

to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number 4–518 and should be submitted on or before July 13, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>10</sup>

**Stephanie J. Fouse,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2026–12413 Filed 6–18–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–105705; File No. SR–NYSETEX–2026–22]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Texas, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Add a New Partial Cabinet Solution Bundle

June 16, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on June 2, 2026, NYSE Texas, Inc. (“NYSE Texas” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to add a new Partial Cabinet Solution bundle as part of its co-location services. The description of the Partial Cabinet Solution bundles and related fees in the Connectivity Fee Schedule (“Fee Schedule”) would be updated accordingly. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com) and at the principal office of the Exchange.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to add a new Partial Cabinet Solution (“PCS”) bundle as part of its co-location services. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to add a 4 kW PCS bundle. The description of the PCS bundles and related fees in the Fee Schedule would be updated accordingly.

The Exchange expects that the proposed rule change would become operative no later than October 31, 2026. The Exchange will announce the date through a customer notice.

###### Background

Currently, Users<sup>3</sup> may select a PCS bundle which includes a 2 kW partial cabinet; access to the Liquidity Center Network (“LCN”) and internet protocol (“IP”) network, the local area networks available in the data center; two NMS network<sup>4</sup> connections, two fiber cross connections; and connectivity to one of two time feeds.<sup>5</sup> In addition to other requirements, a User and its Affiliates<sup>6</sup> must have an Aggregate Cabinet Footprint<sup>7</sup> of 2 kW or less to qualify for the PCS bundle.

The PCS bundles were designed to attract smaller Users, including those with minimal power or cabinet space demands or those for which the costs attendant with having a dedicated

cabinet or greater network connection bandwidth are too burdensome.<sup>8</sup> That has not changed. But as hardware and other infrastructure has evolved, even those with minimal demands need more power to meet the requirements of their hardware, such that even smaller Users may find the existing 2 kW PCS bundle inadequate to meet their needs.

###### Proposed Changes

To respond to Users’ increased power needs, the Exchange proposes to add a new 4 kW PCS bundle. To differentiate it from the existing 2 kW PCS bundle, the Exchange proposes to label them as Options A and B. Like its predecessor, Option B would be sized to meet the needs of smaller Users and their current power needs.

To implement the changes, the Exchange would amend Note 1, in relevant part, as follows (proposed additions *italicized*):

1. To qualify for a Partial Cabinet Solution bundle, a User must meet the following conditions: (1) it must purchase only one Partial Cabinet Solution bundle; (2) the User and its Affiliates must not currently have a Partial Cabinet Solution bundle; and (3) after the purchase of the Partial Cabinet Solution bundle, the User, together with its Affiliates, will have an Aggregate Cabinet Footprint of no more than 2 kW *for Option A and 4 kW for Option B.*

- A User requesting a Partial Cabinet Solution bundle will be required to certify to the Exchange (a) whether any other Users or Hosted Customers are Affiliates of the certifying User, and (b) that after the purchase of the Partial Cabinet Solution bundle, the User, together with its Affiliates, would have an Aggregate Cabinet Footprint of no more than 2 kW *for Option A and 4 kW for Option B.*

The Exchange would also amend the Fee Schedule to label the 2 kW PCS bundle as Option A and add the new proposed Option B. The amended Fee Schedule would read as follows (proposed deletions bracketed; proposed additions *italicized*):

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(85).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> For purposes of the Exchange’s colocation services, a “User” means any market participant that requests to receive colocation services directly from the Exchange. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 87408 (October 28, 2019), 84 FR 58778 at n.6 (November 1, 2019) (SR–NYSECHX–2019–12). As specified in the Fee Schedule, a User that incurs colocation fees for a particular colocation service pursuant thereto would not be subject to colocation fees for the same colocation service

charged by the New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE American LLC, NYSE Arca, Inc., and NYSE National, Inc. (together, the “Affiliate SROs”). Each Affiliate SRO has submitted substantially the same proposed rule change to propose the change described herein.

<sup>4</sup> The NMS Network is an alternate dedicated network connection that Users use to access the NMS feeds for which the Securities Industry Automation Corporation is engaged as the securities information processor. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88972 (May 29, 2020), 85 FR 34472 (June 4, 2020) (SR–NYSECHX–2020–18).

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97751 (June 16, 2023), 88 FR 41178 (June 23, 2023) (SR–NYSECHX–2023–12).

<sup>6</sup> An “Affiliate” of a User is any other User or Hosted Customer that is under 50% or greater common ownership or control of the first User. See Schedule, p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> The “Aggregate Cabinet Footprint” of a User is the total kW of the User’s cabinets, including both partial and dedicated cabinets. Fee Schedule, p. 1.

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act No. 87853 (December 23, 2019), 84 FR 72392 (December 31, 2019) (SR–NYSECHX–2019–27).

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Partial Cabinet Solution bundles .....<br/> Note: A User and its Affiliates are limited to one Partial Cabinet Solution bundle at a time. A User and its Affiliates must have an Aggregate Cabinet Footprint of 2 kW or less to qualify for [a Partial Cabinet Solution bundle] <i>Option A and 4 kW or less to qualify for Option B.</i> See Note 1 under “Colocation Notes.”<br/> A purchaser of a Partial Cabinet Solution bundle must select NMS Network connections of the same size (<i>i.e.</i>, 10 Gb or 40 Gb) as the related LCN and IP network connections..</p> | <p><i>Option A:</i><br/> 2 kW partial cabinet, 1 LCN connection (10 Gb LX or 40 Gb), 1 IP network connection (10 Gb or 40 Gb), 2 NMS Network connections (10 Gb or 40 Gb each), 2 fiber cross connections and either the Network Time Protocol Feed or Precision Timing Protocol..<br/> <i>Option B:</i><br/> 4 kW partial cabinet, 1 LCN connection (10 Gb LX or 40 Gb), 1 IP network connection (10 Gb or 40 Gb), 2 NMS Network connections (10 Gb or 40 Gb each), 2 fiber cross connections and either the Network Time Protocol Feed or Precision Timing Protocol.</p> | <p>\$10,000 initial charge per bundle plus \$16,500 monthly charge per bundle.<br/><br/> \$12,000 initial charge per bundle plus \$19,000 monthly charge per bundle.</p> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

### Application and Impact of the Proposed Change

The proposed change would apply to all PCS bundles. The proposed change would not apply differently to distinct types or sizes of market participants. Rather, it would apply to all Users equally.

Users that require other sizes or combinations of cabinets, network connections and cross connects could still request them. As is currently the case, the purchase of any colocation service, including PCS bundles, is completely voluntary and the Price List is applied uniformly to all Users.

The Exchange expects to obtain at most a handful of new Users as a result of offering the 4 kW PCS bundles. A User, including a User with a 4 kW dedicated cabinet, would be able to convert to the 4 kW PCS bundle if it otherwise met the conditions.

### Competitive Environment

The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>9</sup>

The Exchange’s provision of 4 kW PCS bundles would be subject to competition from Hosting Users. A “Hosting User” is a User that hosts another entity in its space within the data center.<sup>10</sup> The hosted customer is a “Hosted Customer.”<sup>11</sup> Based on conversations with Users and potential customers, the Exchange understands that Hosting Users offer bundles (“Hosting User Bundles”) that include

cabinet space and space on shared LCN and IP network connections—and that the Hosting User Bundles provide their end users with a service similar to that of the PCS bundles.<sup>12</sup>

The Exchange does not believe that the fact that Hosting Users are subject to co-location fees, including a Hosting Fee of \$1,000 per cabinet per Hosted Customer for each cabinet in which such Hosted Customer is hosted (all such fees together, “Hosting User Fees”), precludes Hosting Users from providing services competitive to the proposed 4 kW PCS bundles.<sup>13</sup>

This is because it is in the Exchange’s interest to set the Hosting User Fees at a reasonable level so that Hosting Users will maximize their use of the MDC. When the Hosting User Fees are set at a reasonable level, the Exchange believes that Hosting Users are more likely to install equipment in the MDC and to sell connections to Hosted Customers. These Hosting Users then compete with each other and the Exchange by pricing such Hosting User Bundles at competitive rates. These competitive rates for Hosting User Bundles help draw in more Users, including Hosting Users, to the MDC. This directly benefits the Exchange by increasing the customer base to whom the Exchange can sell its colocation services, which include cabinets, power, ports, and connectivity to third-party systems and data feeds, and because more Users, including Hosting Users,

and Hosted Customers leads, in many cases, to greater participation on the Exchange. In this way, by setting the Hosting User Fees at a level attractive to Hosting Users, the Exchange spurs demand for all of the services it sells at the MDC.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which exchanges and other vendors (*i.e.*, Hosting Users) offer co-location services as a means to facilitate the trading and other market activities of those market participants who believe that co-location enhances the efficiency of their operations.

The proposed change is not otherwise intended to address any other issues relating to colocation services or related fees, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that Users would have in complying with the proposed change.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>14</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>15</sup> in particular, because it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest and because it is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange further believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,<sup>16</sup> because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities and

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

<sup>10</sup> See 84 FR 58778, *supra* note 4, at 58779.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> Because Hosting Users’ services are not regulated, they may offer differentiated pricing and are not required to make their pricing public or disclose it to the Exchange. The Exchange therefore does not have direct visibility into the specific range of options, or cost thereof, offered by Hosting Users, and relies on third parties for information.

<sup>13</sup> See Securities Exchange Act No. 93214 (September 30, 2021), 86 FR 55672 (October 6, 2021) (SR–NYSE–2021–05, SR–NYSEAmer–2021–04, SR–NYSEArca–2021–07, SR–NYSECHX–2021–01, SR–NYSENAT–2021–01) (Order Disapproving Proposed Rule Changes, as Modified by Partial Amendment No. 1, To Amend Each Exchange’s Fee Schedule To Add Two Partial Cabinet Bundles Available in Co-Location and Establish Associated Fees), at 55676 (stating that “it remains unclear how the presence of Hosting Users brings significant competitive forces to bear on Exchange pricing of the proposed products”).

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>15</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

#### The Proposed Change Is Reasonable

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is reasonable.

In considering the reasonableness of proposed services and fees, the Commission's market-based test considers "whether the exchange was subject to significant competitive forces in setting the terms of its proposal . . . , including the level of any fees."<sup>17</sup> If the Exchange meets that burden, "the Commission will find that its proposal is consistent with the Act unless 'there is a substantial countervailing basis to find that the terms' of the proposal violate the Act or the rules thereunder."<sup>18</sup> Here, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in setting the terms on which it offers its proposal, in particular because substantially similar substitutes are available from Hosting Users.

The proposed rule is reasonable because it would not force current or potential Users or Hosted Customers to accept a "one-size-fits-all" bundle but would instead permit them to choose between the Exchange and Hosting Users to tailor their service selection and fees to meet their own individual business models. That selection may vary depending on the size, customer base, latency and needs of the entity at issue.

Because Users are third parties and are not required to make such information public, the Exchange does not have visibility into exactly how many Users currently offer Hosting User Bundles. However, based in part on the fact that, as of April 30, 2026, Hosting Users reported 57 Hosted Users, the Exchange believes that actual Hosting Users offer, and potential Hosting Users may offer, Hosting User Bundles if it is in their commercial interest. As a result, the Exchange believes that the provision of the 4 kW PCS bundles would be

subject to competition from Hosting User Bundles.

The Exchange does not believe that the Hosting User Fees preclude Hosting Users from providing services competitive to the proposed 4 kW PCS bundles. Hosting User Fees are not an impediment because it is in the Exchange's interest to set the Hosting User Fees at a reasonable level so that Hosting Users will maximize their use of the MDC. If the Exchange were to set Hosting User Fees at an unreasonable level, it could expect the competitive environment among Hosting Users to wither. Some current Hosting Users would likely exit the MDC, while others would reduce the scope of their operations there, and some may never enter at all, as Hosting Users are not required to be in the MDC. Fewer Hosting Users in the MDC would lead to less competition for the sale of bundles both among Hosting Users and between them and the Exchange, which would likely cause the prices of bundles to rise. This, in turn, would increase Users' and Hosted Customers' overall costs of doing business in the MDC. Some might choose to exit the MDC altogether, while others might seek to reduce their footprint by decreasing the number of cabinets, ports, and power they use, or by reducing the number of third-party data feeds they connect to at the MDC. The Exchange thus has every incentive to set the Hosting User Fees at a rate that is reasonable for Hosting Users, and no incentive to charge any more than that.

The Exchange's experience with growing numbers of Hosted Customers bears this out. The concept of Hosting Users was introduced in 2011. In 2012 no Hosted Customers were reported to the Exchange, 37 were reported in July 2015, and 57 were reported in April 2026.

The expansion of the footprint of Hosting Users increased even more. As noted, in 2012 no Hosting Users were reported. In July 2015, the amount of kW used by Hosting Users was 103 kW, compared to 546 kW as of April 2026—more than five times the amount. Even if the review is limited to Hosting Users that were present under the same name in both July 2015 and April 2026, the growth remains striking, at more than two and a half times the amount used.

Indeed, the Exchange initially allowed Users to host customers—which increased competition in the MDC—because market participants wanted it. As noted above, if Hosting Users did not find they could make money despite the Hosting User Fees, they would exit the MDC. The reality is that Hosting Users may make Hosting User Fees back

multitudes of times over by charging Hosted Customers for the space at whatever price the Hosting User wants to charge and Hosted Customers are willing to pay. In this way, anecdotal evidence suggests that it is commercially viable for the Hosting Users to pay Hosting User Fees in the MDC.

In short, the Hosting User Bundles would compete with the proposed 4 kW PCS bundles and would exert significant competitive forces on the Exchange in setting the terms of its proposal, including the level of the Exchange's proposed fees. If the Exchange were to set its proposed fees too high, Users or potential Users could respond by instead selecting other substantially similar bundles in the form of Hosting User Bundles.

Nor does the Exchange have a competitive advantage over Hosting Users by virtue of the fact that it owns and operates the MDC's meet-me-rooms. Users or Hosted Customers would require a circuit connecting out of the MDC, and in most cases, such circuits are provided by third-party telecommunications service providers that have installed their equipment in the MDC's two meet-me-rooms ("Telecoms").<sup>19</sup> Currently, 17 Telecoms operate in the meet-me-rooms and provide a variety of circuit choices. It is in the Exchange's best interest to set the fees that Telecoms pay to operate in the meet-me-rooms at a reasonable level<sup>20</sup> so that market participants, including Telecoms, will maximize their use of the MDC. By setting the meet-me-room fees at a reasonable level, the Exchange encourages Telecoms to participate in the meet-me-rooms and to sell circuits to Users for connecting into and out of the MDC. These Telecoms then compete with each other by pricing such circuits at competitive rates. These competitive rates for circuits help draw in more Users and Hosted Customers to the MDC, which directly benefits the Exchange by increasing the customer base to whom the Exchange can sell its colocation services, which include cabinets, power, ports, and connectivity to many third-party data feeds, and because having more Users and Hosted Customers leads, in many cases, to greater participation on the Exchange. In this way, by setting the meet-me-room

<sup>17</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90209 (October 15, 2020), 85 FR 67044, 67049 (October 21, 2020) (Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Establish a Wireless Fee Schedule Setting Forth Available Wireless Bandwidth Connections and Wireless Market Data Connections) (SR-NYSE-2020-05, SR-NYSEAMER-2020-05, SR-NYSEArca-2020-08, SR-NYSECHX-2020-02, SR-NYSEANAT-2020-03, SR-NYSE-2020-11, SR-NYSEAMER-2020-10, SR-NYSEArca-2020-15, SR-NYSECHX-2020-05, SR-NYSEANAT-2020-08) ("Wireless Approval Order"), citing Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74781 (December 9, 2008) ("2008 ArcaBook Approval Order"). See *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

<sup>18</sup> Wireless Approval Order, *supra* note 18, at 67049, citing 2008 ArcaBook Approval Order, *supra* note 18, at 74781.

<sup>19</sup> Note that in the case of wireless connectivity, a User in colocation still requires a fiber circuit to transport data. If a Telecom is used, the data is transmitted wirelessly to the relevant pole, and then from the pole to the meet-me-room using a fiber circuit.

<sup>20</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 98001 (July 26, 2023), 88 FR 50196 (August 1, 2023) (SR-NYSECHX-2023-14).

fees at a level attractive to telecommunications firms, the Exchange spurs demand for all of the services it sells at the MDC, while setting the meet-me-room fees too high would negatively affect the Exchange's ability to sell its services at the MDC.<sup>21</sup> Accordingly, there are real constraints on the meet-me-room fees the Exchange charges, such that the Exchange does not have an advantage in terms of costs when compared to third parties that enter the MDC through the meet-me-rooms to provide services to compete with the Exchange's services.

If anything, the Exchange would be subject to a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis Hosting Users regarding potential bundles. Entities that choose bundles may negotiate terms with a Hosting User through whom such bundle is delivered, in response to competitive forces. Such prices are not required to be filed by any party with the Commission. In contrast, the Exchange's services and pricing would be standardized as set out in this filing, and the Exchange would be unable to respond to pricing pressure from its competitors without seeking a formal fee change in a filing before the Commission.

In sum, because the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in setting the terms on which it offers its proposal, in particular because the Exchange believes that there is a substantially similar substitute for PCS bundles, the proposed fees for the 4 kW PCS bundle are reasonable.<sup>22</sup> If the Exchange were to set its prices for the 4 kW PCS bundle at a level that Users found to be too high, Users could easily choose to connect to Hosting User Bundles instead.

For these reasons, the proposed change is reasonable.

#### The Proposed Change Is Equitable

The Exchange believes that the proposed change provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers because it is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between market participants. Rather, it would apply to all market participants equally.

The PCS bundles were designed to attract smaller Users, including those with minimal power or cabinet space

demands or those for which the costs attendant with having a dedicated cabinet or greater network connection bandwidth are too burdensome. As equipment has evolved, even those with minimal demands need more power to meet the requirements of their hardware, such that even smaller Users may not find the existing PCS bundles meet their needs adequately. The proposed 4 kW PCS bundle would be responsive to the evolution of equipment, and so the Exchange believes that its introduction is equitable because it would not force customers to accept a "one-size-fits-all" suite of PCS bundles but would instead permit them to tailor their service selection and fees to meet their own individual business models.

Without this proposed rule change, potential Users would have fewer usable options. This would be a detriment for them, especially for potential Users with minimal power or cabinet space demands or those for which the costs attendant with having a dedicated cabinet or greater network connection bandwidth are too burdensome.

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable because only Users that voluntarily select a PCS bundle would be charged for it. As is true now, the PCS bundles would be available to all Users on an equal basis, and all Users that voluntarily choose to purchase a PCS bundle would be charged the same amount, and be subject to the same restrictions, for that bundle.

#### The Proposed Change Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes its proposal is not unfairly discriminatory.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the reasons above, the proposed changes do not unfairly discriminate between or among market participants that are otherwise capable of satisfying any applicable co-location fees, requirements, terms and conditions established from time to time by the Exchange.

For these reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

#### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule changes will not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in

furtherance of the purposes of Section 6(b)(8) of the Act.<sup>23</sup>

The proposed change does not affect competition among national securities exchanges or among members of the Exchange, but rather between PCS bundles and Hosting User Bundles. The proposed changes would enhance competition by giving smaller Users an option to have a 4 kW PCS bundle to meet their needs. The proposed change may make PCS bundles more attractive to potential Users who might otherwise opt to become Hosted Customers. It would therefore enhance the competitive environment for potential Users, as they would have more options from which to select. This could be especially beneficial for potential Users with minimal power or cabinet space demands or those for which the costs attendant with having a dedicated cabinet or greater network connection bandwidth are too burdensome. At the same time, however, no potential User would be obligated to purchase a PCS bundle, and it would still have the options offered by Hosting Users.

The Exchange believes that the proposed change is a reasonable attempt to maintain a more level playing field between the Exchange and the Hosting Users. Because Hosting Users' services are not regulated, they may offer differentiated pricing and are not required to make their pricing public. The Exchange believes that the proposed change may offer a PCS bundle that is more attractive to potential Users who might otherwise opt to become Hosted Customers.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which exchanges and other vendors (*i.e.*, Hosting Users) offer co-location services as a means to facilitate the trading and other market activities of those market participants who believe that co-location enhances the efficiency of their operations.

The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>24</sup>

For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed

<sup>21</sup> See *id.* at 50199. Importantly, the Exchange is prevented from making any alteration to its meet-me-room services or fees without filing a proposal for such changes with the Commission.

<sup>22</sup> See Wireless Approval Order, *supra* note 18.

<sup>23</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

<sup>24</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

rule changes reflect this competitive environment.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR-NYSETEX-2026-22 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSETEX-2026-22. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is

obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSETEX-2026-22 and should be submitted on or before July 13, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>25</sup>

**Stephanie J. Fouse,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2026-12411 Filed 6-18-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-105704; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2026-34]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Change To Amend Its Rules To Extend Trading Hours for Certain Eligible Equity Options**

June 16, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on June 5, 2026, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules to extend trading hours for certain eligible equity options and make related conforming changes. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com) and at the principal office of the Exchange.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at

the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

**1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules to adopt extended hours trading for certain eligible equity<sup>4</sup> options and make related conforming changes. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new Rule 901.1NY to establish two additional trading sessions to its Core Trading Session (9:30 a.m.–4:00 p.m. Eastern Time)<sup>5</sup> and to adopt new Rule 901.2NY to establish and govern the trading of certain eligible equity options during the two newly created trading sessions (*i.e.*, "Extended Hours Trading"). In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 952NYP to address the auction process during Extended Hours Trading.

**Background**

Currently, option transactions may only be made on the Exchange during the Core Trading Session (*i.e.*, 9:30 a.m. through 4:00 p.m. or 4:15 p.m., as applicable).<sup>6</sup> The Core Trading Session is consistent with the regular trading hours of other U.S. options exchanges and U.S. equity exchanges. However, many U.S. equity exchanges and certain other U.S. options exchanges, including Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe"), presently allow for trading outside of regular trading hours as well.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Including Exchange-Traded Funds ("ETF") and commodity-based trust shares.

<sup>5</sup> Unless noted otherwise, all times in this filing are Eastern Time.

<sup>6</sup> See Rule 900.2NY (Definitions). "The term 'Core Trading Hours' shall mean the regular trading hours for business set forth in the rules of the primary markets underlying those option classes listed on the Exchange; provided, however, that transactions may be effected on the Exchange until the regular time set for the normal close of trading in the primary markets with respect to equity option classes and ETF option classes, and 15 minutes after the regular time set for the normal close of trading in the primary markets with respect to index option classes, or such other hours as may be determined by the Exchange from time to time." Per Rule 901NY, Commentary .02, Options on ETFs and Options on Index-Linked Securities (or ETNs) may be traded on the Exchange until 4:15 p.m. each business day. The Exchange proposes a non-substantive change to Rule 901NY, Commentary .02 to provide a beginning and ending time for options on ETFs and options on Index-Linked Securities to align itself with the practices of other options exchanges. See proposed Rule 901NY, Commentary .02.

<sup>7</sup> For example, NYSE Arca Equities currently allows for an Early Trading Session from 4:00 a.m.

<sup>25</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.