

Plan to Implement a Tick Size Pilot.³ The Tick Size Pilot ran for two years, starting in October 2016.⁴ In order to implement the Tick Size Pilot, the Exchange adopted Equity 4, Rule 3317, which is titled “Compliance with Regulation NMS Plan to Implement a Tick Size Pilot.”⁵ Given that the Tick Size Pilot has long since concluded, the Exchange proposes to delete this obsolete rule text, and instead reserve Rule 3317.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁶ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade because removing obsolete rule text regarding the Tick Size Pilot will serve to avoid confusion and provide clarity to market participants. Additionally, it is necessary and consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors to make this technical correction to the Exchange’s rulebook at Equity 4 in order to avoid confusing the investing public with obsolete rule text in Rule 3317.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to address any competitive issue; instead, its purpose is to ensure that the

Exchange’s rulebook remains accurate and up to date.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁸ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.⁹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR–PHLX–2026–39 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–PHLX–2026–39. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–PHLX–2026–39 and should be submitted on or before July 14, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

Vanessa A. Countryman,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–12528 Filed 6–22–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–105715; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2026–054]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 14.11 Regarding Information Circular Requirements

June 17, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 5, 2026, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74892 (May 6, 2015), 80 FR 27514 (May 13, 2015) (“Order Approving the National Market System Plan To Implement a Tick Size Pilot Program by BATS Exchange, Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc., as Modified by the Commission, for a Two-Year Period”).

⁴ See <https://www.sec.gov/data-research/tick-size-pilot-program>.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 77458 (Mar. 28, 2016), 81 FR 18919 (Apr. 1, 2016) (“Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Adopt Rule 3317 To Implement the Regulation NMS Plan To Implement a Tick Size Pilot Program”) (File No. SR–Phlx–2016–39).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX" or the "Exchange") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") a proposed rule change to: (1) amend the introductory paragraph of Rule 14.11(j) to add express cross-references to Rules 3.7 and 3.21; (2) delete Rule 14.11(j)(1) in its entirety, thereby removing the requirement that the Exchange distribute an information circular to Members prior to the commencement of trading in each UTP Derivative Security³ that generally includes the same information as contained in the information circular approved by the listing exchange; (3) amend Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) to require that any written description be provided in a form approved by the listing exchange; and (4) renumber Rules 14.11(j)(2) through (5) as Rules 14.11(j)(1) through (4), respectively. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission's website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange's website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to eliminate the requirement that the Exchange distribute an information circular to Members prior to the commencement of trading in each UTP Derivative Security that generally includes the same information as contained in the information circular provided by the listing exchange as provided in Rule

14.11(j)(1). The Exchange also proposes to amend the introductory paragraph of Rule 14.11(j) to add express cross-references to Rules 3.7 and 3.21, as the existing references to those rules are being deleted from Rule 14.11(j)(1). The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) to require that any written description be provided in a form approved by the listing exchange.⁴ Last, the Exchange proposes to renumber Rules 14.11(j)(2) through (5) as Rules 14.11(j)(1) through (4), respectively.

Rule 14.11(j) governs the trading of UTP Derivative Securities on the Exchange—securities listed on another national securities exchange that trade on the Exchange pursuant to unlisted trading privileges ("UTP"). Under current Rule 14.11(j)(1), the Exchange must distribute an information circular prior to the commencement of trading in each UTP Derivative Security that generally mirrors the information circular issued by the primary listing exchange, including: (a) the special risks of trading the Derivative Security; (b) the Exchange Rules that will apply to the Derivative Security, including Rule 3.7; (c) information about the dissemination of the value of the underlying assets or indexes; and (d) the risk of trading during the Early Trading Session (2:30 a.m.–8:00 a.m. Eastern Time), Pre-Opening Session (8:00 a.m.–9:30 a.m. Eastern Time) and the After Hours Trading Session (4:00 p.m.–8:00 p.m. Eastern Time) due to the lack of calculation or dissemination of the underlying index value, the Intraday Indicative Value (as defined in Rule 14.11(b)(3)(C)) or a similar value. The Exchange proposes to delete Rule 14.11(j)(1) in its entirety.

The information circular requirement is unnecessary because the primary listing exchange's information circular already provides Members with the same disclosures the Exchange would otherwise be required to produce. Members have access to the primary listing exchange's information circular prior to the commencement of UTP trading and may rely upon it for the same purposes.⁵ The Exchange's issuance of a separate, duplicative circular therefore serves no independent investor protection function.

The Exchange also proposes to amend the introductory paragraph of Rule 14.11(j) to add express cross-references

to Rule 3.7 (Recommendations to Customers) and Rule 3.21 (Customer Disclosures). Current Rule 14.11(j)(1) references both Rule 3.7 and the risk of trading outside of Regular Trading Hours addressed by Rule 3.21; because the Exchange proposes to delete Rule 14.11(j)(1) in its entirety, the Exchange is adding express cross-references to those rules in the introductory paragraph of Rule 14.11(j) to preserve their visibility in the context of UTP Derivative Securities. These rules already apply to Members by operation of the Exchange's rulebook. The cross-references are intended to make the applicable framework explicit in the context of UTP Derivative Securities. Rule 3.7 independently requires Members to ensure that any recommendation of a UTP Derivative Security is suitable for the customer based on the customer's investment profile, a standing obligation that applies regardless of whether the Exchange has issued a product-specific information circular. Similarly, Rule 3.21 independently requires Members to provide customers with a written disclosure of the risks of trading outside of Regular Trading Hours before accepting any order for execution during such sessions, a standing obligation not contingent on the Exchange's issuance of a product-specific information circular.

Because Rule 14.11(j)(1) has historically served as the mechanism through which the Exchange satisfies the notification obligation under Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B), deletion of Rule 14.11(j)(1) necessitates a conforming amendment to Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B). The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) to require that any written description be provided in a form approved by the listing exchange. The Exchange will notify Members by information circular that such written description will only be required when mandated by the listing exchange. This amendment is consistent with the broader purpose of the proposed rule change: where the listing exchange's information circular already provides Members with the information necessary to assess a UTP Derivative Security, a duplicative written description obligation serves no independent investor protection function. Members may rely on the listing exchange's information circular in the same manner and to the same effect.

Finally, the Exchange proposes to renumber existing Rules 14.11(j)(2) through (5) as Rules 14.11(j)(1) through (4), respectively.

⁴ As further described below, the Exchange will notify Members of the written description requirement under Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) by means of an information circular. Such written description will only be required when required by the listing exchange.

⁵ Such information circulars are generally available on the primary listing market's website.

³ See Exchange Rule 1.5(ee).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁶ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁷ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁸ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange believes the proposed deletion of Rule 14.11(j)(1) is consistent with the Act because the primary listing exchange's information circular already provides Members with the same disclosures that the Exchange's information circular would contain. The investor protection functions historically served by the information circular requirement are independently addressed through the primary listing exchange's information circular and the Member-level obligations imposed by Rules 3.7 and 3.21, to which the Exchange proposes to add express cross-references in the introductory paragraph of Rule 14.11(j). The proposed amendment to Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) ensures that where a written description is required, it is provided in a form approved by the listing exchange, thereby aligning the Exchange's requirements with those of the primary listing market. The Exchange will further notify Members by information circular that such written description will only be required when mandated by the listing exchange, ensuring that no duplicative obligation is imposed where the listing exchange has not determined one to be warranted. The renumbering of Rules 14.11(j)(2) through (5) as Rules 14.11(j)(1) through (4) is ministerial. For these reasons, the Exchange believes the

proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change eliminates duplicative procedural obligations applicable to the Exchange in its capacity as a UTP trading venue. It does not alter the terms or conditions under which UTP Derivative Securities may be traded on the Exchange, impose any new requirements on Members, or affect the ability of any market participant to access the Exchange's markets. Members will continue to have access to the primary listing exchange's information circular prior to the commencement of UTP trading and may rely upon it for the same purposes as the Exchange's information circular. The proposed amendment to Rule 14.11(j)(2)(B) aligns the written description obligation with the primary listing market's requirements and does not impose any burden on Members beyond what the primary listing market itself requires. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change imposes any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁰ thereunder. Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; or (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹² thereunder.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires the Exchange to give the

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹³ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b4(f)(6)(iii),¹⁴ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposed rule change may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange states that the proposed rule change eliminates duplicative procedural obligations applicable to the Exchange in its capacity as a UTP trading venue because the primary listing exchange's information circular already provides Members with the same disclosures the Exchange would otherwise be required to produce.¹⁵ The Exchange also notes that the proposed rule change does not alter the terms or conditions under which UTP Derivative Securities may be traded on the Exchange. For these reasons, the Commission finds that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing.¹⁶

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁵ The Exchange represents that such information circulars are generally available on the primary listing market's website. See *supra* note 5.

¹⁶ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ *Id.*

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-054 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-054. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-054 and should be submitted on or before July 14, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-12520 Filed 6-22-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-105714; File No. SR-MSRB-2026-01]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations;
Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend MSRB Rule G-12(c) To Codify and Retire or Revise Certain Existing Interpretive Guidance on Confirmation Requirements for Those Inter-Dealer Municipal Securities Transactions That are Ineligible for Automated Comparison**

June 17, 2026.

I. Introduction

On May 1, 2026, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act" or "Exchange Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend Rule G-12 ("Rule G-12"), on uniform practice, to codify into rule language and to retire or revise existing interpretive guidance on confirmation requirements for those inter-dealer municipal securities transactions between two brokers, dealers or municipal securities dealers (collectively, "dealers") that are ineligible for automated comparison at a registered clearing agency, as well as to retire or revise other related interpretive guidance and to make technical amendments (collectively, the "proposed rule change").³

The MSRB will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change, including the retirement and revision of the related interpretive guidance in a regulatory notice to be published on the MSRB website no later than 90 days following this approval.⁴ The effective date will be no later than one year following this approval.⁵ The MSRB has indicated that the effective date of the proposed rule change will occur simultaneously with the retirement and revision of the related interpretive guidance.

The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2026.⁶ The public comment period closed on May 28,

2026, and no comment letters were received on the proposed rule change. As described further below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change*A. Background*

Section (c) of Rule G-12 sets forth the confirmation requirements for inter-dealer municipal securities transactions that are ineligible for automated comparison in a system operated by a registered clearing agency,⁷ also referred to herein as "inter-dealer confirmations."⁸ According to the MSRB, since the original adoption of Rule G-12(c) in 1977, Rule G-12 has requirements for the exchange and comparison of trade confirmations by dealers in inter-dealer transactions.⁹ Rule G-12(c) outlines a list of content requirements related to inter-dealer confirmations that, according to the MSRB, are analogous in scope to the content requirements for customer confirmations listed in pre-1990s iterations of section (a) of MSRB Rule G-15 ("Rule G-15"), on customer confirmations.¹⁰

According to the MSRB, a small number of inter-dealer trades remain subject to the confirmation requirement of Rule G-12(c), predominantly due to their ineligibility for CUSIP number assignment.¹¹ Nonetheless, market participants are contemplating new technological approaches that allow digitization and electronic record keeping of security ownership without the need for a traditional bond certificate and other aspects that would make such securities ineligible for the existing automated comparison and

⁷ See Notice, 91 FR at 24919. A list of registered clearing agencies is available at <https://www.sec.gov/about/divisions-offices/division-trading-markets/clearing-agencies>. Currently, registered clearing agencies active in the municipal securities market consist of the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation and its affiliates.

⁸ See Notice, 91 FR at 24919-20. Rule G-12(a) exempts inter-dealer transactions in municipal securities submitted to a registered clearing agency for comparison from the inter-dealer confirmation provisions of Rule G-12(c), since the purposes of transaction confirmations are subsumed within the automated comparison process as provided in Rule G-12(f)(i).

⁹ See Notice, 91 FR at 24920. See also Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, Exchange Act Release No. 13939 (Sept. 8, 1977), 42 FR 46445 (Sept. 15, 1977) (File No. SR-MSRB-76-12).

¹⁰ See Notice, 91 FR at 24920.

¹¹ See Notice, 91 FR at 24920. According to the MSRB, from 2020 to 2025, an annual average of 2,447 new municipal securities were issued without assigned CUSIP numbers, so that any inter-dealer trades in such securities would be ineligible for automated comparison and would therefore be subject to Rule G-12(c). See *id.*

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Exchange Act Release No. 34-105362 (May 4, 2026), 91 FR 24919 (May 7, 2026) (File No. SR-MSRB-2026-01) ("Notice").

⁴ See Notice, 91 FR at 24919.

⁵ See *id.*

⁶ See *id.*

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) and (59).