

revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on standard steel welded wire mesh (wire mesh) from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 6, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David De Falco, Trade Agreements Policy and Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–2178.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 9, 2021, Commerce published the *Order* in the **Federal Register**.¹ On March 2, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of this first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act).²

On March 16, 2026, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review for domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed the interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the domestic like product.⁴ On March 24, 2026, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it had received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties.⁵

On March 31, 2026, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i), domestic interested parties filed a timely and adequate substantive response.⁶ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. On April 29, 2026, Commerce notified the ITC that it did

not receive substantive response from any respondent interested parties.⁷ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is wire mesh from Mexico. For the full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 110.42 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or

destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: June 30, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–13510 Filed 7–2–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–489–839]

Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From the Republic of Türkiye: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Determination of Antidumping Investigation; Notice of Amended Final Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 17, 2026, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 21–00246, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce’s (Commerce) third remand redetermination pertaining to the antidumping duty (AD) investigation of common alloy aluminum sheet from the Republic of Türkiye (Türkiye) covering the period of investigation from January 1, 2019,

¹ See *Standard Steel Welded Wire Mesh from Mexico: Antidumping Duty Order*, 86 FR 43525 (August 9, 2021), corrected in *Standard Steel Welded Wire Mesh from Mexico: Antidumping Duty Order; Correction*, 86 FR 45709 (August 16, 2021) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 91 FR 10053 (March 2, 2026).

³ See Domestic Interested Party’s Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Welded Wire Mesh from Mexico—Domestic Interested Parties’ Notice of Intent to Participate” dated March 16, 2026.

⁴ *Id.* at 3.

⁵ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 2, 2026,” dated March 24, 2026.

⁶ See Domestic Interested Party’s Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Standard Steel Welded Wire Mesh from Mexico—Domestic Interested Parties’ Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation,” dated March 31, 2026 (Substantive Response).

⁷ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 2, 2026,” dated April 29, 2026.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Order on Standard Steel Welded Wire Mesh from Mexico,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁹ *Id.*

through December 31, 2019.¹ Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT’s final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce’s final determination in the investigation and that Commerce is amending the final determination and the resulting AD order with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Assan).

DATES: Applicable June 27, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nathan Depew, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 8, 2021, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the AD investigation of common alloy aluminum sheet from Türkiye. Commerce calculated weighted-average dumping margins of 2.02 percent for Assan, 13.56 percent for Teknik Alüminyum Sanayi A.S. (Teknik), and 4.85 percent for all other producers and exporters.² Commerce subsequently published the AD order on common alloy aluminum sheet from Türkiye.³

Assan and the Aluminum Association⁴ both appealed the *Final Determination*. On July 27, 2021, the CIT consolidated the actions challenging the determination. On

March 1, 2023, the CIT remanded the *Final Determination* for Commerce to reconsider or further explain, among other issues, Commerce’s duty drawback adjustment methodology in light of recent precedent given by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit).⁵ In its first remand redetermination, issued on May 31, 2023, Commerce recalculated Assan’s dumping margin to be below the *de minimis* level of two percent.⁶

The Aluminum Association challenged Commerce’s method of calculating the duty drawback adjustment in the *First Remand Results*. On April 11, 2024, the CIT remanded the first remand redetermination for Commerce to reconsider or further explain its duty drawback methodology and respond to the Aluminum Association’s arguments.⁷ On July 31, 2024, Commerce issued its second remand redetermination, in which Commerce accepted Assan’s June 6 and June 20, 2024, submissions, granted a duty drawback adjustment for sales associated with IPC 7905 and IPC 7734, and again found a *de minimis* dumping margin for Assan.⁸ On May 21, 2025, the CIT remanded the second remand redetermination for Commerce to address remaining arguments concerning Assan’s drawback eligibility, including arguments regarding the timeliness of Assan’s June 20 submission and verification.⁹

On September 9, 2025, Commerce issued its third remand redetermination.

In the third remand redetermination, Commerce determined that Assan’s June 20 submission was untimely, concluded that although IPC 7734 was closed there was insufficient support on the record to grant a per unit duty drawback adjustment for that IPC, and found that further verification was unwarranted. In this remand redetermination, Commerce recalculated Assan’s dumping margin to 2.14 percent.¹⁰ On June 17, 2026, the CIT sustained Commerce’s third remand redetermination.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,¹¹ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹² the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s June 17, 2026, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *Final Determination*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Determination* with respect to Assan as follows:

Exporter or producer	Final determination weighted-average dumping margin ¹³ (percent)	Amended final determination weighted-average dumping margin ¹⁴ (percent)
Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	2.02	2.14
Teknik Alüminyum Sanayi A.S	13.56	13.56
All Others	4.85	4.85

¹ See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From Turkey: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 86 FR 13326 (March 8, 2021) (*Final Determination*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

² *Id.*

³ See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 22139, 22142 (April 27, 2021) (*Order*).

⁴ The Aluminum Association Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet Trade Enforcement Working Group and its Individual Members, Aleris Rolled Products, Inc., Arconic, Inc., Constellium Rolled Products Ravenswood, LLC, JW Aluminum

Company, Novelis Corporation, and Texarkana Aluminum, Inc. (collectively, Aluminum Association).

⁵ See *Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. v. United States*, 624 F. Supp. 3d 1343, 1362 (CIT 2023) (*Assan*).

⁶ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, (Dep’t Commerce May 31, 2023), ECF No. 94 (*First Remand Results*), discussed in *Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 21–00246, 701 F. Supp. 3d 1321, 1326 (CIT 2024) (*Assan II*).

⁷ See *Assan II*, 701 F. Supp. 3d at 1326.

⁸ See *Final Results of Second Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, (Dep’t Commerce July 31, 2024), ECF No. 122 (Second Remand Results), discussed in *Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve*

Ticaret A.S. v. United States, 789 F. Supp. 3d 1257, 1269 (CIT 2025) (*Assan III*).

⁹ See *Assan III*, 789 F. Supp. 3d at 1269.

¹⁰ See *Final Results of Third Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, (Dep’t Commerce September 9, 2025), ECF no. 158, 159 (Third Remand Results); *Assan Alüminyum Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 21–00246, Slip Op. 26–65 (CIT June 17, 2026) (*Assan IV*) (sustaining Commerce’s Third Remand Results).

¹¹ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹² See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹³ See *Final Determination*; see also *Order*.

¹⁴ See Third Remand Results.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

Because Assan has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, this notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate for Assan. For all other producers and exporters that do not have a superseding cash deposit rate, Commerce will issue revised deposit instructions to CBP.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 30, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026–13513 Filed 7–2–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–525–002, C–533–896, C–489–840]

Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From Bahrain, India, and the Republic of Türkiye: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) orders on common alloy aluminum sheet (aluminum sheet) from Bahrain, India, and the Republic of Türkiye (Türkiye) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review(s)” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 6, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David De Falco, Trade Agreements Policy and Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–2178.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 27, 2021, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the *Order* on aluminum sheet

from Bahrain, India, and Türkiye.¹ On March 2, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.218(c).²

Between March 11, 2026 and March 17, 2026, Commerce received notices of intent to participate in this review from the Aluminum Association Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet Trade Enforcement Working Group (the Petitioners) and Aluminum Dynamics, LLC (Aluminum Dynamics) (the domestic interested parties), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The petitioners claim that they have interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(E) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(vii) as a trade or business association, a majority of whose members manufacture, produce, or wholesale a domestic like product in the United States.⁴ Aluminum Dynamics claim that it is has interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(v) as a domestic producer of aluminum sheet in the United States.⁵

On March 31, 2026, Commerce received an adequate substantive response from the domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁶

¹ See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, India, and the Republic of Turkey: Countervailing Duty Orders*, 86 FR 22144 (April 27, 2021) (*Bahrain Order, India Order, Türkiye Order*) (collectively, *Orders*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 91 FR 10053 (March 2, 2026).

³ See the Petitioners’ Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain—Petitioners’ Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 13, 2026; Petitioners’ Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from India—Petitioners’ Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 12, 2026; Petitioner’s Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Türkiye—Petitioners’ Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 11, 2026 (Petitioner’s Intent to Participate); and Aluminum Dynamics’ Letter, “Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain: Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 17, 2026; Aluminum Dynamics’ Letter, “Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from India: Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 17, 2026; and Aluminum Dynamics’ Letter, “Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the Republic of Türkiye: Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 17, 2026 (Aluminum Dynamics’ Intent to Participate).

⁴ See Petitioners’ Intent to Participate at 4.

⁵ See Aluminum Dynamics’ Intent to Participate at 2.

⁶ See Petitioners’ Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain—

Commerce did not receive a substantive response from the Governments of Bahrain, India, or Türkiye or a respondent interested party to this proceeding. On April 29, 2026, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁷ As a result, Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B)(2) and (C)(2).

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by these *Orders* is aluminum sheet from Bahrain, India, and Türkiye. For the full description of the scope of the *Orders*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of subsidization and the countervailable subsidy rates likely to prevail if the *Orders* were to be revoked, is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), which is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, complete versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Petitioner’s Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation,” dated March 31, 2026; Petitioners’ Letter (Bahrain Substantive Response), “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from India—Petitioners’ Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation,” dated March 31, 2026 (India Substantive Response); and Petitioners’ Letter, “Five-Year (1st Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Türkiye—Petitioners’ Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation,” dated March 31, 2026 (Türkiye Substantive Response).

⁷ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 2, 2026,” dated April 29, 2026.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, India, and the Republic of Türkiye,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁹ *Id.*