

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1490]

Certain Off-Road Vehicles and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting an Unopposed Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation and Terminate the Investigation as to Certain Claims**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 10) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting an unopposed motion to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigation and terminate the investigation as to certain claims.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan D. Link, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3103. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 9, 2026, based on a complaint filed by Polaris Inc., Polaris Industries Inc., and Polaris Sales Inc. (collectively “Polaris”), each of Medina, Minnesota. 91 FR 11336–37 (March 9, 2026). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain off-road vehicles and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,819,220 (“the ’220 patent”); 7,950,486 (“the ’486 patent”); 8,613,337; 9,217,501; and 12,187,127 (“the ’127 patent”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry

exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents: Zhejiang CFMOTO Power Co., Ltd. of Hangzhou, China and CFMOTO Powersports Inc. (collectively “CFMOTO”) of Plymouth, Minnesota. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On June 26, 2026, Polaris filed a motion to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigation to assert claim 31 of the ’486 patent and claims 9–15 and 22 of the ’127 patent against the recently-launched ZFORCE® Z10 and Z10–4 vehicles, and to terminate the investigation in part as to claims 7 and 8 of the ’220 patent. The motion certifies that CFMOTO does not oppose the motion and no opposition was filed.

On July 14, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID, amending the complaint and Notice of Investigation to assert claim 31 of the ’486 patent and claims 9–15 and 22 of the ’127 patent against the ZFORCE® Z10 and Z10–4 vehicles, and terminating the investigation in part as to claims 7 and 8 of the ’220 patent. The ID finds that Polaris has shown good cause to amend the complaint and add allegations and the “proposed amendments will not prejudice the public interest or the rights of the parties participating in this investigation.” Order 10 at 5–6. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Claims 7 and 8 of the ’220 patent are terminated from the investigation. Further, the complaint and Notice of Investigation are amended to assert claim 31 of the ’486 patent and claims 9–15 and 22 of the ’127 patent.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 13, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 13, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–16789 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1481]

Certain Video-Capable Electronic Devices; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Due to an Arbitration Agreement; Termination of Investigation**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 18) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety due to an arbitration agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 23, 2026, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by InterDigital, Inc. and InterDigital VC Holdings, Inc., both of Wilmington, Delaware (together, “InterDigital”). 91 FR 2959–60 (Jan. 23, 2026). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain video-capable electronic devices by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 12, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 10,741,211; claims 39, 41, 43, 47, 48, 50, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59, 61, 65, 66, 68, 71, 72, and 74 of U.S. Patent No. 8,363,724; claims 13, 16, 19, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,681,855; claims 1–3, 7–9, 13, 14, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 11,917,146; and claims 1, 4, 10, and 15

of U.S. Patent No. 9,747,674 (“the ‘674 patent”). *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents, Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services, LLC, both of Seattle, Washington (together, “Amazon”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On May 4, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claim 4 of the ‘674 patent. Order No. 8 (April 14, 2026), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (May 4, 2026).

On July 13, 2026, InterDigital and Amazon filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon an arbitration agreement.

On July 17, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 18) granting the motion. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that “[a]ny party may move at any time to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a licensing or other agreement, including an agreement to present the matter for arbitration.” ID at 1 (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2)). The ID found that in compliance with Commission Rule 210.21(d), the parties provided a copy of the arbitration agreement. *Id.* at 2. The ID further found that the motion includes a statement that apart from the arbitration agreement, “there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the private parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation.” *Id.* The ID also found no evidence that termination of this investigation would have an adverse impact on the public interest. *Id.* No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 13, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 13, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–16788 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1452]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof II; Notice of a Commission Determination Finding a Violation Under Section 337; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to find a violation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and to issue a general exclusion order (“GEO”) prohibiting the importation of products that infringe claims 1 and 7 of each of the following patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 8,764,172 (“the ‘172 patent”); 9,370,934 (“the ‘934 patent”); 11,535,038 (“the ‘038 patent”); 12,240,248 (“the ‘248 patent”); and 12,240,249 (“the ‘249 patent”); and cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against respondents Mountain Peak, Inc. and Straightouttink, LP. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Namo Kim, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3459. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 17, 2025, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Epson America, Inc. of Los Alamitos, California; Epson Portland, Inc. of Hillsboro, Oregon; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Nagano, Japan (collectively “Epson”). 90 FR 25644–45 (June 17, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within

the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of the ‘172 patent, the ‘934 patent, the ‘038 patent, the ‘248 patent, and the ‘249 patent. *Id.* The complaint, as supplemented, further alleged that a domestic industry (“DI”) exists in the United States. *Id.*

The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following entities as respondents: Shenzhen Hongxinyuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Jianjai (“Shenzhen Hongxinyuan”) of Shenzhen, China; Shangrao Shixuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Inkgo (“Shangrao Shixuan”) of Shangrao, China; Shen Zhen Sailing Technology Limited d/b/a Triple-Color (“Shen Zhen Sailing”) of Shenzhen, China; Qiong Wang d/b/a 7-Magic (“Qiong Wang”) of Leizhou City, China; Dongguan Ocbestjet Digital Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet (“Ocbestjet Dongguan”) of Dongguan City, China; Ocbestjet Printer Consumables (HK) Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet (“Ocbestjet HK”) of Hong Kong, China; Shenzhen Kaizhen Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a PayForLess (“Kaizhen”) of Shenzhen, China; ZhuHai MeiJiAn Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a HaloFox (“ZhuHai MeiJiAn”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Shuofeng E-commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a super-ink-club (“Shuofeng”) of Zhuhai, China; Tatrix International China Co., Ltd. (“Tatrix”) of Guangdong, China; Luozhi Trading Co., Ltd. (“Luozhi”) of Guanzhou, China; Zhuhai Zhenyang Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Zhenyang”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Hengyunda Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Hengyunda”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Rongtaida Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Rongtaida”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Shi Wei Tai Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Shi Wei Tai”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Yixing Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Yixing”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Bowang Technology Co., Ltd. (“Bowang”) of Zhuhai, China; Mei Jin Technology HK Co., Ltd. (“Mei Jin”) of Hong Kong, China; Mountain Peak, Inc. (“Mountain Peak”) of Industry, CA; and Straightouttink, LP (“Straightouttink”) of San Jose, CA.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously found respondents Tatrix, Luozhi, Zhenyang, Hengyunda, Rongtaida, Shi Wei Tai, Yixing, Bowang, Mei Jin, Mountain Peak, and Straightouttink in default. *See* Order No. 8 (Sept. 15, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Nov. 17, 2025). The Commission also previously found respondents Ocbestjet Dongguan, Ocbestjet HK, Kaizhen, ZhuHai MeiJiAn, and Shuofeng in default. *See*