

337. The Final ID found, *inter alia*: (1) the asserted claims of the '026, '301, and '616 patents infringed and practiced by the domestic industry products, but invalid as anticipated; (2) the asserted claim of the '478 patent is practiced by the domestic industry products, but not infringed and invalid as anticipated; and (3) Complainant satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to all asserted patents.

The ALJ also issued a Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding ("RD"). The RD recommended that, if the Commission finds a violation, it should issue a limited exclusion order but not a general exclusion order as requested by Complainant. The RD further recommended the issuance of a cease and desist order only as to Respondent Hair Zone, and a bond of zero percent (*i.e.*, no bond) for all infringing products during the period of Presidential review. The Commission did not instruct the ALJ to make findings and recommendations concerning the public interest.

On June 17, 2026, Complainant filed a petition for review of the Final ID's finding of no violation. On June 22, 2026, Respondents filed a contingent petition for review of certain of the Final ID's findings. Also on June 22, 2026, OUII filed a petition for review of certain of the Final ID's findings regarding the '478 patent. The parties filed responses to the various petitions.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the Final ID, the parties' submissions to the ALJ, the petitions, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the Final ID in part. As to the '026, '301, and '616 patents, the Commission has determined to review the Final ID's finding that the asserted claims are anticipated by the Asante Short Braid and Abuja Short Braid prior art references, and on review, take no position regarding anticipation by those references. As to the '478 patent, the Commission has determined to review the Final ID's analysis and findings regarding the construction of the claim term "pre-stretched," the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement, and invalidity. On review, as set forth in the accompanying Commission opinion, the Commission has determined to affirm the Final ID's claim construction with supplemental reasoning, affirm-in-part the Final ID's invalidity findings as to certain grounds with supplemental reasoning and take no position on the remaining grounds of invalidity, and take no position regarding the technical prong. Finally, the Commission has determined to

review, and on review, take no position regarding the Final ID's economic prong findings. The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the Final ID. The Commission adopts the Final ID's findings to the extent that they are not inconsistent with these findings and the Commission's opinion issued concurrently herewith. This investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation of section 337.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 6, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2026.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2026-16303 Filed 8-10-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1441]

### **Certain Glass Substrates for Liquid Crystal Displays, Products Containing the Same, and Methods for Manufacturing the Same II; Notice of the Commission's Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order; Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has found a violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has determined to issue: (1) a limited exclusion order ("LEO") prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same that are manufactured by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, the respondents and (2) a cease and desist orders ("CDO") against respondent TTE Technology, Inc., d/b/a a TCL North America of Irvine, California. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the

General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 7, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Corning Incorporated of Corning, New York ("Complainant"). 90 FR 11549-50 (Mar. 7, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,642,491 ("the '491 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 8,640,498 (together, "the Asserted Patents"), and U.S. Patent No. 7,851,394 ("the '394 patent"). *Id.* at 11549. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names nine respondents: (1) Caihong Display Devices Co., Ltd., d/b/a Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China ("Caihong"); (2) Hisense USA Corporation of Suwanee, Georgia; (3) HKC Corporation Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (4) HKC Overseas Ltd. of Hong Kong; (5) LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; (6) TCL China Star Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China ("CSOT"); (7) TTE Technology, Inc., d/b/a TCL North America of Irvine, California ("TCL"); (8) VIZIO, Inc. of Irvine, California; and (9) Xianyang CaiHong Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China ("CHOT"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated several respondents from the investigation based on settlement

agreements. Order No. 24 (July 15, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 6, 2025) (HKC Corporation Ltd. and HKC Overseas Ltd.); Order No. 28 (Sept. 10, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 30, 2025) (VIZIO, Inc.); Order No. 29 (Sept. 30, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Dec. 8, 2025) (LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.); Order No. 57 (Mar. 24, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Apr. 20, 2026) (Hisense USA Corporation). Accordingly, four respondents remain in the investigation: Caihong, CSOT, CHOT, and TCL (collectively, "Respondents").

On December 22, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the '394 patent and claim 2 of the '491 patent. Order No. 35 (Dec. 2, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

On April 7, 2026, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued a final initial determination ("FID"), finding a violation of section 337 with respect to each of the remaining asserted claims of the Asserted Patents. The FID also includes the ALJ's Recommended Determination ("RD") on remedy and bond, should the Commission find a violation of section 337.

On May 7 and 8, 2026, Complainant and Respondents filed, respectively, a public interest statement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4). No submissions were filed in response to the post-RD **Federal Register** notice. See 91 FR 18478–79 (Apr. 10, 2026).

On June 8, 2026, the Commission determined to review the FID in part. 91 FR 35555–56 (June 11, 2026). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID's findings that: (1) the claim term "mole percent on an oxide basis" is not indefinite and (2) Complainant has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(B). *Id.* at 35556. The Commission also requested submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On June 22, 2026, Complainant filed its opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On that same date, respondents Caihong and CHOT filed a brief, including a discussion of the issues under review in addition to their view on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Also on that date, respondents TCL and CSOT filed a separate submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

On July 6, 2026, the Commission granted in part Complainant's motion to strike the portions of respondents Caihong's and CHOT's opening brief on

remedy, the public interest, and bonding that contain arguments regarding the two issues under review, as the Commission did not request additional briefing on those issues. Comm'n Order at 5–6 (July 6, 2026). Specifically, the Commission struck Sections II.A, II.B, and all arguments in the Introduction and Conclusion sections of the brief that are directed to the issues under review.

On July 13, 2026, the parties submitted their responsive briefs on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. See Order Granting In Part Complainant's Request For An Expedited Response To Motion To Strike; Extension Of Deadline For Responsive Briefing On Issues Of Remedy, The Public Interest, And Bonding (June 24, 2026).

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the FID, the parties' petitions for review and responses thereto and the submissions regarding remedy, the public interest, and bonding, the Commission has determined to find a violation of section 337 as to both Asserted Patents. As set forth in the simultaneously-issued Commission opinion, the Commission affirms with additional reasoning the FID's finding that the claim term "mole percent on an oxide basis" is not indefinite. The Commission also affirms with additional reasoning the FID's finding that Complainant has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(B).

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is an LEO prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same that are manufactured by or on behalf of Respondents or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. The Commission has also determined to issue a CDO against TCL.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections (d)(l) and (f)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(l), (f)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the above-referenced remedial orders. Additionally, the Commission has determined to impose a bond in the amount of zero percent (0%) of the infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)).

The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 6, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2026.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2026–16305 Filed 8–10–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–645 and 731–TA–1495 and 1497–1501 (Review)]

### Mattresses From Cambodia, China, Malaysia, Serbia, Thailand, Turkey, and Vietnam; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on mattresses from China and antidumping duty orders on mattresses from Cambodia, Malaysia, Serbia, Thailand, Turkey, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** July 6, 2026.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alejandro Orozco (202–205–3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On July 6, 2026, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (91 FR 16229, April 1, 2026) of the subject