

TABLE 4 TO PARAGRAPH (d)—STATE SOURCE-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS <sup>1</sup>—Continued

Name of source	Permit No.	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
Clearwater Paper Corp, Lewiston, Idaho.	T1–2020.0024 ....	3/30/2023 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.10, 5.11, 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, 7.1, 7.4, 7.9, 7.10, 8.1, 8.6, 9.1, 9.2, 9.6, 9.11, 26.22, 26.23, 26.26, 26.27, 26.28, and 26.29 only.
ITAFOS Conda LLC, Soda Springs, Idaho.	T1–2016.0015 ....	3/2/2022 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 5.1, 5.4, 5.5, 5.11, 16.22, and 16.23 only.
Northwest Pipeline LLC, Soda Springs, Idaho.	Compliance Agreement Schedule Case No. E–2023.0011.	9/1/2023 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	
P4 Production LLC, Soda Springs, Idaho.	Compliance Agreement Schedule Case No. E–2023.0013.	11/27/2021 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	
P4 Production LLC, Soda Springs, Idaho.	T1–2020.0029 ....	12/23/2021 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 13.22, and 13.23 only.
J.R. Simplot, Pocatello, Idaho.	T1–2017.0024 ....	3/29/2023 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 15.9, 15.10, 15.11, 15.19, 15.20, 15.21, 15.22, 15.25, 15.27, 16.6, 16.9, 16.10, 16.19, 16.20, 16.21, 16.22, 16.26, 16.27, 18.22, and 18.23 only.
Tamarack Mill LLC, New Meadows, Idaho.	T1–2019–0024 ...	10/17/2022 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.8, 5.17, 10.22, and 10.23 only.
The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC—Nampa Factory, Nampa, Idaho.	P–2018.0011 .....	2/15/2023 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit condition 4.8 only.
The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC—Paul Factory, Paul, Idaho.	T1–2019–0020 ...	11/5/2021 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit conditions 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12, 4.15, 4.16, 4.18, 11.22, and 11.23 only.
The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC—Twin Falls, Twin Falls, Idaho.	T1–2016.0017 ....	1/21/2022 .....	9/5/2025, 90 FR 42821.	Permit condition 4.9 and 5.2 only.

<sup>1</sup> EPA does not have the authority to remove these source-specific requirements in the absence of a demonstration that their removal would not interfere with attainment or maintenance of the NAAQS, violate any prevention of significant deterioration increment or result in visibility impairment. Idaho Department of Environmental Quality may request removal by submitting such a demonstration to EPA as a SIP revision.

<sup>2</sup> Only a small portion of this facility is located on State lands. The vast majority of the facility is located in Indian Country. It is EPA's position that unless EPA has explicitly approved a program as applying in Indian country, State or local regulations or permits are not effective within the boundaries of that Indian country land for purposes of complying with the CAA. See 68 FR 2217, 2220 (January 16, 2003).

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 2026–10738 Filed 5–28–26; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R05–OAR–2025–0092; FRL–13195–02–R5]

**Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Keystone VOC RACT Alternative Control**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving revisions to the volatile organic compound (VOC) requirements for Keystone Automotives Industries dba Saturn Wheel (Keystone) of Huntington County, Indiana. Keystone owns and operates an aluminum alloy wheel refurbishing and distribution facility at which it performs cold cleaner degreasing operations and is subject to the VOC rules under article 8 of the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC). On February 26, 2025, the Indiana Department of Environmental

Management (IDEM) submitted a Commissioner's Order containing the revised requirements and requested that the EPA approved it as an amendment to the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP). IDEM is seeking the EPA approval of an equivalent control device and site specific Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for Keystone's degreasing operations, as provided in IAC article 8. The EPA proposed to approve this action on February 27, 2026, and received no adverse comments.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on June 29, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2025–0092. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI), Proprietary Business Information (PBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are

available either through <https://www.regulations.gov> or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melissa Sheffer, Air and Radiation Division (AR18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, telephone number: (312) 353–1027, email address: [sheffer.melissa@epa.gov](mailto:sheffer.melissa@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

**I. Background Information**

On February 27, 2026 (91 FR 9798), the EPA proposed to approve a revision to Indiana's VOC SIP for Keystone. The company requested that it be permitted to use an oil cover as an equivalent control device for its cold cleaner degreaser, as provided in 326 IAC 8–1–5 and 326 IAC 8–3–2. The oil cover, Aquastrip Fume Seal, is a layer of mineral oil at least one-inch thick floating over the cleaning solvent in a dip tank. The solvent is a mixture of two water miscible compounds, n-Methyl

Pyrrolidone and monoethanolamine. The oil cover controls VOC emissions from the dip tank by reducing solvent evaporation. An explanation of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements, a detailed analysis of the revisions, and the EPA's reasons for proposing approval were provided in the notice of proposed rulemaking, and will not be restated here. The public comment period for this proposed rule ended on March 30, 2026. The EPA received no comments on the proposal.

## II. Final Action

The EPA is approving revisions to VOC emissions regulations for the Keystone aluminum alloy wheel refurbishing and distribution facility in Huntington County, Indiana. More specifically, the EPA is approving Commissioner's Order 2025–Air–01, signed February 19, 2025, into the Indiana SIP. The revision provides for the use of an oil cover as an equivalent VOC emission control system under 326 IAC 8–1–5 and 326 IAC 8–3–2 for its cold cleaner degreaser.

## III. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Indiana Commissioner's Order 2025–Air–01 described in section II of this preamble and set forth in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, and at the EPA Region 5 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

## IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not an Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a State program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or

an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

This rule is exempt from the Congressional Review Act because it is a rule of particular applicability.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 28, 2026. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2) of the CAA.)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 21, 2026.

**Anne Vogel,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, title 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

- 2. In § 52.770, the table in paragraph (d) is amended by adding an entry at the end of the table for “Keystone Automotives Industries” to read as follows:

### § 52.770 Identification of plan.

*	*	*	*	*
(d)	*	*	*	

EPA-APPROVED INDIANA SOURCE-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

CO date	Title	SIP rule	EPA approval	Explanation
2/26/2025	Keystone Automotives Industries.	8-3-2	5/29/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	Equivalent control.

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 2026-10776 Filed 5-28-26; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R09-OAR-2025-0152; FRL-12584-02-R9]

**Partial Approval and Partial Disapproval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Hawaii; Regional Haze State Implementation Plan for the Second Implementation Period**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).  
**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is partially approving and partially disapproving the regional haze state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by Hawaii on August 2, 2024, under the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the EPA’s Regional Haze Rule (RHR) for the program’s second implementation period. Hawaii’s SIP submission is intended to address the requirement that states must periodically revise their long-term strategies for making reasonable progress towards the national goal of preventing any future, and remedying any existing, anthropogenic impairment of visibility, including regional haze, in mandatory Class I Federal areas. The SIP submission also addresses other applicable requirements for the second implementation period of the regional haze program. The EPA is approving the portions of Hawaii’s submission relating to calculations of baseline, current, and natural visibility conditions, progress to date, the uniform rate of progress, reasonably attributable visibility impairment, progress report requirements, and monitoring strategy and other implementation plan requirements. The EPA is disapproving the long-term strategy, including the enforceable shutdown of several electric generating units at facilities on the islands of Hawaii and Maui. Additionally, we are disapproving the

portions of the submission relating to reasonable progress goals and Federal land manager (FLM) consultation requirements.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on June 29, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2025-0152. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Dorantes, Geographic Strategies and Modeling Section (AIR 2-2), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA, telephone number: (415) 972-3934, email address: [dorantes.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dorantes.michael@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Background
- II. Summary of Public Comments and Responses
- III. Final Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Background**

On August 12, 2022, the Hawaii Department of Health (HDOH) submitted a revision to its SIP, titled “Hawaii State Department of Health Regional Haze State Implementation Plan, Second Planning Period” to address regional haze for the second implementation period.<sup>1</sup> Then, on

<sup>1</sup> Letter dated August 11, 2022, from Elizabeth Char, Director of Health, Hawaii Department of Health, to Martha Guzman, Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX (submitted electronically on August 12, 2022).

August 2, 2024, HDOH withdrew its original SIP submission and simultaneously submitted a revised regional haze SIP submission, titled “Hawaii State Department of Health Regional Haze State Implementation Plan, Revision 1, Second Planning Period” (the “2024 Hawaii Regional Haze Plan” or “the Plan”) for the second implementation period.<sup>2</sup> HDOH made this SIP submission to satisfy the requirements of the CAA’s regional haze program pursuant to CAA sections 169A and 169B and 40 CFR 51.308.

On February 17, 2026, the EPA proposed to partially approve and partially disapprove the 2024 Hawaii Regional Haze Plan.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, we proposed to approve the elements of the 2024 Hawaii Regional Haze Plan related to requirements contained in 40 CFR 51.308(f)(1), (f)(4) through (6), and (g)(1) through (5) and to disapprove the elements of the 2024 Hawaii Regional Haze Plan related to requirements contained in 40 CFR 51.308(f)(2), (f)(3), and (i)(2) through (4). In particular, the EPA noted that Hawaii’s long-term strategy included the enforceable shutdown of six boiler units at the Kanoelehua-Hill and Kahului Generating Stations, on the islands of Hawaii and Maui, respectively, and the option to shut down several diesel engine generators at the Maalaea Generating Station on the island of Maui. However, the owner of these units, Hawaiian Electric Company (“Hawaiian Electric” or the “Company”),<sup>4</sup> no longer consents to these shutdowns due to concerns that they would result in potential energy reserve shortfalls which would endanger grid reliability. We proposed to find that approval by the EPA of source closures that are now opposed by the sources’ owner (hereinafter “forced” or “unconsented” closures) without just compensation, could violate the Takings

<sup>2</sup> Letter dated August 2, 2024, from Kenneth Fink, Director of Health, Hawaii Department of Health, to Martha Guzman, Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX (submitted electronically on August 2, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> 91 FR 7204 (February 17, 2026).

<sup>4</sup> “Hawaiian Electric” or the “Company” refers to Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. and/or Maui Electric Company, Limited.