

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–105905; File No. SR–NYSE–2026–33]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Price List

July 14, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that on July 1, 2026, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List regarding the gross FOCUS fee charged to member organizations, effective July 1, 2026. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to provide for a temporary waiver of the Gross FOCUS fee from

July 1, 2026 to December 31, 2026 (the “Waiver Period”).

The Exchange proposes to implement the fee changes effective July 1, 2026.

Background

NYSE Rule 129 provides that the Exchange’s Board may, from time to time, impose such charge(s) on members and member organizations as it deems appropriate to reimburse the Exchange, in whole or in part, for regulatory oversight services provided to the membership by the Exchange.

Generally, the Exchange may only use regulatory fees “to fund the legal, regulatory and surveillance operations” of the Exchange.⁴

Consistent with the foregoing, the Exchange currently charges each member organization a monthly regulatory fee of \$0.11 per \$1,000 of gross revenue reported on its FOCUS Report (“Gross FOCUS Fee”). Member organizations are subject to certain minimum annual Gross FOCUS Fees, which are \$500 for carrying firms and designated market makers, \$250 for introducing firms, and \$45 for member organizations who do not conduct a public business.

The revenue collected pursuant to the Gross FOCUS Fee funds the performance of the Exchange’s regulatory activities with respect to member organizations. More specifically, the Gross FOCUS Fee funds a material portion, but not all, of the Exchange’s expenses related to its regulatory program, including legal expenses associated with regulation, the costs related to in-house staff, third-party service providers, and technology that facilitates regulatory functions such as surveillance, investigation, examinations, and enforcement. Gross FOCUS Fee funds may also be used for indirect expenses such as human resources and other administrative costs (collectively, “Regulatory Costs”).

The Exchange monitors the amount of revenue collected from the Gross FOCUS Fee to ensure that these funds, in combination with its other regulatory fees and fines, do not exceed Regulatory Costs. The Exchange monitors Regulatory Costs and revenues on an annual basis, at a minimum. If the Exchange determines that regulatory revenues exceed or are projected to exceed Regulatory Costs, the Exchange will adjust the Gross FOCUS Fee downward or seek a partial waiver of

the fee by submitting a filing to the Commission. As described below, the Exchange has determined that continued collection of Gross FOCUS Fees at the current rate for the proposed Waiver Period would exceed a material portion of the Exchange’s anticipated Regulatory Costs, justifying the proposed waiver of the Gross FOCUS Fee for member organizations through the end of 2026.

Proposed Rule Change

Based on the Exchange’s recent review of current and anticipated Regulatory Costs and Gross FOCUS Fee revenue, the Exchange proposes to waive the Gross FOCUS Fee from July 1, 2026 through December 31, 2026, in order to help ensure that the amounts collected from the Gross FOCUS Fee, in combination with other regulatory fees and fines, do not exceed the Exchange’s total projected Regulatory Costs. The Exchange proposes to waive the Gross FOCUS Fee because it believes that if the fee is not adjusted, Gross FOCUS Fee revenue to the Exchange year-over-year could exceed a material portion of the Exchange’s Regulatory Costs. The Exchange’s position is based on its periodic analysis of actual and anticipated costs to fund its regulatory program and revenue to offset those costs, including the Gross FOCUS Fee, and takes into consideration the projected regulatory spending landscape going forward. Moreover, the Exchange believes that a waiver rather than adjusting the fee would most efficiently accomplish the goal of reasonably ensuring that Gross FOCUS Fee collection does not exceed anticipated Regulatory Costs and allow for further consideration of the appropriate Gross FOCUS Fee rate going forward.

The Exchange would announce the proposed waiver of the Gross FOCUS Fee by Trader Update.

The proposed change is not otherwise intended to address other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any significant problems that market participants would have in complying with the proposed changes.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁵ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,⁶ in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

⁴ See Fourteenth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC, Art. IV, Sec. 4.05, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/regulation/nyse/Fourteenth_Amended_and_Restated_Operating_Agreement_of_New_York_Stock_Exchange.pdf.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) & (5).

facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Proposed Change Is Reasonable

The Exchange believes the proposed fee change is reasonable because it would help ensure that revenue collected from the Gross FOCUS Fee does not exceed a material portion of the Exchange's projected Regulatory Costs. The Exchange has targeted the Gross FOCUS Fee to generate revenues that would be less than or equal to the Exchange's regulatory costs, which is consistent with both Rule 129 and the Commission's view that regulatory fees be used for regulatory purposes. As noted above, the principle that the Exchange may only use regulatory fees "to fund the legal, regulatory, and surveillance operations" of the Exchange is reflected in the Exchange's operating agreement.⁷ In this regard, the Gross FOCUS Fee has been calculated to recover a material portion, but not all, of the Exchange's Regulatory Costs. As also noted above, based on the Exchange's recent review of current and projected regulatory costs and Gross FOCUS Fee collections, a waiver of the Gross FOCUS Fee would be the most efficient way to lessen the potential for generating excess funds that may otherwise occur using the current rate and allow for further consideration of the appropriate Gross FOCUS Fee rate going forward. The Exchange thus believes that the proposed waiver would be a fair and reasonable method for ensuring that the amounts collected from the Gross FOCUS Fee, in combination with other regulatory fees and fines, do not potentially exceed Regulatory Costs. The Exchange further believes that resuming the current rate as of January 1, 2027, would be reasonable because it would permit the Exchange to resume assessing the Gross Focus Fee in a way that is designed to recover a material portion, but not all, of the Exchange's projected Regulatory Costs. The Exchange would continue monitoring Regulatory Costs in advance of the fee resumption next year and, if the Exchange determines that the rate should be further modified to help ensure that Gross FOCUS Fee collections would not exceed a material portion of Regulatory Costs, would make an appropriate rule filing with the Commission.

The Proposal Is an Equitable Allocation of Fees

The Exchange believes its proposal is an equitable allocation of fees among its

market participants. The Exchange further believes that the proposed Gross FOCUS Fee waiver would benefit all member organizations because all member organizations would be eligible for the waiver, and would benefit from the waiver, on full and equal terms. For the same reasons, the proposed waiver neither targets nor will it have a disparate impact on any particular category of market participant. All member organizations would qualify for the waiver of the Gross FOCUS Fee on an equal and non-discriminatory basis. The Exchange also believes that recommencing the Gross FOCUS Fee effective January 1, 2027, at the current rate, unless the Exchange determines it would be necessary to further adjust the fee, is equitable because the Gross FOCUS Fee would resume applying to all member organizations on an equal basis.

The Proposal Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes that the proposal is not unfairly discriminatory. The proposed waiver of the Gross FOCUS Fee would benefit all similarly-situated market participants on an equal and non-discriminatory basis. Moreover, the proposal neither targets nor will it have a disparate impact on any particular category of market participant. The proposed fee change is designed to pause collection of a fee that applies to member organizations on an equal and non-discriminatory basis, waiver of which would apply to and benefit all member organizations equally. The Exchange also believes that recommencing the Gross FOCUS Fee on January 1, 2027, at the current rate, unless the Exchange determines it would be necessary to further adjust the rate to ensure that collections do not exceed a material portion of its Regulatory Costs, is not unfairly discriminatory because the resumed fee would apply equally to all member organizations.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,⁸ the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intramarket Competition. The Exchange believes the proposed fee change would not impose an undue

burden on competition as the fee waiver would apply to all member organizations on an equal and non-discriminatory basis. The Exchange believes that the proposed waiver would also not place certain market participants at an unfair disadvantage because all member organizations would be eligible for the same waiver. For the same reasons, the proposed fee waiver neither targets nor will it have a disparate impact on any particular category of market participant. All similarly-situated member organizations would be eligible for the proposed waiver. The Exchange also believes recommencing the Gross FOCUS Fee on January 1, 2027, at the same current rate (unless the Exchange determines it necessary at that time to adjust the fee to ensure that collections do not exceed a material portion of its Regulatory Costs) would not impose an undue burden on competition because the proposed rate would apply equally to all member organizations subject to the Gross FOCUS Fee and would permit the Exchange to resume assessing a fee that is designed to recover a material portion, but not all, of the Exchange's projected Regulatory Costs.

Intermarket Competition. The proposed fee change is not designed to address any competitive issues. Rather, the proposed change is designed to help the Exchange adequately fund its regulatory activities while seeking to ensure that total collections from regulatory fees do not exceed total Regulatory Costs.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder¹⁰ the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such

⁷ See note 4, *supra*.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR–NYSE–2026–33 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSE–2026–33. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSE–2026–33 and should be submitted on or before August 7, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–14401 Filed 7–16–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–105900; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2026–057]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 11.23

July 14, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 30, 2026, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“BZX” or the “Exchange”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission” or “SEC”) a proposed rule change to amend (1) the definition of “Collar Price Range” under Exchange Rule 11.23(a)(6) and to remove the existing Collar Midpoint definition therefrom; (2) Exchange Rule 11.23(a)(22) to adopt a standalone definition of the term “Collar Midpoint” that provides the Exchange with discretion to set the Collar Midpoint in any circumstance where no Volume Based Tie Breaker is available; and (3) Exchange Rule 11.23(d)(2)(F)(i) to separately articulate the price determination standards applicable to IPO Auctions in BZX-listed corporate securities and ETP IPO Auctions. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission's website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange's website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 11.23(a)(6), Rule 11.23(a)(22), and Rule 11.23(d)(2)(F)(i) to: (1) remove the existing Collar Midpoint⁵ definition language from Rule 11.23(a)(6); (2) adopt a standalone definition of the term “Collar Midpoint” under Rule 11.23(a)(22) that provides the Exchange with discretion to set the Collar Midpoint in any circumstance where no Volume Based Tie Breaker⁶ is available. This authority is similar to, but broader in scope than, the Exchange's existing authority under Rule 11.23(a)(6) to adjust the issue price as Collar Midpoint for ETP IPO Auctions; and (3) separately articulate the price determination standards applicable to IPO Auctions in BZX-listed corporate securities and ETP IPO Auctions, including the express elimination of the Collar Price Range for ETP IPO Auctions. The proposed elimination of the Collar Price Range for ETP IPO Auctions is similar to the rules of NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”), which does not apply Auction Collars to IPO Auctions in Derivative Securities Products (*i.e.*, ETPs) under NYSE Arca Rule 7.35–E(f)(2). The proposed Exchange discretion to set the Collar Midpoint when no Volume Based Tie Breaker is available is modeled on the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq”) Rule 4120(c)(7)(A)(i), which provides that in the event there is no Nasdaq last sale price or Nasdaq Official Closing Price (“NOCP”), Nasdaq's MarketWatch Department retains discretion to set the Auction Reference Price.

Background

Exchange Rule 11.23(a)(6) defines the term “Collar Price Range” as the range

⁵ See Exchange Rule 11.23(a)(6).

⁶ See Exchange Rule 11.23(a)(23).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).