

kilograms or less. It is a significant new use to process the substance in any way that generates vapor, dust, mist, or aerosol in a non-enclosed process. It is a significant new use to manufacture the substance longer than 9 months.

(b) *Specific requirements.* The provisions of subpart A of this part apply to this section except as modified by this paragraph (b).

(1) *Recordkeeping.* Recordkeeping requirements as specified in § 721.125(a) through (i) are applicable to manufacturers, importers, and processors of this substance.

(2) *Limitation or revocation of certain notification requirements.* The provisions of § 721.185 apply to this section.

[FR Doc. 2026–10712 Filed 5–28–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 192

[Docket No. PHMSA–2017–0151]

RIN 2137–AF29

#### Pipeline Safety: Class Location Change Requirements; Correction

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** PHMSA is making a technical correction to a January 14, 2026 final rule that amended the class location change requirements. The final rule allows an operator to confirm or to restore the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of an eligible gas transmission pipeline segment that experiences a class location change by implementing an integrity management (IM) alternative. For MAOP restorations, PHMSA is clarifying in this correction notice that the 24-month deadline for implementing the initial programmatic requirements of the IM alternative runs from the effective date of the final rule or the date that an operator decides to initiate an MAOP restoration, whichever is later. This clarification is consistent with the requirements in the final rule, including the deadline in the IM alternative that applies to MAOP confirmations, which runs from the effective date of the final rule or the date of the class location change, whichever is later.

**DATES:** This rule is effective May 29, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Bottini, Inspection Development and Support Division, at 816–663–1361 or [matthew.bottini@dot.gov](mailto:matthew.bottini@dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Discussion

On January 14, 2026, PHMSA issued a final rule amending the class location change requirements in 49 CFR 192.611.<sup>1</sup> The final rule allows operators to confirm the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of an eligible gas transmission segment that experiences a class location change by implementing an integrity management (IM) alternative. The final rule prescribes a 24-month deadline for completing the compliance activities necessary to use the IM alternative. That 24-month deadline runs from the date of the class location change or an initial compliance window from the effective date of the final rule, *i.e.*, March 16, 2026, whichever is later. The 24-month deadline is also referenced in other provisions in the IM alternative that determine the extent of an operator's compliance obligations.

As relevant here, the final rule also allows operators to use the IM alternative to restore the MAOP of eligible segments that previously experienced class location changes. Before implementing an MAOP restoration, an operator must comply with the uprating requirements in subpart K and the integrity management requirements in subpart O, and complete initial programmatic activities required by the IM alternative. *See* § 192.611(d)(1)–(3). As with MAOP confirmations, an operator must also implement certain ongoing compliance activities to use the IM alternative to maintain a restored MAOP.

The final rule did not prescribe a specific deadline for satisfying the initial programmatic requirements in the IM alternative under § 192.611(a)(4)(i) for MAOP restorations; however, PHMSA made clear in the preamble and final regulatory impact analysis that the same deadlines apply to all uses of the IM alternative.<sup>2</sup> In

<sup>1</sup> *Pipeline Safety: Class Location Change Requirements*, 91 FR 1608 (Jan. 14, 2026).

<sup>2</sup> *See* PHMSA, *Class Location Change Requirements: Regulatory Impact Analysis*, Docket ID No. PHMSA–2017–0151–0083, at 46 (Jan. 2026) (“This [rule] enables eligible Class 3 segments that have first employed the pressure reduction confirmation option to select the IM alternative and restore their pressure using procedures in amended § 192.611(d) . . . and some portion may restore and use the IM alternative later in the [20-year] analysis

other words, PHMSA intended the 24-month deadline in the IM alternative to run from either of the following dates, whichever is later: (1) the effective date of the final rule or (2) the date of the action that requires the operator to confirm or to restore the MAOP of an eligible segment under § 192.611.

To avoid any potential ambiguity in applying the IM alternative to restorations, PHMSA is amending § 192.611(d) to clarify that the 24-month deadline to complete the initial programmatic requirements in § 192.611(a)(4)(i) and begin the ongoing programmatic requirements in § 192.611(a)(4)(ii) runs from the date that an operator decides to initiate an MAOP restoration. Because that action can occur any time after an MAOP reduction under § 192.611(a)(1)–(2), the date of the decision to initiate an MAOP restoration functions as the date of the class location change for purposes of the IM alternative, providing an operator with a 24-month window to complete initial programmatic requirements of § 192.611(a)(4)(i). This ensures that the (a)(4)(i) requirements are completed close in time to the actual restoration and are thus not out-of-date. Accordingly, PHMSA is amending § 192.611(d) to clarify that an operator must use that date in implementing the requirements in the IM alternative.

##### II. Regulatory Analysis

###### A. Legal Authority

PHMSA is authorized to administer the Federal Pipeline Safety Laws (49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*) pursuant to a delegation of authority from the Secretary of Transportation. 49 CFR 1.97. Section 60102 authorizes PHMSA to prescribe minimum safety standards for the design, installation, inspection, emergency plans and procedures, testing, construction, extension, operation, replacement, and maintenance of gas transmission pipeline facilities. PHMSA has good cause to issue this correction without notice and comment pursuant to Section 553(b)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act because this technical correction aligns the regulatory text with the intent of the final rule, notice and public comment are unnecessary.

period.”); 91 FR at 1635 (“[T]he methods traditionally authorized for confirming or revising the MAOP of class change segments—MAOP reductions, pressure testing, and pipe replacement—do not account for modern risk management principles and impose unnecessary burdens on the regulated community and consumers. The MAOP restoration requirements in the final rule provide a safe, efficient, and practicable approach for eliminating those burdens and increasing pipeline capacity.”).

Further delaying this clarification would hinder the public interest in clear regulations.

The Administrative Procedure Act also generally requires that an agency publish a rule in the **Federal Register** 30 days before it becomes effective, 5 U.S.C. 553(d), a requirement that does not apply if the agency finds good cause for making the rule effective sooner. For the same reasons, PHMSA finds good cause to make the rules effective on May 29, 2026.

*B. Regulatory Planning and Review: Executive Order 12866 and DOT Order 2100.6B*

This technical correction has been evaluated in accordance with existing policies and procedures and is considered not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 (*Regulatory Planning and Review*; 58 FR 51735 (Oct. 4, 1993)) and 49 CFR part 5, subpart B. While the January 2026 final rule was reviewed as a significant rule under E.O. 12866, this technical correction is consistent with the final rule and does not impose additional incremental compliance costs or adversely affect safety.

*C. Energy-Related Executive Orders 13211, 14154, and 14156*

PHMSA has prepared this technical correction consistent with E.O. 14156 (*Declaring a National Energy Emergency*; 90 FR 8353 (Jan. 29, 2025)) and E.O. 14154 (*Unleashing American Energy*; 90 FR 8353 (Jan. 29, 2025)). PHMSA determined that the January 2026 final rule was not a “significant energy action” under E.O. 13211 (*Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*; 66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)), and neither is this technical correction that is consistent with it.

*D. Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires Federal agencies to consider the impact of their rules on small entities, to analyze alternatives that minimize those impacts, and to make their analyses available for public comment. PHMSA completed an interim and final regulatory flexibility analysis in the January 2026 final rule, analysis that this technical correction does not alter. As a regulatory flexibility analysis is required of a rulemaking subject to notice-and comment, 5 U.S.C. 604(a), and, as PHMSA has “good cause” under the Administrative Procedure Act to issue this technical correction without prior notice-and-comment, no

additional regulatory flexibility analysis is necessary.<sup>3</sup>

*E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA)*

PHMSA analyzed the UMRA (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*) in the January 2026 final rule and this technical correction has no substantial effect on that analysis, as it will not impose any additional incremental compliance cost. This technical correction will not impose any enforceable duty on State, local, or Tribal governments, nor on the private sector of \$100 million or more, adjusted for inflation, in any given year.

*F. Paperwork Reduction Act*

This technical correction imposes no new or revised information collection requirements beyond those discussed in the January 2026 final rule.

*G. National Environmental Policy Act*

The technical correction has no effect on PHMSA’s analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) of the January 2026 final rule that is contained in the environmental analysis available in the rulemaking docket.

*H. Executive Order 13132: Federalism*

PHMSA analyzed this notice in accordance with the principles and criteria in E.O. 13132 (*Federalism*; 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 10, 1999)) and the Presidential Memorandum (*Preemption*; 74 FR 24693 (May 22, 2009)). PHMSA determined that the January 2026 final rule did not impose any substantial direct effect on the States, the relationship between the National Government and the States, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, and nor does this technical correction that is consistent with that final rule. Therefore, the consultation and funding requirements of E.O. 13132 do not apply.

*I. Executive Order 13175*

PHMSA analyzed this notice according to the principles and criteria in E.O. 13175 (*Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments*; 65 FR 67249 (Nov. 9, 2000)) and DOT Order 5301.1A (*Department of Transportation Tribal Consultation Policies and Procedures*). Because nothing in this technical correction has Tribal implications or imposes substantial direct compliance costs on Indian Tribal governments, the

<sup>3</sup> Small Business Administration, *A Guide for Government Agencies: How to Comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act*, 55 (2017) (“If an NPRM is not required, the RFA does not apply.”).

funding and consultation requirements of E.O. 13175 and DOT Order 5301.1A do not apply.

*J. Executive Order 13609 and International Trade Analysis*

The technical correction does not impact international trade, though PHMSA evaluated E.O. 13609 (*Promoting International Regulatory Cooperation*; 77 FR 26413 (May 4, 2012)), which requires agencies to consider whether significant variations between domestic and international regulatory approaches are unnecessary or may impair the ability of American business to export and compete internationally, and the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–39, as amended by Pub. L. 103–465), which prohibits Federal agencies from establishing any standards or engaging in related activities that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States.

*K. Cybersecurity and Executive Order 14028*

E.O. 14028 (*Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity*; 86 FR 26633 (May 17, 2021)) directs the Federal Government to improve its efforts to identify, deter, and respond to “persistent and increasingly sophisticated malicious cyber campaigns.” PHMSA considered the cybersecurity effects of the January 2026 final rule, and this technical correction has no effect on that analysis.

**List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 192**

Natural gas, Pipeline safety, Pipelines.

In consideration of the foregoing, PHMSA amends 49 CFR part 192 by making the following correcting amendment:

**PART 192—TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL AND OTHER GAS BY PIPELINE: MINIMUM FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS**

- 1. The authority citation for part 192 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 185(w)(3), 49 U.S.C. 5103, 60101 *et seq.*, and 49 CFR 1.97.

- 2. Amend § 192.611 by revising the introductory text of paragraph (d) to read as follows:

**§ 192.611 Change in class location: Confirmation or revision of maximum allowable operating pressure.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) Confirmation or revision of maximum allowable operating pressure required as a result of a study under § 192.609 must be completed within 24 months of the change in class location. Pressure reduction under paragraph

(a)(1) or (2) of this section within the 24-month period does not preclude establishing the maximum allowable operating pressure of a segment under paragraph (a)(3) of this section or restoring the maximum allowable operating pressure of a segment under paragraph (a)(4) of this section at a later date, provided the date of the decision to restore the maximum allowable operating pressure is treated as the date of the class location change. Before restoring the maximum allowable operating pressure of an eligible Class 3 segment pursuant to paragraph (a)(4) of this section, an operator must:

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC on May 27, 2026, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.97.

**Linda Daugherty,**

*Acting Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.*

[FR Doc. 2026–10782 Filed 5–28–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 635

[Docket No. 220919–0193; RTID 0648–XF784]

#### Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries; Angling Category Retention Limit Adjustment

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Temporary rule; retention limit adjustment.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is adjusting the Angling category Atlantic bluefin tuna (BFT) daily retention limit from the default of one school, large school, or small medium BFT to: two BFT per vessel per day/trip measuring 27 inches (68.5 cm) to less than 73 inches (185 cm) curved fork length (CFL), only one of which can be large school/small medium-sized fish (47 inches (119 cm) to less than 73 inches (185 cm) CFL) for private vessels with Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Angling category permits; three BFT per vessel per day/trip measuring 27 inches to less than 73 inches CFL, only one of which can be large school/small medium for charter boat vessels with HMS Charter/Headboat permits when fishing recreationally for BFT; and six BFT per

vessel per day/trip measuring 27 inches to less than 73 inches CFL, only one of which can be large school/small medium for headboat vessels with HMS Charter/Headboat permits when fishing recreationally for BFT. These retention limits are effective in all areas, except for the Gulf of America, where targeted fishing for BFT is prohibited.

**DATES:** Effective June 1, 2026, through December 31, 2026, or until NMFS via a notice in the **Federal Register** announces another adjustment to the retention limit.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Larry Redd, Jr., *larry.redd@noaa.gov*, or Becky Curtis, *becky.curtis@noaa.gov*, by email or by phone at 301–427–8503.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Atlantic BFT fisheries are managed under the 2006 Consolidated Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan (HMS FMP) and its amendments, pursuant to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act; 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*) and consistent with the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act (ATCA; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*). ATCA is the implementing statute for binding recommendations of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). The HMS FMP and its amendments are implemented by regulations at 50 CFR part 635. Section 635.27(a) divides the U.S. BFT quota, established by ICCAT and as implemented by the United States among the various domestic fishing categories, per the allocations established in the HMS FMP and its amendments. NMFS is required under the Magnuson-Stevens Act at 16 U.S.C. 1854(g)(1)(D) to provide U.S. fishing vessels with a reasonable opportunity to harvest quotas under relevant international fishery agreements such as the ICCAT Convention, which is implemented domestically pursuant to ATCA.

As described in § 635.27(a), the current baseline U.S. BFT quota is 1,316.14 metric tons (mt) (not including the 25-mt ICCAT allocated to the United States to account for bycatch of BFT in pelagic longline fisheries in the Northeast Distant Gear Restricted Area). The Angling category baseline quota is 297.4 mt. This baseline quota is further subdivided into subquotas by size class (see table 1) as follows: 134.1 mt for school BFT, 154.1 mt for large school/small medium BFT, and 9.2 mt for large medium/giant BFT. Large school and small medium BFT traditionally have been managed as one size class, *i.e.*, a limit of one large school/small medium BFT (measuring 47 to less than 73 inches (119 to less than 150 cm) CFL).

Similarly, large medium and giant BFT traditionally have been managed as one size class that is also known as the “trophy” class. Currently, the default Angling category daily retention limit of one school, large school, or small medium BFT is in effect and applies to HMS Angling and HMS Charter/Headboat permitted vessels (when fishing recreationally for BFT) (§ 635.23(b)(2)).

TABLE 1—BFT SIZE CLASSES

Size class	Curved fork length
School .....	27 to less than 47 inches (68.5 to less than 119 cm).
Large school .....	47 to less than 59 inches (119 to less than 150 cm).
Small medium ...	59 to less than 73 inches (150 to less than 185 cm).
Large medium ...	73 to less than 81 inches (185 to less than 206 cm).
Giant .....	81 inches or greater (206 cm or greater).

Separate from this action, NMFS published a proposed rule that would implement the 2025 ICCAT recommendation (Recommendation 25–05) regarding western BFT management (91 FR 24789, May 7, 2026). Consistent with the recommendation, that proposed rule would increase the baseline U.S. BFT quota from 1,316.14 mt to 1,509.98 mt and adjust all the subquotas accordingly. In that proposed rule, the Angling baseline quota would increase from 297.4 mt to 341.3 mt. The comment period on that proposed rule ends on June 8, 2026. Any final rule implementing ICCAT Recommendation 25–05 would likely be effective in mid-2026 or later.

#### Adjustment of Angling Category Daily Retention Limit

Under § 635.23(b)(3), NMFS may increase or decrease the Angling category daily retention limit for any size class of BFT after considering the regulatory determination criteria under § 635.27(a)(7). Also under § 635.23(b)(3), recreational retention limits may be adjusted separately for specific vessel type, such as private vessels, charter boats, or headboats.

NMFS has considered all of the relevant determination criteria and their applicability to the change in the Angling category retention limit. After considering these criteria, NMFS has decided to adjust the Angling category retention limit as follows:

(1) For private vessels with HMS Angling permits, this action increases the limit to two BFT per vessel per day/trip measuring 27 inches (68.5 cm) to less than 73 inches (185 cm) CFL, only one of which can be a large school/small