

23. RENEWAL—EQT ARO LLC; Pad ID: COP Tr 728 D; ABR—201104001.R3; Cummings Township, Lycoming County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 4.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

24. RENEWAL—EQT ARO LLC; Pad ID: Cynthia M Knispel Pad A; ABR—201103038.R3; Cogan House Township, Lycoming County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 4.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

25. RENEWAL—EQT ARO LLC; Pad ID: Eugene P Nelson Pad A; ABR—201103036.R3; Cascade Township, Lycoming County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 4.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

26. RENEWAL—Expand Operating LLC; Pad ID: Sensinger; ABR—201104002.R3; Franklin Township, Bradford County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 7.5000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

27. RENEWAL—Range Resources—Appalachia, LLC; Pad ID: Bobst Mountain Hunting Club #18H—#23H Drilling Pad; ABR—201103031.R3; Cogan House Township, Lycoming County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 4.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

28. RENEWAL—Repsol Oil & Gas USA, LLC; Pad ID: Wesneski 724; ABR—201007017.R3; Union Township, Tioga County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 6.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 28, 2026.

29. MODIFICATION—Coterra Energy Inc.; Pad ID: GrosvenorD P1; ABR—20100333.R2.1; Dimock Township, Susquehanna County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 6.5000 mgd; Approval Date: April 30, 2026.

30. RENEWAL—Diversified Production LLC; Pad ID: Phoenix S; ABR—201012009.R3; Duncan Township, Tioga County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 3.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 30, 2026.

31. RENEWAL—EQT ARO LLC; Pad ID: COP Tr 728 C; ABR—201104004.R3; Watson Township, Lycoming County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 4.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 30, 2026.

32. RENEWAL—EXCO Resources (PA), LLC; Pad ID: Houseknecht Drilling Pad #1; ABR—201012014.R3; Davidson Township, Sullivan County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 8.0000 mgd; Approval Date: April 30, 2026.

33. RENEWAL—Expand Operating LLC; Pad ID: Barclay; ABR—201103044.R3; Franklin Township, Bradford County, Pa.; Consumptive Use of Up to 7.5000 mgd; Approval Date: April 30, 2026.

Authority: Public Law 91–575, 84 Stat. 1509 *et seq.*, 18 CFR parts 806 and 808.

Dated: May 7, 2026.

Jason E. Oyler,

General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–09313 Filed 5–8–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040–01–P

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Grandfathering Registration Notice

AGENCY: Susquehanna River Basin Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists Grandfathering Registration for projects by the Susquehanna River Basin Commission during the period set forth in **DATES**.

DATES: April 1–30, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Susquehanna River Basin Commission, 4423 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110–1788.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason E. Oyler, General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission, telephone: (717) 238–0423, ext. 1312; fax: (717) 238–2436; email: joyler@srbc.gov. Regular mail inquiries may be sent to the above address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice lists GF Registration for projects, described below, pursuant to 18 CFR part 806, subpart E, for the time period specified above:

1. Danville Municipal Authority—Public Water Supply System, GF Certificate No. GF–202010119, Danville Borough, Montour County, Pa.; Susquehanna River; Reissue Date: April 21, 2026.

Authority: Public Law 91–575, 84 Stat. 1509 *et seq.*, 18 CFR parts 806 and 808.

Dated: May 7, 2026.

Jason E. Oyler,

General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–09314 Filed 5–8–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. FAA–2026–0661]

Agency Information Collection

Activities: Request for Comments; Clearance of Renewed Approval of Information Collection: FAA Aircraft Noise Complaint and Inquiry System (Noise Portal)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to renew an information collection. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on January 20, 2026. This collection involves information voluntarily submitted through the FAA Noise Portal, which is used to respond to noise complaints and inquiries. The required fields in the Noise Portal represent the minimum information necessary for the FAA to respond effectively. In addition, it allows the FAA to prevent fragmented or delayed responses across FAA regions, enhances transparency and public trust, improves community engagement, and supports a centralized intake of noise complaints and inquiries. This voluntary data collection is essential for the FAA to fulfill its public engagement responsibilities, streamline operations, and uphold its commitment to responsive, citizen-centered governance.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by June 10, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nitin Rao by email at: TACT: Nitin Rao by email at: 9-APL-ANCIR-Comments@faa.gov; phone: 202–267–0965

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA’s performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0773.

Title: FAA Aircraft Noise Complaint and Inquiry System (Noise Portal).

Form Numbers: None.

Type of Review: Renewal of an information collection.

Background: The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on January 20, 2026 (91 FR 2416). The FAA collects information through its Noise Portal to respond to public complaints and inquiries about aircraft noise. Individuals voluntarily submit their name, email address, location of the noise event, and a description of the issue. This data helps the FAA identify noise sources, respond directly to the complainant, and understand community concerns. The respondents are members of the public who experience aircraft noise, typically near their homes. The FAA gathers this information online through the Aviation Noise Complaint and Inquiry response (ANCIR) system, which centralizes and streamlines complaint intake and response.

This collection is essential for the FAA to provide timely, accurate, and location-specific responses. It also supports broader goals like detecting noise trends, improving community engagement, and understanding environmental impacts. The FAA uses deidentified, aggregated data to develop public FAQ's, share insights with stakeholders, and support safety analysis across agencies. While no law specifically requires this data collection, several mandates guide the FAA's public engagement efforts; 49 U.S.C. 106(q) requires an Aircraft Noise Ombudsman; the 1976 Aviation Noise Abatement Policy affirms federal responsibility for noise management; and the FAA Reauthorization Acts of 2018 (Pub. L. 115–254) and 2024 (Pub. L. 118–63) direct the agency to enhance transparency and community collaboration.

Summary of Comments: FAA received public comments during the 60-day comment period and are summarized into the topics below followed by a concise summary of the FAA response.

1. Purpose/utility of the ANCIR portal.

Public comment: The portal merely “summarizes” complaints and does not analyze them in an operational context or produce actions to address noise impacts (AICA and many individual commenters).

FAA response: ANCIR is intended as a centralized, standardized intake/routing/tracking/response system—not an operational analysis or automatic mitigation tool; complaint data can inform understanding of recurring concerns but is not, by itself, determinative of operational or policy changes.

2. Repeated submissions/very high-volume reporters.

Public comment: Repeated reports from the same people should be treated as evidence of ongoing exposure (not “duplicates”) and the portal currently mislabels or ignores repeat submissions.

FAA response: The FAA acknowledges repeated submissions can reflect recurring conditions and will consider clarifying how repeated submissions are handled and described; at the same time, the FAA notes very high volumes of substantially similar submissions from a few individuals can overwhelm resources and that procedural limits may be necessary; use of repeated submissions as direct operational evidence is a broader policy question outside the immediate PRA scope.

3. Lack of integration with operational/noise-exposure data.

Public comment: Complaint data should be integrated with operational datasets (flight tracks, noise contours, event metrics like overflights) so complaints can meaningfully inform operational review and mitigation.

FAA response: The FAA views that integration as beyond the scope of this PRA action; practical constraints include privacy laws, separate systems that don't always interoperate, different airport screening/validation methods, and governance/legal considerations—so systematic operational coupling would require broader policy, technical, and legal decisions.

4. Quality of FAA responses/“form letter”/transparency.

Public comment: Many complainants receive boilerplate or no meaningful follow-up; the portal feels like a “black hole” and undermines trust.

FAA response: FAA recognizes concerns about clarity of acknowledgments and whether responses meet user expectations; it will consider whether portal language, instructions, and acknowledgments should be improved to better explain purpose and handling of submissions (while noting limitations on what FAA can or will do in response).

5. Accessibility and reporting pathways (phone, web, mail).

Public comment: Removing regional phone lines and emphasizing web reporting disadvantages elderly/disabled or those without internet.

FAA response: ANCIR was centralized to provide a consistent national intake; FAA observed greater use of the webform but notes postal mail remains an option; FAA will consider accessibility and communication as part of its review of the information collection.

6. Portal functionality/usability/technical issues.

Public comment: Problems include inability to record event time when entered slightly after the event, lack of integration with other apps (Air-Noise), and other form issues.

FAA response: The FAA will consider design, usability, and functionality comments insofar as they relate to improving the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collection and minimizing respondent burden; specific technical integrations are constrained by system boundaries and scope.

7. Representativeness and geographic participation bias.

Public comment: The portal's design may distort the geographic distribution of concerns (e.g., under-reporting from certain communities).

FAA response: FAA will consider whether portal design/operation affects complaint participation or geographic representation and whether adjustments could better capture participation patterns and representativeness.

8. Role of complaint data in policy, mitigation, or operational change.

Public comment: Complaint submissions should be treated as evidence that leads to operational changes (curfews, route changes, mitigation).

FAA response: FAA reiterates complaint data are an important input but decisions on procedures or mitigation require broader consideration of safety, efficiency, statutory authority, feasibility, and environmental review; using complaint data as dispositive evidence is a policy question outside the PRA information-collection review.

9. Noise metrics, health impacts, and survey metrics (e.g., DNL).

Public comment: The FAA should update noise metrics to reflect health impacts (sleep disturbance, cardiovascular effects, etc.) and use metrics beyond DNL.

FAA response: Much of this relates to substantive noise policy and public-health questions outside the PRA notice; however, the FAA will consider whether the information collection could better capture types of impacts complainants report (as a matter of collection clarity/utility).

10. Interoperability with airport or third-party complaint systems.

Public comment: Portal should accept or be reconciled with airport-level systems and third-party reporting tools.

FAA response: FAA notes airport systems vary in screening/validation and that integrating disparate systems raises privacy, legal, technical, and governance issues beyond the PRA collection review; FAA will consider

aspects of how the collection captures and presents information but full system integration is a larger project.

11. Reporting cadence and public reporting of complaints.

Public comment: Requests for regular (monthly/quarterly) complaints reports and for complaint data to be paired with proposed FAA actions.

FAA response: FAA reports ANCIR complaint information regularly (quarterly and annual roll-ups) but notes ANCIR itself is not an operational analysis platform that automatically yields proposed operational actions; complaint data can inform outreach and identification of recurring topics.

12. Broad allegations about FAA capture, NextGen, and enforcement failures.

Public comment: Some submissions allege FAA misconduct, industry capture, or that modernization programs (NextGen/MOSAIC) created harms.

FAA response: The FAA treats such broad operational/policy allegations as outside the scope of this PRA notice (which focuses on the information collection); specific safety or enforcement concerns should be pursued through appropriate FAA safety, oversight, or policy channels.

Respondents: 45,000.

Frequency: As needed.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 11,250 hours.

Issued in Des Plaines, IL.

Nitin Rao,

Manager, National Engagement Strategy and Policy Division, ARA-200.

[FR Doc. 2026-09236 Filed 5-8-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. FAA-2026-3172]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a New Approval of Information Collection: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Surface Weather Status Dashboard

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the FAA invites public comments on our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for a new information

collection. The collection involves voluntarily reporting when National Airspace System (NAS) surface weather systems (AWOS and ASOS) experience operational issues, such as erroneous data or full outages. The information to be collected will be used to verify and track these errors and outages, and publish the status onto the FAA's Surface Weather Status Dashboard for user awareness.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by July 10, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments:

By Electronic Docket:
www.regulations.gov (Enter docket number into search field)

By mail: Reza Moradi, 800 Independence Ave. SW, 7E-612, Washington, DC 20591

By fax: (405) 954-8351

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Siegel by email at: joel.m.siegel@faa.gov; phone: 405-954-4341

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120-XXXX.

Title: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Surface Weather Status Dashboard.

Form Numbers: Report a Problem Webform.

Type of Review: New Information Collection.

Background: The FAA's Air Traffic Organization plans to host the Congressionally mandated Surface Weather Status Dashboard as part of the existing FAA Weather Cameras website. As part of this dashboard, the FAA plans to offer the ability for National Airspace System (NAS) users (e.g., pilots, meteorologists, members of the public) to voluntarily submit information regarding any safety issues (e.g., outages, erroneous data) with surface weather stations.

It is anticipated that the information collected will be used after verification to create reports that will trigger trouble tickets within the FAA's Remote Monitoring and Logging System (RMLS) for corrective action to be taken by the

responsible parties, such as technicians within the FAA, NOAA, and non-federal entities. Once the trouble ticket is logged, the FAA's Aviation Weather Cameras website will capture and display the real-time service status of all automated surface observation systems/automated weather observing systems within 30 minutes.

As the information collected will be system site specific, the FAA may use the information collected from this form to update future policy decisions regarding surface weather infrastructure, for approvals and audits. FAA's NAS Security and Enterprise Operations (NASEO) will retain control over the information collected and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with FAA standards for confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information.

Respondents: Anyone may use the publicly available webform via the FAA Aviation Weather Cameras website. There is no limit on the number of responses.

Frequency: N/A.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 1 minute.

Estimated Total Annual Burden:

Respondents may submit webforms for multiple sites with issues. The annual burden per respondent per submission is one minute. Estimate around 1,825 responses per year equating to 1,825 minutes of total burden to the public per year.

Issued in Oklahoma City, OK, on March 10th, 2026.

Jeremy Traylor,

Manager, National Airway Systems Engineering Group, Weather Systems Team, AJW-141.

[FR Doc. 2026-09272 Filed 5-8-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FY 2026 Competitive Funding Opportunity: Pilot Program for Transit-Oriented Development Planning

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of funding opportunity (NOFO).

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) announces the opportunity to apply for \$28,492,618 million in competitive grants for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 Pilot Program for Transit-Oriented Development Planning.