during this time, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

A. Impact on Small Entities

The regulatory flexibility analysis provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to rules that are not subject to notice and comment. Because the Coast Guard has, for good cause, waived the notice and comment requirement that would otherwise apply to this rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act's flexibility analysis provisions do not apply here.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

B. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in that Order.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

As required by The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), the Coast Guard certifies that this rule will not result in an annual expenditure of $100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.

E. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This rule is a safety zone. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; DHS Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.4.

■ 2. Add § 165.T09–0859 to read as follows:

§ 165.T09–0859 Safety Zone; Outer Harbor, Buffalo, NY.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Outer Harbor in Buffalo, NY, from surface to bottom, within a 560-foot radius of 42°52′07.70″ N, 78°53′01.72″ W. These coordinates are based on the World Geodetic System (WGS 84)/North American Datum 83 (NAD 83).

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Eastern Great Lakes (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative on VHF–FM channel 16 or by telephone at (888) 230–4703. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 9:15 p.m. to 10:15 p.m. on August 2, 2026.

Matthew J. Walter,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Eastern Great Lakes.

[FR Doc. 2026–14644 Filed 7–20–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

42 CFR Part 600

Office of the Secretary

45 CFR Parts 150, 155, and 156

[CMS–9883–CN2]

RIN 0938–AV62

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2027; and Basic Health Program; Correction

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects typographical errors in the final rule that appeared in the May 20, 2026, **Federal Register** titled ''Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2027; and Basic Health Program.''

DATES: This correction is effective July 20, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Wu, (301) 492–4305, Rogelyn McLean, (410) 786–1524, Grace Bristol, (410) 786–8437, for general information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In FR Doc. 2026–10050 of May 20, 2026 (91 FR 29526) (2027 Payment Notice), there were typographical errors, technical drafting errors, and omissions that are identified and corrected in this document.

II. Summary of Errors

A. Summary of Error in the Preamble

On page 29800, we are correcting an error in our discussion of the effective date of the rule by replacing the phrase ''effective 30 days after publication'' in third column, last paragraph, line 8, with ''effective 60 days after publication''.

B. Summary of Errors in the Regulations Text

On page 29864, we inadvertently provided erroneous amendatory instructions relating to 45 CFR 155.170 that had the unintended effect of omitting regulation text that is currently set forth at § 155.170(a)(3). We did not propose to rescind § 155.170(a)(3) in the proposed rule and did not intend to finalize its rescission in the final rule. Therefore, we correct regulation text at § 155.170(a) to reinstate the text in the currently effective version of § 155.170(a)(3), by renumbering and including it as new paragraph (a)(4).

On page 29874, in amendatory instruction 24 for § 156.130(a)(2), we stated we were finalizing revisions to paragraph (a)(2), without indicating that we were specifically finalizing revisions to the introductory text to paragraph (a)(2). We correct amendatory instruction 24, line 2, by replacing the phrase ''revising paragraph (a)(2)'' with ''revising paragraph (a)(2) introductory text.''

III. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking and Delay in Effective Date

We ordinarily publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** and invite public comment on the proposed rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). Each notice of proposed rulemaking includes a reference to the legal authority under which the rule is proposed and the terms and substance of the proposed rule, or a description of the subjects and issues involved. Per APA section 553(b)(B), these procedures can be waived if an agency finds good cause that notice-and-comment procedure is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, and incorporates a statement of the finding and the reasons therefore in the rule issued. Section 553(d)(3) of the APA allows an agency to avoid the 30-day delay in effective date when the agency finds good cause, and includes in the rule a statement of the finding and the reasons for it.

This document does not constitute a proposed rule subject to the notice-and-comment or delayed effective date requirements under sections 553(b) and (d) of the APA, because this correcting document merely corrects typographical and technical drafting errors and omissions in the final rule. Regardless, even if this correcting document was deemed to be a proposed rule to which the notice-and-comment procedures and delayed effective date requirements applied, there is good cause to waive the requirements as following the notice-and-comment procedures and delayed effective date requirements under section 553(b) and (d) of the APA would be unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

The corrections this document makes to the preamble of the final rule corrects a typographical error (91 FR 29800). In the final rule, we stated that these regulations are effective on July 20, 2026 (91 FR 29526). However, later in the final rule, we made an erroneous, more general, statement that the rule takes effect 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** (which would be on June 19, 2026) (91 FR 29800). Because this document simply removes that later language from the final rule that provides an incorrect effective date, and because the final rule also contains the correct effective date, which interested parties were given notice and the opportunity to comment on, additional notice and comment on this change is unnecessary.

Moreover, the final rule is a major rule that generally must have a 60-day delayed effective date in compliance with the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801–808. Therefore, notice-and-comment procedures would be unnecessary because comments provided by interested parties cannot change this statutory 60-day delayed effective date requirement.[1]

Similarly, notice and comment and a delayed effective date would be unnecessary to make the correction to amendatory instruction 24 for § 156.130(a)(2), which erroneously stated we were revising the text of paragraph (a)(2), rather than the introductory text of paragraph (a)(2) (91 FR 29874). This correction has no effect on the content or meaning of the regulation text. Additionally, if the correction were proposed and subjected to notice and comment, there would be nothing of substance on which the public could comment.

Following notice-and-comment procedures and the delayed effective date rule to correct the amendatory instructions for the regulatory text at § 155.170 also is unnecessary because this document simply corrects the inadvertent omission of an amendatory instruction to renumber paragraph (a)(3) of the currently effective version of § 155.170(a)(3) as paragraph (a)(4).

Furthermore, delaying the correction to § 155.170, to reinstate the text in the currently effective version of § 155.170(a)(3), to complete notice-and-comment procedures is contrary to the public interest because it would have the effect of allowing regulatory text that was not adopted in compliance with the APA to ''remain on the books,'' while a new rule is proposed and finalized. Without this correction, the final rule effectively rescinds the requirements under the currently effective version of paragraph (a)(3), without that rescission being proposed or otherwise subjected to notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures under section 553(b) of the APA. Thus, this correction will make the regulatory text consistent with the preamble discussions in the proposed and final rules, resulting in a regulation that reflects the policy subjected to notice-and-comment procedures and adopted in the final rule in compliance with the APA. Correcting the errors in the final rule without undue delay will also mitigate any confusion and uncertainty stemming from these errors. Declining to make these corrections now would be contrary to the public's interest in receiving fair and timely notice of the regulatory requirements to which they may be subject.

The corrections made through this correcting document resolve inadvertent technical drafting errors so that the final rule accurately reflects the policies adopted therein pursuant to the APA's notice-and-comment rulemaking requirements. Therefore, even if the corrections in this document were deemed to be subject to the notice-and-comment and effective date requirements under section 553 of the APA, there would be good cause to waive the requirements.

IV. Correction of Errors

In FR Doc. 2026–10050 of May 20, 2026 (91 FR 29526), make the following corrections:

[1] *See, for example, EME Homer City Generation, L.P.* v. *E.P.A.,* 795 F.3d 118, 134–135 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (finding that notice and comment was unnecessary because ''commentators could not have said anything during a notice and comment period that would have changed [the] fact'' that the agency must impose a 60-day delated effective date.)

A. Correction of Error in the Preamble

1. On page 29800, third column, last paragraph, line 8, the phrase ''effective 30 days after publication'' is corrected to read ''effective 60 days after publication''.

B. Correction of Errors in the Regulation Text

1. On page 29864, third column, lines 1 and 2, amendatory instruction 9 for § 155.170, and lines 3 through 42, and the accompanying regulation text, are corrected to read as follows:

■ 9. Section 155.170 is amended by—

■ a. Revising paragraphs (a)(1) and (2);

■ b. Redesignating paragraph (a)(3) as paragraph (a)(4); and

■ c. Adding a new paragraph (a)(3).

The revisions and addition read as follows:

§ 155.170 Additional required benefits.

(a) * * *

(1) A State may require a QHP to offer benefits in addition to the essential health benefits. For plan years beginning before January 1, 2028, a benefit required by State action taking place on or before December 31, 2011, a benefit required by State action for purposes of compliance with Federal requirements, or a benefit covered in the State's EHB-benchmark plan is considered an EHB. A benefit required by State action taking place on or after January 1, 2012, other than for purposes of compliance with Federal requirements, that is not a benefit covered in the State's EHB-benchmark plan is considered in addition to the essential health benefits.

(2) For plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2028, a State may require a QHP to cover benefits in addition to the essential health benefits, which are any State-required benefits that are:

(i) Required by a State action taking place after December 31, 2011;

(ii) Applicable to the small group and/or individual markets;

(iii) Specific to required care, treatment, or services; and

(iv) Not required by State action for purposes of compliance with Federal requirements.

(3) A State must make payments in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section to defray the cost of any State-required benefits in addition to the EHB.

* * * * *

§ 156.130 [Corrected]

■ 2. On page 29874, first column, last paragraph, amendatory instruction 24 for § 156.130, line 2, the phrase ''revising paragraph (a)(2)'' is corrected to read ''revising paragraph (a)(2) introductory text.''

Liesl I. Fowler,

Executive Secretary to the Department, Department of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 2026–14709 Filed 7–17–26; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4169–69–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[MD Docket Nos. 25–190, 24–85; FCC 26–43; FR ID 356762]

Review of the Commission's Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2025

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Denial of petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission denies the petition for partial reconsideration filed by Kinéis challenging the *FY 2025 Regulatory Fees Report and Order.*

DATES: Effective July 21, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Brogan, Office of Economics and Analytics, *Patrick.Brogan@fcc.gov* or 202–418–7378

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration in MD Docket Nos. 25–190, 24–85, FCC 26–43, adopted on June 23, 2026, and released on June 24, 2026. The full text of this document is available at *https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-26-43A1.pdf.* To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice).

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), requires that an agency prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for notice and comment rulemakings, unless the agency certifies that ''the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.'' Accordingly, in the *FY 2025 Regulatory Fees Report and Order,* the Commission prepared a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) concerning the potential impact of rule and policy changes contained in the *FY 2025 Regulatory Fees Report and Order.* We received no petitions for reconsideration of that Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. In this present Order on Reconsideration, the Commission promulgates no additional final rules. Our present action is, therefore, not an RFA matter.

Congressional Review Act. The Commission will not send a copy of this Order on Reconsideration to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because no rule was adopted or amended.

Final Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis. This document does not contain any proposed new or substantively modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

Synopsis

I. Introduction

1. In this Order on Reconsideration, we deny the petition for partial reconsideration filed by Kinéis challenging the *FY 2025 Regulatory Fees Report and Order,* 90 FR 43284 (September 8, 2025). For the reasons presented below, we deny the petition for reconsideration and reject Kinéis's request to modify the Commission's determination to assess regulatory fees on all holders of space station authorizations as of the start of the fiscal year rather than only the holders of space station authorizations that have been certified to be operational as of the start of the fiscal year.

II. Background

A. Space and Earth Station Regulatory Fee Rulemaking Proceeding

2. In 2024, the Commission initiated a rulemaking proceeding to seek comment on possible changes to the then existing methodology for assessing regulatory fees on space and earth stations. Among other proposals, the Commission proposed to assess regulatory fees on all authorized earth or space stations as of the start of the fiscal year, which commences on October 1 and ends on September 30th. At the time, regulatory fees for earth and space stations were only assessed for stations that were certified as operational as of the start of the relevant fiscal year.

3. In the *FY 2024 Space and Earth Station Regulatory Fees NPRM,* 89 FR 20582 (March 25, 2024), the Commission set forth numerous reasons