

determination prior to making a final determination.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anthony Siniscal at 971–322–8407, or via email: Anthony.siniscal@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

ESA Listed Species Covered in This Notice

Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*)

Evolutionarily Significant Unit: threatened, naturally produced, and artificially propagated.

Background

The Yurok Tribe submitted a TRMP for review under the ESA Tribal 4(d) Rule. Under Section 4 of the ESA, the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) is required to adopt such regulations as deemed necessary and advisable for the conservation of species listed as threatened. The ESA salmon and steelhead 4(d) rule (65 FR 42422, July 10, 2000, as updated in 70 FR 37160, June 28, 2005) specifies categories of activities that contribute to the conservation of listed salmonids and sets criteria for such activities to qualify as limits on take prohibitions. The ESA Tribal 4(d) Rule (65 FR 42481, July 10, 2000) states that the take prohibitions of ESA Section 9 will not apply to a TRMP provided that the Secretary has determined that the TRMP will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery for the listed species (50 CFR 223.204(a)).

The Yurok Tribe's TRMP provides a framework through which tribal salmon fisheries can be implemented while meeting requirements specified under the ESA. The TRMP describes the proposed fisheries, establishes limits for harvest, and describes monitoring and reporting provisions associated with the fisheries. The TRMP management objective is for the Tribe to conduct fisheries in a manner that does not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of ESA-listed coho salmon.

NMFS has analyzed the effects of the TRMP on ESA-listed salmon and steelhead species and has concluded that the TRMP would not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of ESA-listed species, while providing for the proposed tribal harvest opportunities. Our determination depends upon implementation of all of the monitoring, evaluation, reporting tasks or assignments, and enforcement activities included in the TRMP, and that the fisheries stay within the impact limits described in the TRMP.

Summary of Comments Received on the Proposed Evaluation and Pending Determination

Prior to making a final determination on Tribal Plans, NMFS must take comments on its pending determination as to whether or not implementation of the plan will appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of ESA-listed salmonids (50 CFR 223.204(b)(3)). NMFS assessed the TRMP and prepared a Proposed Evaluation and Pending Determination (PEPD). The PEPD was posted on the NMFS website and a notice of availability was posted in the **Federal Register** on July 28, 2025 (90 FR 35512). The public comment period expired on August 27, 2026. We received one comment letter on the information contained in the PEPD, which is addressed in the final Evaluation and Recommended Determination (ERD). The PEPD and the final ERD are available at: <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/tribal-resource-management-plan-trmp-yurok-tribe>.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 742a *et seq.*

Dated: May 26, 2026.

David R. Blankinship,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2026–10619 Filed 5–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Native American Tribal Insignia Database

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (hereafter “USPTO” or “Agency”) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on or after the date of publication of this notice. The USPTO invites comments on the information collection renewal of 0651–0048, which helps the USPTO assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the

reporting burden to the public. Public comments were previously requested via the **Federal Register** on January 23, 2026, during a 60-day comment period (91 FR 2916). This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments.

DATES: To ensure consideration, you must submit comments regarding this information collection on or before June 29, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website, <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function and entering either the title of the information collection or the OMB Control Number, 0651–0048. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

- This information collection request may be viewed at <https://www.reginfo.gov>. Follow the instructions to view the Department of Commerce, USPTO information collections currently under review by OMB.

- *Email:* InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include “0651–0048 information request” in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Justin Isaac, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

- *Telephone:* Emily Carlsen, Legal Policy Office, 571–272–2235.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Native American Tribal Insignia Database.

OMB Control Number: 0651–0048.

Abstract: The Trademark Law Treaty Implementation Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105–330, 302, 112 Stat. 3071) requires the USPTO to study issues surrounding the protection of the official insignia of federally and state-recognized Native American tribes under trademark law. The USPTO conducted the study and presented a report to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees on November 30, 1999.¹ One of the recommendations made in the report was that the USPTO create and maintain an accurate and comprehensive database containing the official insignia of all federally and state-recognized Native American tribes. In accordance with this recommendation, the Senate Committee on Appropriations directed

the USPTO to create this database. The USPTO published the final procedures for establishing and maintaining the tribal insignia database in the **Federal Register** on August 24, 2001 (66 FR 44603).²

The USPTO database of official tribal insignias provides evidence of what a federally or state-recognized Native American tribe considers to be its official insignia. Section 2(a) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. 1052(a), bars the registration of marks that falsely suggest a connection with a non-sponsoring person or institution, including a Native American tribe. The database thereby assists trademark examining attorneys to evaluate applications for trademark registration by serving as a reference for determining the registrability of a mark that may falsely suggest a connection to the official insignia of a Native American tribe. The database, included within the trademark search system, is available to the public on the USPTO website, and includes an online help program for using the system. More information about the program is available on the website at <https://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/laws/native-american-tribal-insignia>.

Tribes are not required to request that their official insignia be included in the database. The entry of an official insignia into the database does not confer any rights to the tribe that submitted the insignia, and entry is not the legal equivalent of registering the insignia as a trademark under 15 U.S.C. 1051 *et seq.* The inclusion of an official tribal insignia in the database does not create any legal presumption of validity or priority, does not carry any of the benefits of federal trademark registration, and is not a determination as to whether a particular insignia would be refused registration as a trademark pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1051 *et seq.*

Requests from federally recognized tribes to enter an official insignia into the database must be submitted in writing and include: (1) a depiction of the insignia, including the name of the tribe and the correspondence address; (2) a copy of the tribal resolution adopting the insignia in question as the official insignia of the tribe; and (3) a statement, signed by an official with authority to bind the tribe, confirming that the insignia included with the request is identical to the official insignia adopted by the tribal resolution.

Requests from state-recognized tribes must also be in writing and include each of the three items described above that are submitted by federally

recognized tribes. Additionally, requests from state-recognized tribes must include either: (a) a document issued by a state official that demonstrates the state's determination that the entity is a Native American tribe; or (b) a citation to a state statute designating the entity as a Native American tribe.

The USPTO then enters insignia that have been properly submitted by federally or state-recognized Native American tribes into the database and does not investigate whether the insignia is actually the official insignia of the tribe making the request.

This information collection includes the information the USPTO requires to enter an official insignia for a federally or state-recognized Native American tribe into a database of such insignia. There are no forms associated with this information collection.

Forms: None.

Type of Review: Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

Affected Public: State, local, and tribal governments.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Frequency: On occasion.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 39 respondents.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 39 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: The USPTO estimates that the responses in this information collection will take the public approximately 1 hour to complete. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, create the document, and submit the completed item to the USPTO.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 39 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Non-hourly Cost Burden: \$25.

Since the publication of the 60-day notice, the USPTO has updated the postage rate associated with this information collection from \$12.10 to \$12.25. This results in an increase of \$1 in non-hourly cost burden, for a new estimated total annual respondent non-hourly cost burden of \$25.

Justin Isaac,

Information Collections Officer, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2026-10622 Filed 5-27-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Deletions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Deletions from the Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action deletes product(s) from the Procurement List that were furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

DATES: *Date added to and deleted from the Procurement List:* June 28, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 250 E Street SW, Suite 3100, Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information or to submit comments contact: Michael R. Jurkowski, Telephone: (703) 489-1322, or email CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Deletion

On April 23, 2026 (91 FR 21801), the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice of proposed deletions from the Procurement List. This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 8503 (a)(2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3.

After consideration of the relevant matter presented, the Committee has determined that the product(s) and service(s) listed below are no longer suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 8501-8506 and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.

2. The action may result in authorizing small entities to furnish the product(s) to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501-8506) in connection with the product(s) deleted from the Procurement List.

End of Certification

Accordingly, the following product(s) are deleted from the Procurement List: