and Labor Affairs, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Department of Labor, Frances Perkins Building, Room S–5317, 200 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–4890, *zollner.anne@dol.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Labor Advisory Committee for Trade Negotiations and Trade Policy consults with and makes recommendations to the Secretary of Labor and the United States Trade Representative on general policy matters concerning labor and trade negotiations, operations of any trade agreement once entered into, and other matters arising in connection with the administration of the trade policy of the United States.

During the meeting, the Committee will review and discuss current issues that influence U.S. trade policy. The Committee will also discuss potential U.S. negotiating objectives and bargaining positions in current and anticipated trade negotiations. Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 2155(f)(2)(A), the meeting will concern matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the Government's negotiating objectives or bargaining positions. Therefore, the meeting is exempt from the requirements of subsections (a) and (b) of sections 10 and 11 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (relating to open meetings, public notice, public participation, and public availability of documents). 5 U.S.C. app. Accordingly, the meeting will be closed to the public.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of July, 2026.

Susan Frazier,

Acting Deputy Undersecretary, Bureau of International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2026–14996 Filed 7–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–28–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Agency Information Collection Request: OPM E-File System (3206–NEW)

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) offers the general public the opportunity to comment on a new information collection request (ICR): OPM E-File System, OMB-Control Number (3206–NEW). As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, amended by the Clinger-Cohen Act, OPM is soliciting comments for this collection.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until August 24, 2026. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1).

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/*PRAMain. Find this particular information collection request by selecting ''Office of Personnel Management'' under ''Currently Under Review,'' then check ''Only Show ICR for Public Comment'' checkbox.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Knouff, Suitability Director, (202) 599–0090. Email: *SuitEA@opm.gov,* with Attn: OPM E-File System in the subject line.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OPM adjudicates appeals under a variety of regulations in 5 CFR Chapter I. These include classification appeals (parts 511 and 532), declination of a ''reasonable offer'' appeals (part 536, subpart D), compensation and leave claims (5 CFR 178 Subpart A), and Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) claims (part 551, subpart G). In addition, OPM has proposed regulations to adjudicate appeals of additional types of actions, including probationary and trial period appeals (see 90 FR 61070, Dec. 30, 2025), suitability action appeals (91 FR 5352, February 6, 2026), and Reduction in Force Appeals (91 FR 586, February 10, 2026). To facilitate agency processing and tracking appeals, OPM is creating an electronic filing system by which appellants can create an account and file and track their appeals.

On February 6, 2026, OPM published a 60-Day Notice at 91 FR 5526. One comment was received which, among other things, expressed concern that the burden numbers may have been undercounted. Since the publication of the 60-Day Notice, OPM regulations have moved further within the rulemaking process, which allowed OPM to modify and correct the original burden estimate to reflect a more accurate number. We have broken down the numbers as such below:

Type of action	Number of respondents	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total annual burden (in hours)
Suitability Appeals	318	1 hour	318 hours.
Compensation and Leave Claims	109	30 hours	3,207 hours.
FLSA Claims	9	24 hours	216 hours.
RIF Appeals	292	24 hours	7,008 hours.
Probationer Appeals	498	4 hours	1,992 hours.
Position Classification Appeals	59	5 hours	295 hours.
Declination of reasonable offer appeals	1	3 hours	3 hours.
Total/Average	1,286	13 hours (Average)	13,039 hours.

The commenter goes on to express concerns regarding the IT and Privacy portions of this E-File ICR, FedRAMP (Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program) is a standardized, government-wide framework in the United States that assesses, authorizes, and continuously monitors the security of cloud products and services used by federal agencies. OPM can confirm that all cloud products and services used for the E-File ICR are FedRAMP certified. Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) core requirements include proper system categorization based on their risk level (low, moderate, or high), implementing required security controls, continuous monitoring, and annual reviews. OPM can also confirm that all FISMA requirements were met with this E-File ICR. Finally, under Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002, Federal agencies must conduct a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) before developing or procuring IT systems that collect, maintain, or disseminate Personally Identifiable Information (PII). We invite the commenter to go to *https://www.opm.gov/privacy/#url=PIAs* to review the E-File PIA when it is published.

OPM thanks the commenter for their submission and notes no additional action is required on OPM's part as all the legal requirements for this ICR have been met.

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2), OPM is again soliciting comments for this collection. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Analysis

Agency: Office of Personnel Management.

Title: OPM E-File System.

OMB Number: 3206–NEW.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households; Businesses; Federal Government.

Number of Respondents: 1,286.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 13 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 13,039 hours.

Alexys Stanley,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 2026–14961 Filed 7–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–43–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2027–1; Order No. 9650]

Market Dominant Price Adjustment

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is recognizing a recently filed Postal Service motion seeking a partial waiver of rules regarding the applicability, data sources, and calculation of density rate authority affecting market dominant products and services. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public responses, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Responses are due:* July 29, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Submit responses electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *https://www.prc.gov.* Those who cannot submit responses electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction

On July 16, 2026, pursuant to 39 CFR 3010.161, the Postal Service filed a motion for partial waiver of 39 CFR 3030.160, .161, and .162 regarding the applicability, data sources, and calculation of density rate authority.[1] The Postal Service requests a partial waiver of the Commission's density rate authority rules to allow it to use a modified calculation of the available density rate authority based on a mix of actual and forecasted Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 data, which it states will allow it to ''transition to a January rate change cycle in 2027 in a financially responsible manner.'' Motion at 1.

II. Overview of the Postal Service's Motion

The Postal Service's states that, subject to the approval of the Governors, it is evaluating a shift of its planned annual market dominant price adjustments ''to a more traditional January cycle[.]'' *Id.* The Postal Service contends that implementing market dominant price adjustments in January ''would generate much needed additional revenue sooner'' and ''is preferable to the majority of [Postal Service] customers, as [it] aligns with most budget-planning cycles.'' *Id.*

The Postal Service asserts that the Commission's density rate authority rules prevent the Postal Service from implementing a price adjustment that incorporates density rate authority in January 2027. *Id.* at 2. The Postal Service argues that ''waiting until January 2028 to claim the [FY] 2026 [density rate authority] would not be advisable given the Postal Service's financial condition, as [the Postal Service] would be foregoing this rate authority for nearly six months, compared to a July 2027 price change.'' *Id.* In order to pursue a January 2027 market dominant price adjustment, the Postal Service argues that it must seek a partial waiver of the Commission's density rate authority rules because it will not have a full year of data necessary to submit ''its usual calculation'' for the amount of density rate authority. *Id.* The Postal Service avers that, in order to pursue a January 2027 market dominant price adjustment, the Postal Service must receive approval from the Governors no later than September 2026, and therefore ''the full picture of the Postal Service's rate authority must be resolved in the immediate future . . . .'' *Id.* at 2–3.

The Postal Service proposes using a ''modified set of inputs'' for the calculation of the estimated FY 2026 density rate authority for use in a January 2027 market dominant price adjustment. *Id.* at 3. Specifically, the Postal Service proposes using the same methodology that it used in FY 2026, with the following exceptions:

• Rather than using the complete FY 2026 volume, the Postal Service proposes using draft June year-to-date FY 2026 actual volume and forecasted FY 2026, Quarter 4 volumes;[2]

• The Postal Service will calculate projected delivery points for FY 2026 ''by applying the straight average of the two most recent annual historical growth rates (FY 2023–FY 2024 and FY 2024–FY 2025) to the FY 2025 baseline'';

• The Postal Service will use the Institutional Cost Ratio from FY 2025 rather than FY 2026 because the FY 2026 Institutional Cost Ratio is not available;

• The Postal Service will apply a 5 percent reduction to the estimated FY 2026 density rate authority in order ''to provide a conservative estimate'' and account for possible overestimation between the FY 2026 density rate authority calculation in the instant docket and the actual FY 2026 density rate authority.

Id.

The Postal Service provides an attachment that calculates the proposed FY 2026 density rate authority using the above-described methodology along

[1] USPS Motion for Partial Waiver of Rules 3030.160–162, Regarding Density Rate Authority, July 16, 2026 (Motion).

[2] The Postal Service states that April, May, and June 2026 volumes are unaudited. *Id.*