

of U.S. Patent No. 9,747,674 (“the ‘674 patent”). *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents, Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services, LLC, both of Seattle, Washington (together, “Amazon”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On May 4, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claim 4 of the ‘674 patent. Order No. 8 (April 14, 2026), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (May 4, 2026).

On July 13, 2026, InterDigital and Amazon filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon an arbitration agreement.

On July 17, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 18) granting the motion. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that “[a]ny party may move at any time to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a licensing or other agreement, including an agreement to present the matter for arbitration.” ID at 1 (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2)). The ID found that in compliance with Commission Rule 210.21(d), the parties provided a copy of the arbitration agreement. *Id.* at 2. The ID further found that the motion includes a statement that apart from the arbitration agreement, “there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the private parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation.” *Id.* The ID also found no evidence that termination of this investigation would have an adverse impact on the public interest. *Id.* No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 13, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 13, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–16788 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1452]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof II; Notice of a Commission Determination Finding a Violation Under Section 337; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to find a violation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and to issue a general exclusion order (“GEO”) prohibiting the importation of products that infringe claims 1 and 7 of each of the following patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 8,764,172 (“the ‘172 patent”); 9,370,934 (“the ‘934 patent”); 11,535,038 (“the ‘038 patent”); 12,240,248 (“the ‘248 patent”); and 12,240,249 (“the ‘249 patent”); and cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against respondents Mountain Peak, Inc. and Straightouttink, LP. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Namo Kim, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3459. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 17, 2025, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Epson America, Inc. of Los Alamitos, California; Epson Portland, Inc. of Hillsboro, Oregon; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Nagano, Japan (collectively “Epson”). 90 FR 25644–45 (June 17, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within

the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of the ‘172 patent, the ‘934 patent, the ‘038 patent, the ‘248 patent, and the ‘249 patent. *Id.* The complaint, as supplemented, further alleged that a domestic industry (“DI”) exists in the United States. *Id.*

The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following entities as respondents: Shenzhen Hongxinyuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Jianjai (“Shenzhen Hongxinyuan”) of Shenzhen, China; Shangrao Shixuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Inkgo (“Shangrao Shixuan”) of Shangrao, China; Shen Zhen Sailing Technology Limited d/b/a Triple-Color (“Shen Zhen Sailing”) of Shenzhen, China; Qiong Wang d/b/a 7-Magic (“Qiong Wang”) of Leizhou City, China; Dongguan Ocbestjet Digital Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet (“Ocbestjet Dongguan”) of Dongguan City, China; Ocbestjet Printer Consumables (HK) Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet (“Ocbestjet HK”) of Hong Kong, China; Shenzhen Kaizhen Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a PayForLess (“Kaizhen”) of Shenzhen, China; ZhuHai MeiJiAn Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a HaloFox (“ZhuHai MeiJiAn”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Shuofeng E-commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a super-ink-club (“Shuofeng”) of Zhuhai, China; Tatrix International China Co., Ltd. (“Tatrix”) of Guangdong, China; Luozhi Trading Co., Ltd. (“Luozhi”) of Guanzhou, China; Zhuhai Zhenyang Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Zhenyang”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Hengyunda Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Hengyunda”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Rongtaida Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Rongtaida”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Shi Wei Tai Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Shi Wei Tai”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Yixing Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Yixing”) of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Bowang Technology Co., Ltd. (“Bowang”) of Zhuhai, China; Mei Jin Technology HK Co., Ltd. (“Mei Jin”) of Hong Kong, China; Mountain Peak, Inc. (“Mountain Peak”) of Industry, CA; and Straightouttink, LP (“Straightouttink”) of San Jose, CA.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously found respondents Tatrix, Luozhi, Zhenyang, Hengyunda, Rongtaida, Shi Wei Tai, Yixing, Bowang, Mei Jin, Mountain Peak, and Straightouttink in default. *See* Order No. 8 (Sept. 15, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Nov. 17, 2025). The Commission also previously found respondents Ocbestjet Dongguan, Ocbestjet HK, Kaizhen, ZhuHai MeiJiAn, and Shuofeng in default. *See*

Order No. 10 (Dec. 3, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

On January 8, 2026, the Commission terminated the following respondents from the investigation: Shenzhen Hongxinyuan, Shangrao Shixuan, Shen Zhen Sailing, and Qiong Wang. *See* Order No. 11 (Dec. 11, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 8, 2026).

On January 20, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claims 2, 3, 8, and 10 of the '172 patent; claims 8 and 10 of the '934 patent; claims 12, 17, 19–20, and 24 of the '038 patent; claims 13, 15, and 20–21 of the '248 patent; and claims 2, 8, 13–15, and 20–22 of the '249 patent. Order No. 12 (Dec. 18, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 20, 2026).

On March 24, 2026, the ALJ issued an initial determination (Order No. 16) ("ID") granting Epson's motion for summary determination of a violation of section 337. The ID also includes a Recommended Determination ("RD") recommending that the Commission issue a GEO barring entry of products that infringe the asserted claims, issue CDOs against respondents Mountain Peak and Straightouttaint, and set a bond in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the infringing articles imported during the period of Presidential review.

On April 6, 2026, the Commission issued its post-RD notice seeking submissions on public interest issues raised by the relief recommended by the ALJ should the Commission find a violation. 91 FR 17307–08 (April 6, 2026). No responses were filed from the public. On April 21, 2026, Epson filed a statement on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

On May 8, 2026, the Commission issued a notice determining to review the ID in part with respect to the economic prong of the DI requirement and requesting written submissions on the issues under review as well as remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 91 FR 25921–23 (May 12, 2026) ("Review Notice"). The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the ID.

On May 22, 2026, Epson and OUII filed their written submissions in response to the Review Notice. No other written submissions were filed in response to the Review Notice. On May 29, 2026, Epson and OUII filed their replies to the other party's written submissions.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including Order No. 16 and the parties' written submissions, the Commission has determined to affirm

with supplemental analysis the ID's findings that Epson has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement. The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is a GEO and CDOs against respondents Mountain Peak and Straightouttaint, as to claims 1 and 7 of each of the following patents: the '172 patent, the '934 patent, the '038 patent, the '248 patent, and the '249 patent.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections (d)(1), (f)(1), and (g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), and (g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the above referenced remedial orders. Additionally, the Commission has determined to impose a bond in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the entered value of the covered products during the period of Presidential review. 19 U.S.C. 1337(j). The Commission issues its opinion herewith setting forth its determinations. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 13, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 13, 2026.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–16811 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1059 (Fourth Review)]

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From China; Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of antidumping duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on February 2, 2026 (91 FR 4613) and determined on May 8, 2026 that it would conduct an expedited review (91 FR 34649, June 8, 2026).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on August 14, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5777 (August 2026), entitled *Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1059 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 14, 2026.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–16816 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103–0116]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection: Title—Certification of Identity

AGENCY: Office of Information Policy, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Information Policy, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until September 17, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this information collection request, please contact: Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief of Compliance, Office Information Policy, 441 G St. NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20530, andrew.fiorillo@usdoj.gov, (202) 598–5074.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on June 5, 2026, 2026 FR 11352, allowing a 60-day comment

² Commissioner Peter-Anthony Pappas not participating.