

LICENSE AMENDMENT ISSUANCES—Continued

Brief Description of Amendment	The amendment adopted Technical Specifications Task Force (TSTF) Traveler TSTF–591, “Revise Risk Informed Completion Time (RICT) Program,” by revising Technical Specification (TS) Section 5.5 Program, “Risk Informed Completion Time Program,” to reference Regulatory Guide 1.200, Revision 3, instead of Revision 2, and to make other changes. A new requirement is added to TS Section 5.6, “Reporting Requirements,” to submit a report to the NRC before calculating a RICT using a newly developed method.
Public Comments Received as to Proposed NSHC (Yes/No).	No.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2; San Luis Obispo County, CA

Docket Nos	50–275, 50–323.
Amendment Date	May 19, 2026.
ADAMS Accession No	ML26104A058.
Amendment Nos	258 (Unit 1) and 260 (Unit 2).
Brief Description of Amendments	The amendments modified the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 technical specifications, to adopt Technical Specifications Task Force (TSTF) Traveler TSTF–585 “Revise LCO [Limiting Condition for Operation] 3.0.3 to Require Managing Risk.”
Public Comments Received as to Proposed NSHC (Yes/No).	No.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4; Burke County, GA

Docket Nos	52–025, 52–026.
Amendment Date	February 3, 2026.
ADAMS Accession No	ML26008A021.
Amendment Nos	212 (Unit 3), 210 (Unit 4).
Brief Description of Amendments	The amendments modified the licenses and selected technical specification required actions applicable during shutdown modes of operation.
Public Comments Received as to Proposed NSHC (Yes/No).	No.

Tennessee Valley Authority; Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2, and 3; Limestone County, AL

Docket Nos	50–259, 50–260, 50–296.
Amendment Date	May 12, 2026.
ADAMS Accession No	ML26100A225.
Amendment Nos	341 (Unit 1), 364 (Unit 2), 324 (Unit 3).
Brief Description of Amendments	The amendments revised the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2, and 3, Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 2.4, “Hydrology, Water Quality, and Aquatic Biology,” Appendix 2.4A, “Probable Maximum Flood (PMF),” and related tables and figures to reflect the results from a new hydrologic analysis.
Public Comments Received as to Proposed NSHC (Yes/No).	No.

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation; Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1; Coffey County, KS

Docket No	50–482.
Amendment Date	May 13, 2026.
ADAMS Accession No	ML26106A297.
Amendment No	247.
Brief Description of Amendment	The amendment revised Technical Specifications to adopt Technical Specifications Task Force (TSTF) Traveler TSTF- 505, “Provide Risk-Informed Extended Completion Times—RITSTF [Risk-Informed TSTF] Initiative 4b.”
Public Comments Received as to Proposed NSHC (Yes/No).	No.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2011 *et seq.*

Dated: June 1, 2026.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Hipólito González,

Acting Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2026–11492 Filed 6–8–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

International Product Change—Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package

International Service contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Competitive Product List in the Mail Classification Schedule.

DATES: *Date of notice:* June 9, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher C. Meyerson, (202) 268–7820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 2, 2026, it filed with the Postal Regulatory

Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 115 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2026–260 and K2026–258.

Daria Valan,

Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026–11543 Filed 6–8–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–105613; File No. SR–NASDAQ–2026–046]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule General 8 Regarding Intrafirm Cabinet Connectivity

June 4, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 22, 2026, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule General 8, Section 1 to expressly list non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity as a subset of Fiber³ connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(b), and amend the fees applicable to such service, as described below.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/nasdaq/rulefilings>, and at the principal office of the Exchange.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Rule General 8, Section 1(b).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule General 8, Section 1 to expressly list non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity as a subset of Fiber⁴ connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(b), and amend the fees applicable to such service, as described below.

Background—Intrafirm Cabinet Connectivity Service

The Exchange offers *non-contiguous* intrafirm cabinet connectivity services consisting of cross connections linking a customer’s cabinet to another non-contiguous or non-adjacent⁵ cabinet, where all such cabinets are licensed to the same customer. By contrast, cabling between contiguous or adjacent cabinets licensed to the same customer, where the connection does not traverse shared data center space, is generally customer-directed and is not offered by the Exchange as a standalone connectivity service.

With respect to *non-contiguous* intrafirm cabinet connectivity, today customers can order such services as a standard Fiber connection under Rule General 8, Section 1(b) for an installation fee of \$550 and no ongoing monthly fee. Alternatively, customers can choose a custom installation for an

⁴ See Rule General 8, Section 1(b).

⁵ For purposes of this proposal, the Exchange distinguishes between cabling that remains wholly within adjacent customer cabinets and does not traverse shared data center space and cabling that traverses shared data center space. The latter implicates common pathways and Exchange-managed infrastructure and is therefore treated as non-contiguous. The Exchange, however, exercises (and will continue to exercise) supervisory oversight over the relevant data center space and the conditions under which such cabling may be installed, maintained, and accessed, consistent with its responsibility for the operation and integrity of its facilities.

installation-specific price as provided in the Custom Installation provision under Rule General 8, Section 1(d).

With respect to contiguous cabling between adjacent cabinets licensed to the same customer, the cabling arrangement is generally customer-directed and may be implemented by the customer or by third parties at the customer’s expense. The Exchange does not offer contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity as a standalone connectivity service and does not assess a recurring fee for such customer-directed arrangements. If requested by the customer, however, the Exchange may provide installation assistance or furnish cabling on an ancillary basis under the Custom Installation provision of Rule General 8, Section 1(d).

Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange now proposes to amend Rule General 8 to expressly list non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity as a subset of Fiber⁶ connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(b). To effect this change, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule General 8 to (1) explicitly list “Intrafirm Cabinet Connectivity” as a subset of Fiber connectivity under that subsection; and (2) eliminate the availability of non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(d). Thus, as proposed, customers would no longer have the option of selecting intrafirm cabinet connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(d).

As discussed above, the availability of non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity under Rule General 8 is not new. Rather, that service has long been available, whether as a subset of Fiber under Rule General 8, Section 1(b), or as part of the broader Custom Installation offering under Section 1(d) of that Rule. The Exchange now proposes to list that service expressly within the Fiber connectivity provisions of Rule General 8, Section 1(b), thereby providing greater transparency regarding the service’s availability and applicable pricing within the Exchange’s connectivity fee schedule. As noted above, customers would no longer have the option of selecting installation for non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity under Rule General 8, Section 1(d).

As proposed, non-contiguous intrafirm cabinet connectivity within the Exchange’s data center halls would be administered directly by the

⁶ See Rule General 8, Section 1(b).