

exit this safety zone during the enforcement times listed in this notice of enforcement. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter the safety zone must obey all lawful orders or directions of the COTP Lake Michigan or designated representative. Upon being hailed by the U.S. Coast Guard by siren, radio, flashing light or other means, the operator of a vessel must proceed as directed.

In addition to this notification of enforcement in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with notification of these enforcement periods via Broadcast Notice to Mariners. The COTP Lake Michigan may be reached by contacting the Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan Command Center at (414) 747-7182. An on-scene designated representative may be reached via VHF-FM Channel 16.

**Rhianna N. Macon,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan.*

[FR Doc. 2026-10393 Filed 5-22-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2026-0561]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zones; Fireworks Displays in the USCG East District

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is amending its regulation for recurring safety zones for fireworks displays in the USCG East District by adding safety zones for three recurring events and by amending the safety zone for one recurring event. All lie within the Virginia Captain of the Port Zone. This rulemaking will provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters at the confluence of the James River and the Appomattox River, on the Hampton River, on the East River, and on the Elizabeth River, at Town Point Reach, during firework displays typically held on each of those rivers annually.

**DATES:** This rule is effective May 27, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** To view available documents go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for USCG-2026-0561.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, contact LCDR Justin Z. Strassfield, Sector Virginia Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; by phone, at (206) 815-7367, or by email, at [VirginiaWayerways@uscg.mil](mailto:VirginiaWayerways@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
COTP Captain of the Port  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### II. Background and Authority

Within the USCG East District, the Coast Guard has compiled many recurring safety zones in one regulation, 33 CFR 165.506. Within § 165.506, these safety zones are arranged in tables, organized by Captain of the Port Zone. We are now adding three recurring firework displays and amending one recurring firework display to the table for the Virginia Captain of the Port Zone. General provisions of § 165.506, such as definitions, controls on vessel movement, and Coast Guard contact information will apply to these safety zones.

On May 13, 2026, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled Safety Zones; Fireworks Displays in the USCG East District (91 FR 26955). In that NPRM, we stated why we issued the NPRM and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to these firework displays.

The Captain of the Port, Sector Virginia (COTP) is establishing this rule under the authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034.

The Coast Guard finds that under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because it is impracticable to do so before June 27, 2026, when one of the safety zones created by this rule needs to be in place to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment for an event scheduled then.

##### III. Discussion of Comments and the Rule

During the comment period that ended on May 18, 2026, we received no comments. There are no changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM.

The first safety zone will establish a regulated area which will be subject to enforcement on the fourth or fifth Saturday in June of each year. This year,

it will be subject to enforcement June 27, 2026, from 9 p.m. until 10 p.m. The safety zone will encompass the fallout zone located within a portion of the waters at the confluence of the Appomattox River and James River, in Hopewell, VA.

The second safety zone will establish a regulated area on July 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 6th of each year, beginning July 4, 2026, from 9 p.m. until 10 p.m. The safety zone will encompass two separate areas located on a portion of the Hampton River in Hampton, VA. One area will surround a fireworks barge on the Hampton River and the other area will provide for fireworks launched from land adjacent to the Hampton River.

The third safety zone will establish a regulated area on July 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 6th of each year, beginning July 5, 2026, from 9 p.m. until 10 p.m. That safety zone will encompass a portion of the East River, in Mathews, VA.

The amendment to an existing safety zone will update the date of enforcement for Item 13 in Table 3 to paragraph (h)(3) of § 165.506 to include one Saturday in June or on June 19th, and on July 4th of each year, beginning July 4, 2026, from 9 p.m. until 10 p.m.

As the dates and times of the fireworks displays are subject to change, the dates and times that the safety zones for the events will be subject to enforcement will also be subject to change, in accordance with regulatory text found in 33 CFR 165.506(c). In the event of a change, the COTP would provide notice to the public by issuing a Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

As provided in 33 CFR 165.506(d), no vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or their designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

##### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

###### A. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

Section 605 of the RFA allows an agency to certify a rule, in lieu of preparing an analysis, if the rulemaking is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The Coast Guard certifies that, although some small entities may intend to transit one of the above safety zones, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Vessel traffic will be able to safely transit around these safety zones. These safety zones will only impact a small designated area for a few hours. It is during a time when vessel traffic is normally low. In addition, the Coast Guard will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF FM marine channel 16, which will allow small entities to adjust their transit plans, and the rule allows vessels to request permission to enter the zone from the COTP.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

*B. Collection of Information*

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

*C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments*

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in that Order.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

*D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

As required by The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), the Coast Guard certifies that this rule will not result in an annual expenditure of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.

*E. Environment*

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42

U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This rule creates or modifies safety zones. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; DHS Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.4.

■ 2. In § 165.506, amend Table 3 to paragraph (h)(3) by adding entries for “Item 15”, “Item 16”, “Item 17”, and “Item 18” to read as follows:

**§ 165.506 Safety Zones; Fireworks Displays in the USCG East District.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) \* \* \*

(3) Coast Guard Sector Virginia—COTP Zone

TABLE 3 TO PARAGRAPH (h)(3)

No.	Enforcement period(s)	Location	Safety zone-regulated area
15	June—One Saturday or June 19th; and July 4th.	Elizabeth River, Town Point Reach, Norfolk, VA; Safety Zone.	All waters of the Elizabeth River, Town Point Reach within a 500-yard radius of approximate position of the fireworks barge latitude 36°50'41" N, longitude 076°17'47" W, in vicinity of Town Point Park in Norfolk, VA.
16	June—4th or 5th Saturday.	Confluence of the James River and the Appomattox River, Hopewell, VA; Safety Zone.	All navigable waters within 250 yards of a fireworks barge located at position 37°18'52" N, 077°17'12.5" W, at the confluence of the James River and the Appomattox River, near City Point in Hopewell, VA.
17	July 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 6th	Hampton River, Hampton, VA, Safety Zone.	All navigable waters of the Hampton River, within a 250' radius of a fireworks barge located at position 37°01'21.3" N, 076°20'29.8" W and all waters within the following positions: 37°1'29" N, 076°20'19" W; 37°1'30" N, 076°20'25" W; 37°1'27" N, 076°20'28" W; 37°1'23" N, 076°20'29" W; 37°1'23" N, 076°20'26" W, in Hampton, VA.
18	July 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 6th	East River, Mathews, VA; Safety Zone.	All navigable waters of the East River, within an 800' radius of position 37°24'02" N, 076°20'49" W, in Mathews, VA.

**Peggy M. Britton,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Sector Virginia.*

[FR Doc. 2026–10390 Filed 5–22–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2026–0604]

RIN 1625–AA00

#### Safety Zone; Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for certain waters of the Lake Michigan near Milwaukee, WI. This action is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by a drone display. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, or their designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8:30 p.m. through 10:30 p.m. on July 3, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** To view available documents go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for USCG–2026–0604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, contact Lieutenant Commander Jessica Anderson, Sector Lake Michigan Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 414–747–7182, or email: [D09-SMB-SECLAKEMICHIGAN-WWM@uscg.mil](mailto:D09-SMB-SECLAKEMICHIGAN-WWM@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
COTP Captain of the Port  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard received notification that a drone display will be launched from McKinley Beach on Lake Michigan near Milwaukee, WI. The Captain of the Port (COTP) Lake Michigan has determined that potential hazards

associated with the drone display are a safety concern for anyone within a half mile of the display.

Because of these potential hazards, the Coast Guard is issuing this rule without prior notice and comment. As is authorized by 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. We must establish this safety zone by July 3, 2026, to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment. Therefore, we do not have enough time to solicit and respond to comments.

For the same reason, the Coast Guard finds that under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

##### III. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from 8:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on July 3, 2026. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters of Lake Michigan within the following points: Point 1 at 43°3.2233' N, 087°52.826' W; thence to Point 2 at 43°3.0512' N, 087°52.441' W; thence to Point 3 at 43°2.9374' N, 087°52.852' W; thence to Point 4 at 43°3.0276' N, 087°52.965' W; thence returning to Point 1. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled drone display. Vessels and persons will not be allowed to enter the zone during this time, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

##### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

###### A. Impact on Small Entities

The regulatory flexibility analysis provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to rules that are not subject to notice and comment. Because the Coast Guard has, for good cause, waived the notice and comment requirement that would otherwise apply to this rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act's flexibility analysis provisions do not apply here.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions,

contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

###### B. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

###### C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in that Order.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

###### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

As required by The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), the Coast Guard certifies that this rule will not result in an annual expenditure of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.

###### E. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This rule is a safety zone. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A