

agreements. Order No. 24 (July 15, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 6, 2025) (HKC Corporation Ltd. and HKC Overseas Ltd.); Order No. 28 (Sept. 10, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 30, 2025) (VIZIO, Inc.); Order No. 29 (Sept. 30, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Dec. 8, 2025) (LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.); Order No. 57 (Mar. 24, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Apr. 20, 2026) (Hisense USA Corporation). Accordingly, four respondents remain in the investigation: Caihong, CSOT, CHOT, and TCL (collectively, "Respondents").

On December 22, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the '394 patent and claim 2 of the '491 patent. Order No. 35 (Dec. 2, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

On April 7, 2026, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued a final initial determination ("FID"), finding a violation of section 337 with respect to each of the remaining asserted claims of the Asserted Patents. The FID also includes the ALJ's Recommended Determination ("RD") on remedy and bond, should the Commission find a violation of section 337.

On May 7 and 8, 2026, Complainant and Respondents filed, respectively, a public interest statement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4). No submissions were filed in response to the post-RD **Federal Register** notice. See 91 FR 18478–79 (Apr. 10, 2026).

On June 8, 2026, the Commission determined to review the FID in part. 91 FR 35555–56 (June 11, 2026). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID's findings that: (1) the claim term "mole percent on an oxide basis" is not indefinite and (2) Complainant has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(B). *Id.* at 35556. The Commission also requested submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On June 22, 2026, Complainant filed its opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On that same date, respondents Caihong and CHOT filed a brief, including a discussion of the issues under review in addition to their view on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Also on that date, respondents TCL and CSOT filed a separate submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

On July 6, 2026, the Commission granted in part Complainant's motion to strike the portions of respondents Caihong's and CHOT's opening brief on

remedy, the public interest, and bonding that contain arguments regarding the two issues under review, as the Commission did not request additional briefing on those issues. Comm'n Order at 5–6 (July 6, 2026). Specifically, the Commission struck Sections II.A, II.B, and all arguments in the Introduction and Conclusion sections of the brief that are directed to the issues under review.

On July 13, 2026, the parties submitted their responsive briefs on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. See Order Granting In Part Complainant's Request For An Expedited Response To Motion To Strike; Extension Of Deadline For Responsive Briefing On Issues Of Remedy, The Public Interest, And Bonding (June 24, 2026).

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the FID, the parties' petitions for review and responses thereto and the submissions regarding remedy, the public interest, and bonding, the Commission has determined to find a violation of section 337 as to both Asserted Patents. As set forth in the simultaneously-issued Commission opinion, the Commission affirms with additional reasoning the FID's finding that the claim term "mole percent on an oxide basis" is not indefinite. The Commission also affirms with additional reasoning the FID's finding that Complainant has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(B).

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is an LEO prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same that are manufactured by or on behalf of Respondents or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. The Commission has also determined to issue a CDO against TCL.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections (d)(l) and (f)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(l), (f)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the above-referenced remedial orders. Additionally, the Commission has determined to impose a bond in the amount of zero percent (0%) of the infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)).

The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 6, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–16305 Filed 8–10–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–645 and 731–TA–1495 and 1497–1501 (Review)]

Mattresses From Cambodia, China, Malaysia, Serbia, Thailand, Turkey, and Vietnam; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on mattresses from China and antidumping duty orders on mattresses from Cambodia, Malaysia, Serbia, Thailand, Turkey, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: July 6, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alejandro Orozco (202–205–3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 6, 2026, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (91 FR 16229, April 1, 2026) of the subject

five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on September 22, 2026. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. September 29, 2026 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by September 29, 2026. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If

comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–16301 Filed 8–10–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1454]

Certain Wi-Fi Routers, Wi-Fi Devices, Mesh Wi-Fi Network Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of Request for Submissions on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on August 6, 2026, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337. The ALJ also issued a Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding should a violation be found in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation. This notice is soliciting comments from

the public and interested government agencies only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that, if the Commission finds a violation, it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry. (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1)). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. (19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1)).

The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation, specifically: a limited exclusion order directed to certain Wi-Fi routers, Wi-Fi devices, mesh Wi-Fi network devices and components thereof imported, sold for importation, and/or sold after importation by respondents ASUSTek Computer Inc. of Taipei City, Taiwan; ASUS Computer International of Fremont, CA; and Plume Design Inc. of Palo Alto, CA; and cease and desist orders directed to Plume Design Inc. and ASUS Computer International. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, members of the public and interested government agencies are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the ALJ's Recommended Determination on

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of Brooklyn Bedding LLC; Carpenter Company; Future Foam, Inc.; FXI, Inc.; Kolcraft Enterprises Inc.; Leggett & Platt, Incorporated; Serta Simmons Bedding, LLC; Tempur Sealy International, Inc.; and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL–CIO to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).