

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5106.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2364–000.

Applicants: ORNI 30 LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5107.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2365–000.

Applicants: ORNI 18 LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5109.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2366–000.

Applicants: Ormesa LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5111.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2367–000.

Applicants: ONGP LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5114.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2368–000.

Applicants: Mammoth Three LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5115.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2369–000.

Applicants: Heber Geothermal Company LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5116.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

Docket Numbers: ER26–2370–000.

Applicants: ORNI 50 LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Revisions to Market-Based Rate Tariff to be effective 6/29/2026.

Filed Date: 4/29/26.

Accession Number: 20260429–5118.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 5/20/26.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system (<https://elibrary.ferc.gov/idnws/search/fercgensearch.asp>) by querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene, to protest, or to answer a complaint in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211, 214, or 206 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214, or 385.206) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

For public inquiries and assistance with making filings such as interventions, comments, or requests for rehearing, contact the Office of Public Participation at (202) 502–6595 or OPP@ferc.gov.

Dated: April 29, 2026.

Carlos D. Clay,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–08631 Filed 5–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 19–41; DA 26–392; FR ID 343421]

FCC's Media Bureau Seeks Comment on Further Empowering Parents to Protect Their Children and Make Informed Choices About the TV Programs Their Children Watch

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Media Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission seeks comments on whether and how the Bureau's suggestions to improve the transparency of the Television Oversight Monitoring Board (TVOMB) and the accuracy of TV ratings have been implemented, whether the composition of the TVOMB is sufficiently balanced, and whether content descriptions provide a sufficient basis for parents to make informed decisions concerning viewing decisions for their family, among other issues.

DATES: Comment Date: May 22, 2026.

Reply Comment Date: June 22, 2026.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, reply comments and *ex parte* communications, identified by MB Docket No. 19–41, electronically or on paper. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

for specific information and addresses for electronic or paper filings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Murphy, Nancy.Murphy@fcc.gov, Media Bureau, (202) 418–1043.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Media Bureau's Public Notice entitled *FCC's Media Bureau Seeks Comment on Further Empowering Parents to Protect their Children and Make Informed Choices about the TV Programs their Children Watch* in MB Docket No. 19–41, DA 26–392, that was released April 22, 2026. The full text of this document is available for public inspection online at <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-26-392A1.pdf> and via the search function on the Commission's Electronic Document Management System (EDOCS) web page at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs>. Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format, etc.) and reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) may be requested by sending an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice).

Ex Parte Rules—Permit-But-Disclose. This proceeding shall be treated as a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation.

If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed

consistent with rule 1.1206(b). Written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must, when feasible, be filed through the electronic comment filing system in the docket established for this proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

Filing Requirements—Comments and Replies. Interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS).

- **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs>.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial courier, or by the U.S. Postal Service. All filings must be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- Hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary are accepted between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. by the FCC's mailing contractor at 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.

- Commercial courier deliveries (any deliveries not by the U.S. Postal Service) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.

- Filings sent by U.S. Postal Service First-Class Mail, Priority Mail, and Priority Mail Express must be sent to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

Synopsis

Over the years, Congress and the FCC have acted to empower parents to determine the type of television programming that is appropriate for their children. Indeed, in 1996, Congress found that television programming has a “uniquely pervasive presence in the lives of American children” and “influences children's perceptions of the values and behavior that are common and acceptable in society.” Congress then determined that parents should be provided with timely information about the nature of upcoming video programming and have the ability to block violent, sexual, or

other programming that parents believe is harmful to their children.

In passing this legislation, Congress provided the relevant television and video programming industries with an option. They could establish their own voluntary system for rating video programming or the Commission could establish a ratings system itself. Industry representatives chose to set up their own voluntary system, and the Commission in 1998 found that industry's approach met the relevant statutory criteria. As a result, industry established the TV Oversight Management Board (TVOMB), and developed the TV Parental Guidelines age ratings system that prevails today. The voluntary TV Parental Guidelines overseen by the TVOMB, if properly implemented, can be useful to ensure parents are adequately informed about video programming on television that their children may be exposed to.

The industry's system allows for the display of specific ratings for programs. Programs that are designed to be appropriate for all children, including very young ones, are rated TV-Y. Programs that are designed to be appropriate for children age 7 and above are rated TV-Y7. Programs that are not specifically designed for children but are nonetheless suitable for all ages are rated TV-G. The industry's system also includes ratings for TV-PG, TV-14, and TV-MA. The TV Parental Guidelines also allow content descriptors to be included with the rating, including descriptors to let parents know that programs have sexually suggested dialog (D), fantasy violence (FV), coarse or crude language (L), sexual situations (S), and/or violence (V). The 1996 law also required TV manufacturers to develop technology that allows parents to block material rated as violent, sexual, or otherwise flagged by the ratings system.

Today, both the traditional broadcast TV sector, multichannel video programming distribution services (MVPDs) (*i.e.*, cable and satellite), and streaming platforms use the voluntary ratings system. In recent years, however, significant concerns have been raised about the ratings system. For instance, commenters have raised concerns with the FCC about the accuracy of the ratings, the appropriateness of the ratings, and a shift or ratings creep in which mature, adult, or inappropriate content is being rated as appropriate for young children. The FCC wants to ensure that the ratings system continues to serve the purpose that Congress had in mind, empowering parents to make informed decisions for their children.

Recently, parents have raised concerns that controversial gender

identity issues are being included or promoted in children's programs without providing any disclosure or transparency to parents. Specifically, the industry guidelines that parents rely on are rating shows with transgender and gender non-binary programming as appropriate for children and young children, and doing so without providing this information to parents, thereby undermining the ability of parents to make informed choices for their families. Consistent with Congress's vision for the ratings system, we seek comment on whether the industry's approach is continuing to provide the information that is relevant to parents today.

By passing the relevant legislation in 1996, Congress acted to protect the right of parents to decide when and how to discuss mature topics with their children, and to know if and when their children are being exposed to these topics in their TV viewing. Accordingly, we seek comment here on any changes that can or should be made to the current ratings system to ensure that it is responsive to the issues that parents confront today. This includes any changes that may make sense for the TVOMB to ensure that it is representative of a range of family values. We also ask how ratings can be applied consistently across broadcast, MVPDs, and streaming platforms.

Under the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2019, Congress asked the Commission to report on the accuracy of the voluntary rating system and on the ability of the TVOMB to oversee the ratings system and address public concerns. Following public notice and comment, the Media Bureau submitted a report (2019 Report) to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Accessibility and Transparency of TVOMB. In the 2019 Report, the Bureau made the following suggestions for Board and industry consideration related to increasing accessibility and transparency to the public and increasing awareness of the Board's role:

- TVOMB should increase efforts to promote public awareness of TVOMB and its role in overseeing the rating system. As part of this suggestion, the Bureau urged TVOMB and industry to increase outreach efforts concerning the existence of the rating system and to consider additional ways to publicize the ability of the public to file complaints with TVOMB and provide instructions on how to file complaints.

- TVOMB should consider ways to inform the public of the number of complaints it receives, the nature of the complaints, the program and network or

producer involved, and any action taken by TVOMB or the industry in response to the complaints. The Bureau noted that the Board could consider issuing an annual report on the complaints it has received, how they were adjudicated, and whether they led to the rating of a program being changed for future airings.

- TVOMB should hold at least one public meeting annually to seek direct public input.

Accuracy of TV Ratings System. The Bureau also made the following suggestions with regard to the accuracy of the ratings being applied pursuant to the TV Parental Guidelines:

- TVOMB should consider random audits or spot checks to analyze the accuracy and consistency of the ratings in addition to the survey data it already collects.

- TVOMB should use this combined data to determine if any changes are needed to the ratings system to ensure they are as helpful as possible to viewers.

The Media Bureau seeks comment on whether and how the Bureau's suggestions, both regarding increased transparency of the TVOMB and accuracy of TV ratings, have been implemented. How does the TVOMB engage with the public and are the steps taken sufficient? Is the composition of the board sufficiently balanced to represent a broad range of stakeholders outside of the entertainment industry? What more could the board do to include family-oriented perspectives, which are not well represented in the media industry, in its ratings process? Should additional faith-based organizations be represented on the TVOMB? Does the current complaint process provide for meaningful public participation?

Is the general public aware of the ratings system and how to provide feedback to the TVOMB? Is the general public aware that the V-chip can be used with the ratings system to block unwanted video programming from reaching children? Do descriptions of the content provide a sufficient basis for parents to make informed decisions concerning viewing decisions for their family, including when gender identity themes are discussed or displayed?

Are parents aware that children watching programs rated TV-Y, TV-Y7, and TV-G may contain the discussion or promotion of gender identity themes? Should such programming be rated differently or contain relevant descriptions so that parents can make informed decisions?

Is there disparity in ratings among different viewing platforms; *i.e.*, is the

same program consistently rated when it airs on broadcasting, MVPDs, and streaming platforms? Are streaming platforms more broadly interpreting what is allowable in categories intended for audiences under TV-Y14? Is objectionable content rated consistently across various programmers? For example, do different networks rate explicit language, suggestive language, and violent programming similarly, or are some networks prone to provide a lesser rating than others?

We seek comment on whether outreach efforts have been successful in providing parents with the information necessary to use the guidelines effectively and to provide feedback to the TVOMB. Commenters should identify the specific recommendation from the 2019 Report about which they are commenting. Comments should include any surveys or data used to support the comments.

Federal Communications Commission.

Thomas Horan,

Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2026-08624 Filed 5-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

[Office of Management and Budget #: 0970-0566]

Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood Performance Measures and Additional Data Collection

AGENCY: Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Family Assistance (OFA), has had administrative responsibility for federal funding of programs that strengthen families through healthy marriage and relationship education and responsible fatherhood programming since 2006 through the Healthy Marriage (HM) and Responsible Fatherhood (RF) grant programs. ACF required the 2015 and 2020 cohorts of HMRF grant recipients to collect and report performance measures about program operations, services, and participants (Office of Management and Budget (OMB) #: 0970-0460 and OMB #: 0970-0566).

ACF will continue performance measure data collection and reporting for a new cohort of HMRF grant recipients that received 5-year awards in September 2025. ACF is requesting comments on a revision to its approved data collection and reporting (OMB #: 0970-0566) to support these activities with the 2025 HMRF grant cohort. This new cohort is expected to begin collecting performance measure data and reporting to ACF in April 2026.

ACF is requesting to extend approval, with the implementation of revisions described below, for 3 years.

DATES: *Comments due June 3, 2026.*

ADDRESSES: The public may view and comment on this information collection request at: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=202604-0970-010. You can also obtain copies of the proposed collection of information by emailing opreinfocollection@acf.hhs.gov. Identify all requests by the title of the information collection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description: ACF proposes to continue collecting a set of OMB-approved performance measures from all HMRF award recipients to enable program performance monitoring and program improvement activities with the revisions described below.

The HMRF performance measures collect standardized information in the following areas:

- Program operations;
- Service delivery; and
- Participant characteristics and outcomes:

- Application form
- Program entrance survey at enrollment, with four versions: (1) HM Adults; (2) HM Youth; (3) RF Community-Based Fathers; (4) RF Reentering Fathers.

- Program exit survey at program completion, with four versions: (1) HM Adults; (2) HM Youth; (3) RF Community-Based Fathers; and (4) RF Reentering Fathers.

The measures were developed in 2014 after extensive review of the research literature and grant recipients past measures. They were revised in 2020 based on a targeted analysis of existing measures, feedback from key audiences, and discussions with ACF staff and the 2015 cohort of grant recipients. OMB approved these revised measures in 2021; since then, OMB has approved non-substantive changes and a 3-year renewal through March 31, 2027. To prepare for the 2025 cohort, ACF conducted an updated analysis of the measures and obtained feedback from key audiences, including HMRF grant