A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Management Review for Multifamily Housing Projects.

OMB Approval Number: 2502–0178.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Form Number: HUD Form-9834, HUD Form-91067.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: HUD Form-9834 Management Review for Multifamily Housing Projects is used by HUD staff, Mortgagees, and Contract Administrators (CAs) to evaluate project management; determine causes of project problems; devise corrective actions to stabilize projects and prevent defaults; and ensure that fraud, waste, and mismanagement are not occurring. The information collected also supports enforcement actions when corrective actions are not implemented.

HUD Form-91067 VAWA Lease Addendum for Multifamily Housing Programs informs tenants of statutory requirements under the Violence Against Women Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113–4) and its 2022 reauthorization (Pub. L. 117–103). HUD developed HUD Form –91067 to incorporate VAWA protections into leases. 24 CFR 5.2005(a)(4). The lease addendum ensures tenants are informed of their rights under VAWA and owners/agents document compliance by retaining a signed lease addendum. Protections apply to all victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, regardless of sex, marital status, or age. The addendum is executed and retained in tenant files and may be reviewed by HUD during compliance monitoring or in response to tenant complaints.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hours per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response* * ***	Total annual cost
HUD–9834	*27,127	**1	****27,127	8.33	225,968	$39.77	$8,986,744.00
HUD–91067	413,929	***1	413,929	.13	55,811	39.77	2,140,54.00
Totals	441,056	....	441,056	....	281,021	....	11,126,798.00

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comments in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 2 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507.

Anna Guido,

Department PRA Compliance Officer Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–15796 Filed 8–3–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1456]

Certain Mobile Cellular Communications Devices; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating All Remaining Respondents From the Investigation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review five initial determinations ("ID") (Order Nos. 25–29) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating all remaining respondents from the investigation based on a consent order and settlement agreements and terminating the investigation in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 7, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Pantech Corporation of the Republic of Korea ("Pantech"). 90 FR 38177 (Aug. 7, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile cellular communications devices by reason of the infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,548,839; 11,659,503; 11,051,344; and 12,267,876. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (2) OnePlus USA Corp. of Irving, Texas; (3) Lenovo Group Ltd. of Beijing, China; (4) Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina; (5) Motorola Mobility LLC of Libertyville, Illinois; (6) TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (7) TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. of Hong Kong; (8) TCL Communication Ltd. of Hong Kong; (9) TCL Communication Technology Holdings Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (10) TCL Mobile International Ltd. of Hong Kong; (11) Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co., Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (12) TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Company Ltd. of Hong Kong; (13) Tinno

USA, Inc. of Plano, Texas; (14) Shenzhen Tinno Mobile Technology Corp. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (15) HMD Global of Espoo, Finland; (16) HMD Global Oy of Espoo, Finland; and (17) HMD America, Inc. of Miami, Florida. *Id.* at 38177–78. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (''OUII'') is also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.* at 38178.

On September 30, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation with respect to respondent HMD Global. Order No. 7 (Sept. 10, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 30, 2025).

On May 22, 2026, respondents HMD Global Oy and HMD America, Inc. (collectively, ''HMD'') moved to terminate the investigation as to HMD based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. Pantech did not oppose the motion. On June 2, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of HMD's motion.

On May 26, 2026, Pantech and respondents OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., and OnePlus USA Corp. (collectively, ''OnePlus'') filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to OnePlus based on a settlement agreement. On June 4, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion as to OnePlus.

On June 4, 2026, Pantech and respondents Lenovo Group Limited, Lenovo (United States) Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC (collectively, ''Lenovo'') filed a joint motion to termination the investigation as to Lenovo based on a settlement agreement. On June 15, 2026, OUII filed a motion in support of the motion as to Lenovo.

On June 15, 2026, Pantech and respondents Tinno USA, Inc. and Shenzhen Tinno Mobioe Technology Corp. (collectively, ''Tinno'') filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Tinno based on a settlement agreement. On June 25, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion as to Tinno.

On June 22, 2026, Pantech and respondents TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd., TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd., TCL Communication Ltd., TCL Communication Technology Holdings Ltd., TCL Mobile International Ltd., Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co., Ltd., and TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Company Ltd., (collectively, ''TCL'') filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to TCL based on a settlement agreement. On June 25, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion as to TCL.

On June 30, 2026, the ALJ issued the five subject IDs, terminating HMD, OnePlus, Lenovo, Tinno, and TCL from the investigation. Order No. 25 (as to HMD); Order No. 26 (as to OnePlus); Order No. 27 (as to Lenovo); Order No. 28 (as to Tinno), and Order No. 29 (as to TCL). Order No. 25 confirms that HMD's consent order stipulation and proposed consent orders satisfy the requirements of Commission Rules 210.2(c)(3) and (c)(4) (19 CFR 210.21(c)(3), (c)(4)). Order No. 25 also finds that termination of HMD would not be contrary to the public interest. Order Nos. 26, 27, 28, and 29 each find that the respective motions comply with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), and that the proposed settlements do not adversely affect the public interest in accordance with Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). As the five subject IDs terminate all remaining respondents, Order No. 29 also terminates the investigation in its entirety. No petitions for review of the subject IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The Commission has issued consent orders to HMD Global Oy and HMD America, Inc. HMD, OnePlus, Lenovo, Tinno, and TCL are terminated from the investigation, and the investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 30, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 30, 2026.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–15730 Filed 8–3–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–802–804 and 731–TA–1799–1803 (Preliminary)]

Linear Hydraulic Cylinders From Canada, China, India, Mexico, and South Korea; Institution of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations and Scheduling of Preliminary Phase Investigations

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of investigations and commencement of preliminary phase antidumping and countervailing duty investigation Nos. 701–TA–802–804 and 731–TA–1799–1803 (Preliminary) pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports of linear hydraulic cylinders from Canada, China, India, Mexico, and South Korea, provided for in subheadings 8412.21.00 and 8412.90.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value and alleged to be subsidized by the Governments of China, India, and Mexico. Unless the Department of Commerce (''Commerce'') extends the time for initiation, the Commission must reach a preliminary determination in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations in 45 days, or in this case by September 14, 2026. The Commission's views must be transmitted to Commerce within five business days thereafter, or by September 21, 2026.

DATES: July 29, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stamen Borisson ((202) 205–3125), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (*https://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—These investigations are being instituted, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)), in response to a petition filed on July 29, 2026, by the Hydraulic Cylinders Fair Trade Coalition and its individual members: Aggressive Hydraulics Inc., Cedar, Minnesota; Hol-Mac Corporation, Bay Springs, Mississippi; Ligon