

principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, AIR-520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA; or EASA; or Airbus SAS's EASA DOA. If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

(3) *Required for Compliance (RC):* Except as required by paragraphs (i) and (j)(2) of this AD, if any material contains procedures or tests that are identified as RC, those procedures and tests must be done to comply with this AD; any procedures or tests that are not identified as RC are recommended. Those procedures and tests that are not identified as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator's maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the procedures and tests identified as RC can be done and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition. Any substitutions or changes to procedures or tests identified as RC require approval of an AMOC.

(k) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Promita Dey, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 316-946-4106; email: promita.dey@faa.gov.

(l) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.

(i) European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD 2025-0065, dated March 27, 2025.

(ii) Reserved.

(3) For EASA material identified in this AD, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; telephone +49 221 8999 000; email ADs@easa.europa.eu. You may find this material on the EASA website at ad.easa.europa.eu.

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations or email fr.inspection@nara.gov.

Issued on May 11, 2026.

Lona C. Saccomando,

Acting Deputy Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2026-10490 Filed 5-26-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2025-2543; Project Identifier MCAI-2025-00215-T; Amendment 39-23347; AD 2026-10-07]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. This AD was prompted by the discovery that titanium fasteners had been incorrectly installed in the butt strap at the outer wing box lower skin to center wing box interface in lieu of the correct nickel alloy fasteners. This AD requires the identification of fasteners installed in the butt strap at the outer wing box lower skin to center wing box interface, and applicable on-condition actions. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD is effective July 1, 2026.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of July 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES:

AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2025-2543; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this final rule, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The address for Docket Operations is U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

Material Incorporated by Reference:

- For Transport Canada material identified in this AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888-663-3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca. You may find this material on the

Transport Canada website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation.

- You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195. It is also available at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2025-2543.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Ashforth, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 206-231-3520; email: bill.ashforth@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to certain Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. The NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on September 15, 2025 (90 FR 44337). The NPRM was prompted by AD CF-2025-09, dated February 24, 2025 (Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09) (also referred to as the MCAI), issued by Transport Canada, which is the aviation authority for Canada. The MCAI states that titanium fasteners (part number (P/N) B0206003GD) had been incorrectly installed in the butt strap at the outer wing box lower skin to center wing box interface in lieu of the correct nickel alloy fasteners (P/N B0206033GD). The use of titanium fasteners could result in a reduction in joint strength, and the risk of a fuel leak if the fastener fails and falls free from the joint. This condition, if not corrected, could cause damage to and loss of principal structure and fatigue critical structure.

In the NPRM, the FAA proposed to require the identification of fasteners installed in the butt strap at the outer wing box lower skin to center wing box interface, and applicable on-condition actions, as specified in Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2025-2543.

Discussion of Final Airworthiness Directive

Comments

The FAA received a comment from an anonymous commenter who supported the NPRM without change.

The FAA received additional comments from Delta Air Lines (Delta) and the Citizens Rulemaking Alliance. The following presents the comments

received on the NPRM and the FAA's response to each comment.

Request To Remove the Requirement To Contact the Manufacturer for Disposition

Delta requested that the FAA add an exception to paragraph (h) of the proposed AD to allow use of an existing, approved Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (ACLP) disposition instead of contacting ACLP for an approved disposition via the instructions in the service information referenced in Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09. Delta noted that ACLP is changing the contact method specified in the service information, so operators may need to request an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) to use an alternative contact method. Delta also noted that ACLP has already issued two Generic Repair Engineering Orders (GREOs) to address discrepant fasteners found during the inspection, and that the GREOs meet the provisions of paragraph (j)(2) of the proposed AD for obtaining an approved disposition.

The FAA agrees that operators may use existing repair instructions, including GREOs, for compliance with this AD provided the repair instructions were approved under the provisions of paragraph (j)(2) of this AD. No change to this AD is necessary in regard to that part of Delta's comment.

The FAA also agrees with removing the requirement to obtain an approved disposition in accordance with the contact method specified in the service information referenced in Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09 and has added a new exception in paragraph (h)(3) of this AD accordingly. The FAA notes that under paragraph (j)(2) of this AD operators must contact the Manager, AIR-520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada; or ACLP's Transport Canada Design Approval Organization (DAO) to obtain an approved disposition for any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer.

Request To Extend the Compliance Times

Delta requested that the FAA revise paragraph (h)(2) of the proposed AD to allow the required inspection to be accomplished within 11,400 total flight cycles, 22,800 total flight hours, or 500 flight cycles from the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, instead of performing the inspections within

500 flight cycles from the effective date of the AD or before accumulating 10,000 total flight cycles, whichever occurs later. Delta stated that ACLP has already issued two approved GREOs to address discrepant fasteners found during the inspection, and that one of the GREOs authorizes continued flight with the discrepant fasteners up to 11,400 total flight cycles or 22,800 total flight hours, whichever occurs first. Delta asserted that if replacement of the discrepant fasteners can be deferred to those later thresholds, then deferring the inspection to the same thresholds provides an acceptable level of safety.

The FAA disagrees with extending the compliance time for performing the inspection to determine if serviceable fasteners are installed. Transport Canada, as the state of design authority for these airplanes, analyzed the data, considered the recommendations of the manufacturers, and determined that the inspection must be accomplished before accumulating 10,000 total flight cycles or within 500 flight cycles from the effective date of the AD, whichever occurs later; and the FAA concurs with Transport Canada's determination. However, under the provisions of paragraph (j)(1) of this AD, the FAA will consider requests for approval of an extension of the compliance time if sufficient data are submitted to substantiate that the new compliance time would provide an acceptable level of safety. The FAA has not changed this AD as a result of this comment.

Request To Justify Forgoing Notice and Comment or Issue an NPRM

The Citizens Rulemaking Alliance requested that the FAA either provide its justification for finding good cause to bypass notice and comment procedures and the 30-day delayed effective date, or convert this action to an NPRM with a 30-day comment period. The commenter asserted the FAA has not adequately justified use of the good cause exemption to bypass notice and comment and the 30-day delayed effective date.

The FAA notes the comment was submitted in response to an NPRM for which the FAA provided a 45-day comment period. This final rule is effective 35 days after its publication in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, no change to this AD is necessary.

Request To Comply With the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

The Citizens Rulemaking Alliance requested that the FAA revise the AD to comply with the PRA if reporting is required or remove any reporting provisions until PRA requirements are satisfied. If reporting is not required, the commenter requested the FAA clarify that in the AD.

The FAA notes paragraph (i) of this AD specifies that this AD does not require reporting. If an AD were to require reporting, the preamble of the AD would include a paragraph titled "Paperwork Reduction Act" that would provide the applicable OMB control number, required PRA statements, and the estimated time to collect the required information (burden). Any costs associated with the reporting requirement would be included in the Costs of Compliance section in the preamble of the AD. Therefore, the FAA did not change this AD as a result of this comment.

Request To Consider Impact on Small Entities

The Citizens Rulemaking Alliance requested that the FAA either provide the factual basis for its certification under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) that the AD will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, or prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that identifies potentially affected small entities and considers alternatives that minimize impact.

The FAA provides the following clarification. The RFA of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601-612), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121) and the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-240), requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of the regulatory action on small business and other small entities and to minimize any significant economic impact. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The FAA identified three air carriers that will be affected by this AD. Based on the Small Business Administration size standard, all three entities are large businesses:

SMALL BUSINESS SIZE STANDARDS ¹

NAICS ² code	Description	Size standard
481111	Scheduled Passenger Air Transportation	1,500 employees.

¹ Source: *sba.gov*: Table of Small Business Size Standards.

² North American Industrial Classification System.

If an agency determines that a rulemaking will not result in a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, the head of the agency may so certify under section 605(b) of the RFA. Therefore, as provided in section 605(b) and based on the foregoing, the head of the FAA certifies that this AD will not result in a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The FAA did not change this AD as a result of this comment.

Request To Provide Additional Cost Information

The Citizens Rulemaking Alliance requested that the FAA augment the economic analysis to include downtime and scheduled disruption costs, parts availability constraints, and cumulative fleet impacts, and adjust the compliance times to align with scheduled maintenance intervals and broaden AMOC pathways accordingly. The commenter stated that the FAA should also provide the per airplane and fleet costs, including labor hours, parts, engineering, software loads, and special tools.

The FAA recognizes that, in doing the actions required by an AD, operators might incur indirect costs (such as engineering work or loss of revenue due to airplane downtime, scheduled disruptions, parts availability constraints, etc.) in addition to the direct costs. The cost analysis in an AD typically describes only the direct costs of the specific actions required by an AD, which does not include indirect costs since the FAA lacks data on those costs and they vary significantly among operators. Additionally, the FAA determined that there may be no downtime costs for some of the affected operators because the compliance times

required by this AD would allow the required inspection to be performed during regularly scheduled maintenance. Further, special tools and software are not necessary to complete the actions required by this AD.

In the Costs of Compliance section of the proposed AD, the FAA disclosed the number of affected airplanes on the U.S. registry, estimated number of work hours and parts costs provided by the manufacturer, and the fleet cost. Since the FAA has assessed and disclosed the total known costs of the AD requirements in the Costs of Compliance section of the proposed AD, and the commenter did not provide additional cost data for the FAA to consider in its cost analysis, it is not necessary to provide additional information in the AD docket.

Further, in developing an appropriate compliance time for this AD, the FAA considered the compliance time in Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09, the urgency associated with the subject unsafe condition, the availability of required parts, and the practical aspect of accomplishing the required actions within a period of time that corresponds to the normal scheduled maintenance for most affected operators. However, under the provisions of paragraph (j)(1) of this AD, the FAA will consider requests for approval of an extension of the compliance time if sufficient data are submitted to substantiate that the new compliance time would provide an acceptable level of safety. The FAA did not change this AD as a result of this comment.

Conclusion

These products have been approved by the civil aviation authority of another country and are approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to the

FAA's bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, that authority has notified the FAA of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI referenced above. The FAA reviewed the relevant data, considered any comments received, and determined that air safety requires adopting this AD as proposed. Accordingly, the FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products. Except for minor editorial changes, this AD is adopted as proposed in the NPRM. None of the changes will increase the economic burden on any operator.

Material Incorporated by Reference Under 1 CFR Part 51

The FAA reviewed Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09, which specifies procedures for a detailed inspection to identify the part markings on the head of the fasteners, and applicable on-condition actions. On-condition actions include replacing non-serviceable fasteners (those not identified as HST54, HST154, HST254, B0201074, B0201074 with oversize code X, or B0201074 with oversize code Y). For non-serviceable fasteners, the replacement includes a detailed inspection to make sure that the fastener heads are not damaged; the fastener heads are installed within requirements; and there are no signs of loose fasteners, fasteners that rotated, or fasteners that moved.

This material is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

Costs of Compliance

The FAA estimates that this AD affects 71 airplanes of U.S. registry. The FAA estimates the following costs to comply with this AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR REQUIRED ACTIONS

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
29 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$2,465	\$0	\$2,465	\$175,015

The FAA estimates the following costs to do any necessary on-condition actions that would be required based on

the results of any required actions. The FAA has no way of determining the

number of aircraft that might need this on-condition action:

ESTIMATED COSTS OF ON-CONDITION ACTIONS *

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product
Up to 4 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$340	Up to \$11,550	Up to \$11,890.

* For replacement of 11 fasteners.

The FAA has included all known costs in its cost estimate. According to the manufacturer, however, some or all of the costs of this AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected operators.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2026–10–07 Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.): Amendment 39–23347; Docket No. FAA–2025–2543; Project Identifier MCAI–2025–00215–T.

(a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective July 1, 2026.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate previously held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Model BD–500–1A10 and BD–500–1A11 airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09, dated February 24, 2025 (Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09).

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57, Wings.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by the discovery that titanium fasteners had been incorrectly installed in the butt strap at the outer wing box lower skin to center wing box interface in lieu of the correct nickel alloy fasteners. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the use of titanium fasteners. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in a reduction in joint strength, potential damage to and loss of principal structure and fatigue critical structure, and the risk of a fuel leak if the fastener fails and falls free from the joint.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Requirements

Except as specified in paragraph (h) of this AD: Comply with all required actions and compliance times specified in, and in accordance with, Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09.

(h) Exceptions to Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09

(1) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09 refers to its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09 refers to “10 000 flight cycles”, this AD requires replacing that text with “10,000 total flight cycles”.

(3) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09 specifies to “contact ACLP for an approved disposition in accordance with the ACLP SB procedure and perform the ACLP disposition instructions”, this AD requires replacing that text with “contact ACLP for an approved disposition and perform the ACLP disposition instructions”.

(i) No Reporting Requirement

Although the material referenced in Transport Canada AD CF–2025–09 specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

(j) Additional AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the Continued Operational Safety Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (k) of this AD and email to: *AMOC@faa.gov*. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada; or Airbus Canada Limited Partnership’s Transport Canada Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

(k) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Bill Ashforth, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des

Moines, WA 98198; phone: 206-231-3520; email: bill.ashforth@faa.gov.

(I) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Transport Canada AD CF-2025-09, dated February 24, 2025.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) For Transport Canada material identified in this AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888-663-3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca. You may find this material on the Transport Canada website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation.

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations or email fr.inspection@nara.gov.

Issued on May 11, 2026.

Brian Knaup,

Acting Deputy Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2026-10486 Filed 5-26-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2025-0199; Project Identifier MCAI-2024-00332-T; Amendment 39-23350; AD 2026-10-10]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. This AD was prompted by certification testing that found that environmental control system (ECS) ducts manufactured using a certain

material failed the flammability test requirements established for compliance. This AD requires inspecting the affected ECS ducts, installing a fire-resistant sleeve assembly over any non-compliant ECS duct as applicable, and re-identifying the ceiling panel liners. This AD also prohibits the installation of ECS ducts as replacement parts under certain conditions. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD is effective July 1, 2026.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of July 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES:

AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2025-0199; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this final rule, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The address for Docket Operations is U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

Material Incorporated by Reference:

- For Transport Canada material identified in this AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888-663-3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca. You may find this material on the Transport Canada website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation.

- You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195. It is also available at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2025-0199.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda L. Buitrago, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 516-288-7368; email: Brenda.L.Buitrago.Perez@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to certain Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and

BD-500-1A11 airplanes. The NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2025 (90 FR 9526). The NPRM was prompted by Transport Canada AD CF-2024-21, dated June 6, 2024 (Transport Canada AD CF-2024-21), issued by Transport Canada, which is the aviation authority for Canada. The MCAI states that ECS ducts, located on forward and aft cargo compartment ceiling panels, having part numbers D761189-105, D761189-501, and D762232-509, have been manufactured using material APF1180-7781, which replaced discontinued legacy material Solvay L591PG-7781. The material change to APF1180-7781 was done without changing the ECS duct part number. Subsequent certification testing of the ECS ducts made using material APF1180-7781 failed flammability test requirements. These noncompliant ECS ducts may have been installed on certain affected airplanes during production. Noncompliant ECS ducts could result in the inability to contain a fire within the cargo compartment, which could result in an uncontrolled fire.

In the NPRM, the FAA proposed to require inspecting the affected ECS ducts and, as applicable, installing a fire-resistant sleeve assembly over any non-compliant ECS duct, and to prohibit the installation of ECS ducts as replacement parts under certain conditions, as specified in Transport Canada AD CF-2024-21.

The FAA issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. The SNPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 2025 (90 FR 46098). The SNPRM was prompted by Transport Canada AD CF-2025-10, dated February 27, 2025 (Transport Canada AD CF-2025-10) (also referred to as the MCAI), which superseded Transport Canada AD CF-2024-21. Transport Canada AD CF-2025-10 states that since Transport Canada AD CF-2024-21 was issued, Airbus Canada revised the instructions in their service information to include a requirement to re-identify the ceiling panel liners to ensure configuration control. In the SNPRM, the FAA proposed to require inspecting affected ECS ducts, installing a fire-resistant sleeve assembly over any non-compliant ECS duct as applicable, and re-identifying the ceiling panel liners in affected cargo compartments, and to prohibit the installation of ECS ducts as replacement parts under certain conditions, as specified in Transport