Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: *ftz@trade.gov.* The closing period for their receipt is August 31, 2026.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection in the ''Online FTZ Information System'' section of the Board's website.

For further information, contact Brian Warnes at *brian.warnes@trade.gov.*

Dated: July 16, 2026.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–14580 Filed 7–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–842]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Sentury Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (Sentury) made sales of subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), and Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (SRT) did not. The POR is July 1, 2023, through June 30, 2024. Commerce further determines that sales of subject merchandise made by the non-individually examined companies were at prices below NV.

DATES: Applicable July 20, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo or Jacob Saude, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2371 or (202) 482–0981, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 14, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited comments from interested parties. On July 1, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results of this administrative review until July 13, 2026.[1] For details regarding the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[2]

Scope of the Order [3]

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is passenger vehicle and light truck tires from Thailand. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[4]

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed in this administrative review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by interested parties, *see* the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to the margin calculations for Sentury and SRT for these final results of review. As a result of these changes, the weighted-average dumping margin changed for Sentury and the companies not selected for individual examination, but not for SRT. For a discussion of these changes, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rates for Non-Examined Companies

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be determined for companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when determining the weighted-average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review.

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that Commerce will base the all-others rate on the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. Where the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for each of the individually examined companies is zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use ''any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.''

In this review, we calculated a dumping margin of zero for SRT and calculated an above-*de minimis* weighted-average dumping margin for Sentury. Accordingly, we have assigned only Sentury's estimated weighted-average dumping margin to the non-selected companies [5] consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Final Results of the Review

We determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2023, through June 30, 2024.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Sentury Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd	2.90
Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd	0.00
Review-Specific Rate for Non-Examined Companies [6]	2.90

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to interested parties in this proceeding within five days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

---

[1] *See* Memorandum, ''Second Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated July 1, 2026.

[2] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand; 2023–2024,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[3] *See Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand,* 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021) (*Order*).

[4] *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[5] *See* Appendix II for a list of the non-selected companies.

[6] *Id.*

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.[7] Because the weighted-average dumping margin for Sentury is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.50 percent), for these final results, Commerce calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates on the basis of on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.[8] To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis,* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. Where weighted-average dumping margins are zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis,* we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by either Sentury or SRT for which it did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (*e.g.,* reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate (*i.e.,* 17.06 percent) [9] if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.[10]

For the companies that were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the ''Rate for Non-Examined Respondents'' section above.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recently completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recently completed segment for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 17.06 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation in this proceeding.[11] These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 13, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
V. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Revised Differential Pricing Analysis
Comment 2: Commerce Incorrectly Treated Freight Revenue as an Expense in the Margin Program and Should Correct the Error for the Final Results
Comment 3: Commerce Should Rely on Adverse Facts Available to Determine a Margin for SRT
Comment 4: If Relying on Sumitomo's Reporting, Commerce Should Modify it to Produce as Accurate a Result as Possible
Comment 5: Commerce Should Grant a Constructed Export Price Offset for SRT in the Final Results
Comment 6: Commerce Should Update its Margin Program to Reflect Minor Corrections Accepted at Verification
Comment 7: Commerce Should Correct its Error in Applying Billing Adjustments in its Calculation of Gross Unit Price
VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Non-Examined Companies Receiving a Review-Specific Rate

1. Bridgestone Company, Ltd. (aka Bridgestone Company and Bridgestone Corporation)
2. Bridgestone Tire Manufacturing (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (aka Bridgestone Tire Manufacturing and Thai Bridgestone Co.)
3. Deestone Corporation Public Company Limited; Deestone Corporation Ltd.; Deestone Limited; Deestone International Company Limited; Svizz-One Corporation Limited; Siamtruck Radial Company Limited
4. Huayi Group (Thailand) Company Limited

[7] In these final results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification,* 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

[8] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[9] *See Order,* 86 FR at 38012.

[10] For a full discussion of this practice, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties,* 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

[11] *See Order.*

5. Prinx Chengshan Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
6. S.R. Tyres Co., Ltd.
7. Vee Tyre & Rubber Co., Ltd.
8. Yokohama Rubber Co., Ltd.
9. Yokohama Tire Manufacturing (Thailand) Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2026–14516 Filed 7–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–238]

Certain Choline Salts From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable July 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Braeden Lowe, Office V, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9124.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On June 24, 2026, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) received an antidumping duty (AD) petition concerning imports of certain choline salts (choline salts) from the People's Republic of China (China), filed in proper form on behalf of BCP Ingredients Inc. (the petitioner), a domestic producer of choline salts.[1] The AD Petition was accompanied by a countervailing duty (CVD) petition concerning imports of choline salts from China.[2]

Between June 29 and July 8, 2026, Commerce requested supplemental information pertaining to certain aspects of the Petition in supplemental questionnaires.[3] Between July 2 and July 9, 2026, the petitioner filed timely responses to these requests for additional information.[4]

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioner alleges that imports of choline salts from China are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that imports of such products are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the choline salts industry in the United States. Consistent with section 732(b)(1) of the Act, the Petition was accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioner supporting its allegations.

Commerce finds that the petitioner filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry, because the petitioner is an interested party, as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act. Commerce also finds that the petitioner demonstrated sufficient industry support for the initiation of the requested LTFV investigation.[5]

Period of Investigation (POI)

Because the Petition was filed on June 24, 2026, and because China is a non-market economy (NME) country pursuant to 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1), the POI for the LTFV investigation is October 1, 2025, through March 31, 2026.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is choline salts from China. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* the appendix to this notice.

Comments on the Scope of the Investigation

Between June 29 and July 10, 2026, Commerce requested information and clarification from the petitioner regarding the proposed scope to ensure that the scope language in the Petition is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief.[6] Between July 2 and 10, 2026, the petitioner provided clarifications and revised the scope.[7] The description of merchandise covered by this investigation, as described in the appendix to this notice, reflects these clarifications.

As discussed in the *Preamble* to Commerce's regulations, we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (*i.e.,* scope).[8] Commerce will consider all scope comments received from interested parties and, if necessary, will consult with interested parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination. If scope comments include factual information, all such factual information should be limited to public information.[9] Commerce requests that interested parties provide at the beginning of their scope comments a public executive summary for each comment or issue raised in their submission. Commerce further requests that interested parties limit their public executive summary of each comment or issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. Commerce intends to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the analysis of scope comments. To facilitate preparation of its questionnaires, Commerce requests that scope comments be submitted by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on August 3, 2026, which is 20 calendar days from the signature date of this notice. Any rebuttal comments, which may include factual information, and should also be limited to public information, must be filed by 5:00 p.m. ET on August 13, 2026, which is 10 calendar days from the initial comment deadline.

Commerce requests that any factual information that parties consider relevant to the scope of this investigation be submitted during that period. However, if a party subsequently finds that additional factual information pertaining to the scope of the investigation may be relevant, the party must contact Commerce and request permission to submit the additional information. All scope comments must be filed simultaneously on the records of the concurrent LTFV and CVD investigations.

Filing Requirements

All submissions to Commerce must be filed electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS),

[1] *See* Petitioner's Letter, ''Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties,'' dated June 24, 2026 (Petition).

[2] *Id.*

[3] *See* Commerce's Letters, ''General Issues Supplemental Questions,'' dated June 29, 2026 (First General Issues Questionnaire); ''Supplemental Questions,'' dated June 29, 2026 (First China AD Supplemental Questionnaire); ''Second General Issues Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 7, 2026 (Second General Issues Questionnaire); ''Second China AD Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 8, 2026 (Second China AD Supplemental Questionnaire).

[4] *See* Petitioner's Letters, ''Response to General Issues Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 2, 2026 (First General Issues Supplement); ''Response to Antidumping Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 2, 2026 (First China AD Supplement); ''Response to Second General Issues Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 9, 2026 (Second General Issues Supplement); and ''Response to Second China AD Supplemental Questions,'' dated July 9, 2026 (Second China AD Supplement).

[5] *See* section on ''Determination of Industry Support for the Petition,'' *infra.*

[6] *See* First General Issues Questionnaire; *see also* Second General Issues Questionnaire; and Memorandum ''Teleconference with Counsel to the Petitioner,'' dated July 10, 2026 (Scope Call Memorandum).

[7] *See* First General Issues Supplement at 3–10; *see also* Second General Issues Supplement at 1–5; and Scope Call Memorandum.

[8] *See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule,* 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997) (*Preamble*); *see also* 19 CFR 351.312.

[9] *See* 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) (defining ''factual information'').