 90 FR 27876-77 (June 30, 2025).

On September 24, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondent Amphenol based on settlement. Order No. 18 (Sept. 5, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 24, 2025).

On December 3, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the '233 patent based on withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 21 (Sept. 30, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Dec. 3, 2025).

On March 26, 2026, Credo and Molex filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Molex based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto confidential and public versions of the subject agreement. On April 2, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. Respondent TECC did not file a response.

On March 26, 2026, Credo and TECC filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to TECC based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto confidential and public versions of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondent Molex does not oppose the motion. On April 3, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. Respondent Molex did not file a response.

On April 14, 2026, the ALJ issued both subject IDs (Order Nos. 43 and 44). Order Nos. 43 and 44 grant the unopposed motions to terminate the investigation as to Molex and TECC, respectively, finding that the motions comply with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), and that the proposed settlements do not adversely affect the public interest in accordance with Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Order No. 43 at 2-3; Order No. 44 at 2-3. Order Nos. 43 and 44 further grant the moving parties' requests to limit service of the confidential settlement agreements. Order No. 43 at 3-5; Order No. 44 at 3-5. No petitions for review of the subject IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The investigation is hereby terminated as to the remaining respondents Molex and TECC and, thus, in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on April 29, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.