

- 1203 Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research  
 1204 Proposal Review Panel for Mathematical Sciences  
 10746 Proposal Review Panel for Molecular and Cellular Biosciences  
 10752 Proposal Review Panel for Ocean Sciences  
 1208 Proposal Review Panel for Physics  
 1209 Proposal Review Panel for Polar Programs  
 59 Proposal Review Panel for Research on Learning in Formal and Informal Settings  
 10748 Proposal Review Panel for Social and Economic Sciences  
 1766 Proposal Review Panel for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences  
 84683 Proposal Review Panel for Translational Impacts  
 1214 Proposal Review Panel for Undergraduate Education

5. *Justification that the information or advice provided by the Federal advisory committee or subcommittee is not available from another Federal advisory committee, another Federal Government source, or any other more cost-effective and less burdensome source.*

Proposal peer review is central to NSF processes. Specific advisory committees (*i.e.*, review panels) are impaneled for individual programs to directly provide the technical expertise relevant to the proposals under review. This therefore requires distinct membership for committees responsible for review of distinct subject areas. Notably, the Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure supports cyberinfrastructure investments across multidisciplinary areas that encompass a wide range of activities necessitating the recruitment of committee members with unique combinations of technical expertise.

6. *If the consultation is a committee renewal, a summary of the previous accomplishments of the committee and the reasons it needs to continue.*

Past committees have been instrumental in identifying novel cyberinfrastructure projects that pursue and support innovative research that addresses national needs, strengthens U.S. leadership and fosters advances in new areas of fundamental or applied research, catalyzes development of new capabilities that increase the leadership position for the country. These include computing and data infrastructure, AI infrastructure, models for user support and outreach and cyberinfrastructure workforce development, all high priorities for NSF and aligned with administration goals.

7. *Explanation of why the committee/subcommittee is essential to the conduct of agency business.*

The OAC FACA committees are essential to the conduct of agency business as they align with the agency's usage of the merit review process and criteria in keeping with 42 U.S. Code § 1862s, which outlines that "the Foundation's intellectual merit and broader impacts criteria are appropriate for evaluating grant proposals" and directs the Foundation to "maintain the intellectual merit and broader impacts criteria, among other specific criteria as appropriate, as the basis for evaluating grant proposals in the merit review process." NSF's mission, as described in the 1950 NSF act, is "to promote the progress of science, advance national health, prosperity, and welfare, and secure the national defense. This is achieved by investing in research to expand knowledge in science, engineering, and education, and by increasing the capacity of the U.S. to conduct and benefit from such research. Merit review panels under these FACA committees serve as the basis for the gold standard merit review to support the most compelling research to advance the NSF mission.

This public interest determination documents that renewing the committee is in the public interest, essential to the conduct of agency business, and that the information to be obtained is not already available through another advisory committee or source within the Federal Government.

Dated: May 26, 2026.

**Crystal Robinson,**

*Committee Management Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 2026-10687 Filed 5-28-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Proposal Review Panel for Polar Programs; Committee Renewal

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Committee Management Renewal.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is renewing the committee for Proposal Review Panel for Polar Programs.

**DATES:** NSF approves the continuation of this committee on 4/20/2026. Effective date for renewal is June 26, 2026. For more information, please contact Crystal Robinson, NSF, at (703) 292-8687.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Robinson, Committee Management Officer, NSF, at (703) 292-8687, or by mail to National Science

Foundation, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NSF management officials having responsibility for the advisory committee listed below have determined that renewing this committee for another two years is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the Director, National Science Foundation (NSF), by 42 U.S.C. 1861 *et seq.* This determination follows consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

### Committee

Proposal Review Panel for Polar Programs, #1209

Pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 102-3.60(a), to establish, renew, reestablish, or merge a discretionary (agency discretion) advisory committee, an agency must first consult with the General Services Administration's Committee Management Secretariat (the Secretariat) and, as part of the consultation, provide a written public interest determination approved by the head of the agency to the Secretariat with a copy to the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 102-3.35, an agency shall follow the same consultation process and document in writing the same determination of need before creating a subcommittee under a discretionary committee that is not made up entirely of members of a parent advisory committee. Information on the following factors for the committee is provided to the Secretariat to demonstrate that renewing the committee is in the public interest:

1. *Annual budget:* \$44,856.
  - a. *Federal personnel on a full-time equivalent (FTE) basis:* 0.66 FTE.
  - b. *Other Federal internal costs:* \$0.
  - c. *Proposed payments to members:* \$42,965.
  - d. *Proposed number of members:* 90.
  - e. *Reimbursable costs:* \$1,891.
2. *If applicable, the total dollar value of grants expected to be recommended during the fiscal year:* \$25,000,000.
3. *Criteria for selecting members to ensure the committee has the necessary.*

Panel membership includes individuals with expertise in all areas of the sciences involved, selected for broad geographical distribution, and to provide an appropriate mix of types of colleges and universities. The Antarctic Artists and Writers panel is comprised of professional artists, writers, scientists, and educators—some, current or former NSF award recipients with first-hand Antarctic and Arctic

experience. The breadth of expertise required for fair peer evaluation of the variety of proposals received transcends the ability of NSF staff, so outside advice from scientists from all polar disciplines is essential. Panel review is the only viable means to obtain adequate review of proposals in these varied programs.

*4. List of all other Federal advisory committees of the agency:*

- 84684 Advisory Committee for Technology, Innovation and Partnerships
- 1172 Alan T. Waterman Award Committee
- 13883 Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee
- 1173 Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering
- 1186 Proposal Review Panel for Astronomical Sciences
- 10751 Proposal Review Panel for Atmospheric and Geospace Sciences
- 10747 Proposal Review Panel for Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences
- 10743 Proposal Review Panel for Biological Infrastructure
- 1189 Proposal Review Panel for Chemical, Bioengineering, Environmental, and Transport Systems
- 1191 Proposal Review Panel for Chemistry
- 1194 Proposal Review Panel for Civil, Mechanical, and Manufacturing Innovation
- 1207 Proposal Review Panel for Computer and Network Systems
- 1192 Proposal Review Panel for Computing & Communication Foundations
- 1185 Proposal Review Panel for Cyberinfrastructure
- 1569 Proposal Review Panel for Earth Sciences
- 1196 Proposal Review Panel for Electrical, Communications, and Cyber Systems
- 44011 Proposal Review Panel for Emerging Frontiers in Biological Sciences
- 173 Proposal Review Panel for Engineering Education and Centers
- 10744 Proposal Review Panel for Environmental Biology
- 1756 Proposal Review Panel for Geosciences
- 57 Proposal Review Panel for Graduate Education
- 1200 Proposal Review Panel for Information and Intelligent Systems
- 84685 Proposal Review Panel for Innovation and Technology Ecosystems
- 2469 Proposal Review Panel for Integrative Activities

- 10745 Proposal Review Panel for Integrative Organismal Systems
- 10749 Proposal Review Panel for International Science and Engineering
- 1203 Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research
- 1204 Proposal Review Panel for Mathematical Sciences
- 10746 Proposal Review Panel for Molecular and Cellular Biosciences
- 10752 Proposal Review Panel for Ocean Sciences
- 1208 Proposal Review Panel for Physics
- 34558 Proposal Review Panel for Emerging Frontiers and Multidisciplinary Activities
- 59 Proposal Review Panel for Research on Learning in Formal and Informal Settings
- 10748 Proposal Review Panel for Social and Economic Sciences
- 1766 Proposal Review Panel for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences
- 84683 Proposal Review Panel for Translational Impacts
- 1214 Proposal Review Panel for Undergraduate Education

*5. Justification that the information or advice provided by the Federal advisory committee or subcommittee is not available from another Federal advisory committee, another Federal Government source, or any other more cost-effective and less burdensome source.*

Polar Programs proposal review panels cannot be replaced by existing panels or advisory committees due to the highly specialized and interdisciplinary nature of polar science, the small and interconnected research community with elevated conflict-of-interest risk, and the tight coupling of scientific merit with constrained logistics resources. In Arctic contexts, the inclusion of social science perspectives introduces additional considerations related to community engagement and coordination alongside field-based science campaigns. Collectively, these factors preclude reliance on standing advisory bodies and require competition-specific panels to ensure independent, expert evaluation and compliance with NSF's statutory merit review requirements.

*6. If the consultation is a committee renewal, a summary of the previous accomplishments of the committee and the reasons it needs to continue.*

Past Polar Science panels have delivered significant value by strengthening the quality, consistency, and credibility of NSF funding recommendations through rigorous, independent merit review; advancing interdisciplinary Earth system science

across atmosphere, ocean, ice, and ecosystems; informing the prioritization and execution of high-cost, logistically complex field campaigns in the Arctic and Antarctic; and reinforcing workforce development across the research community. These accomplishments have been particularly important given the strategic importance of the polar regions, enabling U.S. leadership in polar science, advancing data-intensive and emerging research areas, and ensuring that NSF investments continue to produce impactful and nationally relevant scientific outcomes in alignment with administration priorities.

*7. Explanation of why the committee/subcommittee is essential to the conduct of agency business.*

Panel deliberations and subsequent advice is essential to upholding gold-standard merit review which inform NSF staff funding recommendations.

This public interest determination documents that renewing the committee is essential to the conduct of agency business and that the information to be obtained is not already available through another advisory committee or source within the Federal Government.

Dated: May 26, 2026.

**Crystal Robinson,**  
Committee Management Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2026-10699 Filed 5-28-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Proposal Review Panel for Computer and Network Systems; Committee Renewal

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Committee Management Renewal.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is renewing the committee for Proposal Review Panel for Computer and Network Systems.

**DATES:** NSF approves the continuation of this committee on 4/20/2026. Effective date for renewal is June 26, 2026. For more information, please contact Crystal Robinson, NSF, at (703) 292-8687.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Robinson, Committee Management Officer, NSF, at (703) 292-8687, or by mail to National Science Foundation, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NSF management officials having responsibility for the advisory