

producer involved, and any action taken by TVOMB or the industry in response to the complaints. The Bureau noted that the Board could consider issuing an annual report on the complaints it has received, how they were adjudicated, and whether they led to the rating of a program being changed for future airings.

- TVOMB should hold at least one public meeting annually to seek direct public input.

Accuracy of TV Ratings System. The Bureau also made the following suggestions with regard to the accuracy of the ratings being applied pursuant to the TV Parental Guidelines:

- TVOMB should consider random audits or spot checks to analyze the accuracy and consistency of the ratings in addition to the survey data it already collects.

- TVOMB should use this combined data to determine if any changes are needed to the ratings system to ensure they are as helpful as possible to viewers.

The Media Bureau seeks comment on whether and how the Bureau's suggestions, both regarding increased transparency of the TVOMB and accuracy of TV ratings, have been implemented. How does the TVOMB engage with the public and are the steps taken sufficient? Is the composition of the board sufficiently balanced to represent a broad range of stakeholders outside of the entertainment industry? What more could the board do to include family-oriented perspectives, which are not well represented in the media industry, in its ratings process? Should additional faith-based organizations be represented on the TVOMB? Does the current complaint process provide for meaningful public participation?

Is the general public aware of the ratings system and how to provide feedback to the TVOMB? Is the general public aware that the V-chip can be used with the ratings system to block unwanted video programming from reaching children? Do descriptions of the content provide a sufficient basis for parents to make informed decisions concerning viewing decisions for their family, including when gender identity themes are discussed or displayed?

Are parents aware that children watching programs rated TV-Y, TV-Y7, and TV-G may contain the discussion or promotion of gender identity themes? Should such programming be rated differently or contain relevant descriptions so that parents can make informed decisions?

Is there disparity in ratings among different viewing platforms; *i.e.*, is the

same program consistently rated when it airs on broadcasting, MVPDs, and streaming platforms? Are streaming platforms more broadly interpreting what is allowable in categories intended for audiences under TV-Y14? Is objectionable content rated consistently across various programmers? For example, do different networks rate explicit language, suggestive language, and violent programming similarly, or are some networks prone to provide a lesser rating than others?

We seek comment on whether outreach efforts have been successful in providing parents with the information necessary to use the guidelines effectively and to provide feedback to the TVOMB. Commenters should identify the specific recommendation from the 2019 Report about which they are commenting. Comments should include any surveys or data used to support the comments.

Federal Communications Commission.

Thomas Horan,

Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2026-08624 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

[Office of Management and Budget #: 0970-0566]

Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood Performance Measures and Additional Data Collection

AGENCY: Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Family Assistance (OFA), has had administrative responsibility for federal funding of programs that strengthen families through healthy marriage and relationship education and responsible fatherhood programming since 2006 through the Healthy Marriage (HM) and Responsible Fatherhood (RF) grant programs. ACF required the 2015 and 2020 cohorts of HMRF grant recipients to collect and report performance measures about program operations, services, and participants (Office of Management and Budget (OMB) #: 0970-0460 and OMB #: 0970-0566).

ACF will continue performance measure data collection and reporting for a new cohort of HMRF grant recipients that received 5-year awards in September 2025. ACF is requesting comments on a revision to its approved data collection and reporting (OMB #: 0970-0566) to support these activities with the 2025 HMRF grant cohort. This new cohort is expected to begin collecting performance measure data and reporting to ACF in April 2026.

ACF is requesting to extend approval, with the implementation of revisions described below, for 3 years.

DATES: *Comments due June 3, 2026.*

ADDRESSES: The public may view and comment on this information collection request at: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=202604-0970-010. You can also obtain copies of the proposed collection of information by emailing opreinfo@acf.hhs.gov. Identify all requests by the title of the information collection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description: ACF proposes to continue collecting a set of OMB-approved performance measures from all HMRF award recipients to enable program performance monitoring and program improvement activities with the revisions described below.

The HMRF performance measures collect standardized information in the following areas:

- Program operations;
- Service delivery; and
- Participant characteristics and outcomes:
 - Application form
 - Program entrance survey at enrollment, with four versions: (1) HM Adults; (2) HM Youth; (3) RF Community-Based Fathers; (4) RF Reentering Fathers.
 - Program exit survey at program completion, with four versions: (1) HM Adults; (2) HM Youth; (3) RF Community-Based Fathers; and (4) RF Reentering Fathers.

The measures were developed in 2014 after extensive review of the research literature and grant recipients past measures. They were revised in 2020 based on a targeted analysis of existing measures, feedback from key audiences, and discussions with ACF staff and the 2015 cohort of grant recipients. OMB approved these revised measures in 2021; since then, OMB has approved non-substantive changes and a 3-year renewal through March 31, 2027. To prepare for the 2025 cohort, ACF conducted an updated analysis of the measures and obtained feedback from key audiences, including HMRF grant

recipients, federal staff, and program clients.

Based on this feedback and to reduce respondent burden and focus performance measures on ACF's priorities for the 2025 cohort, ACF proposes to:

- Eliminate an applicant characteristics survey administered at program enrollment;
- Modify measures on surveys administered to participants at the start and end of programming;
- The program entrance and program exit surveys will be translated into Spanish. ACF acknowledges that English is the official language and authoritative version of all federal information and will note this on the translated instruments.
- Eliminate the requirement for grant recipients to complete a program operations survey and performance report after the first, second, and third quarters of each grant year;

- Modify the program operations survey and Performance Progress Report (PPR) that grant recipients will submit after the fourth quarter of each grant year.

The OMB-approved PPR has been modified with one version for all programs and other revisions to reflect the updated performance measures.

ACF provides grant recipients with a web-based performance measures system called nFORM (Information, Family Outcomes, Reporting, and Management) to improve the efficiency and quality of data collection and reporting and support grant recipient and federal monitoring and evaluation.

ACF proposes to continue the OMB-approved requirement for grant recipients to document their continuous quality improvement (CQI) planning and implementation using a CQI plan template that is completed outside of the nFORM system. This template had

been included in this information collection in the past, but for the 2025 cohort this requirement will be covered under a separate information collection request.

Respondents: Respondents include HM and RF grant recipient staff and program applicants and participants.

Annual Burden Estimates: The burden estimates have been updated to remove the applicant characteristics survey and CQI planning instruments and reduce required reporting, as described above, and reflect the number of grant recipients and participants that ACF expects for the 2025 cohort. Additionally, the service delivery data burden estimate has been updated to reflect the median program length rather than the average that had been previously used, which better aligns with how grant recipients will report program length.

Instrument	Respondent	Number of respondents (total over request period)	Number of responses per respondent (total over request period)	Avg. burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)	Annual burden (in hours)
1: Program Application and Enrollment.	Program staff (application form).	327	413	0.10	13,505	4,502
	Program staff (entrance survey data entry).	218	124	0.10	2,703	901
	Program applicants (entrance survey).	135,000	1	0.34	45,900	15,300
2: Program Operations	Program staff	109	3	0.32	105	35
3: Service Delivery Data	Program staff	1,635	78	0.36	45,911	15,304
4: Exit Surveys	Participants	87,561	1	0.28	24,516	8,172
	Program staff (exit survey data entry).	218	80	0.10	1,744	581
5: Annual PPR	Program staff	109	3	3	981	327

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 45,122.

Authority: Section 403. [42 U.S.C. 603].

Mary C. Jones,

ACF/OPRE Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026-08577 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-73-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Safe Access for Victims' Economic Security, Data Collection for Safety in Child Support Program Research (New Collection)

AGENCY: Office of Child Support Enforcement, Administration for

Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Request for Public Comments.

SUMMARY: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) is requesting public comments on a proposed information collection as part of the Safe Access for Victims' Economic Security (SAVES) Demonstration research on safety in the child support program. The SAVES Center, responsible for providing technical assistance and conducting evaluation for SAVES, will conduct one-time data collection activities with domestic violence (DV) survivors, advocates, and child support staff to understand their experiences and to identify barriers and promising practices related to safety in the child support program. These activities are part of ACF's efforts to improve safety in the child support program under SAVES.

DATES: *Comments due June 3, 2026.*

ADDRESSES: The public may view and comment on this information collection request at: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=202604-0970-009. You can also obtain copies of the proposed collection of information by emailing infocollection@acf.hhs.gov. Identify all emailed requests by the title of the information collection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description: SAVES is a 5-year demonstration project funded by ACF's Office of Child Support Enforcement that aims to increase safe access to child support, parenting time, and establishment of paternity services for DV survivors.

The project was awarded in September 2022, to child support programs in 12 states and one tribal jurisdiction and is now entering year four. It aims to support grant recipients