

Order No. 10 (Dec. 3, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

On January 8, 2026, the Commission terminated the following respondents from the investigation: Shenzhen Hongxinyuan, Shangrao Shixuan, Shen Zhen Sailing, and Qiong Wang. *See* Order No. 11 (Dec. 11, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 8, 2026).

On January 20, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claims 2, 3, 8, and 10 of the '172 patent; claims 8 and 10 of the '934 patent; claims 12, 17, 19–20, and 24 of the '038 patent; claims 13, 15, and 20–21 of the '248 patent; and claims 2, 8, 13–15, and 20–22 of the '249 patent. Order No. 12 (Dec. 18, 2025), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Jan. 20, 2026).

On March 24, 2026, the ALJ issued an initial determination (Order No. 16) ("ID") granting Epson's motion for summary determination of a violation of section 337. The ID also includes a Recommended Determination ("RD") recommending that the Commission issue a GEO barring entry of products that infringe the asserted claims, issue CDOs against respondents Mountain Peak and Straightouttaint, and set a bond in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the infringing articles imported during the period of Presidential review.

On April 6, 2026, the Commission issued its post-RD notice seeking submissions on public interest issues raised by the relief recommended by the ALJ should the Commission find a violation. 91 FR 17307–08 (April 6, 2026). No responses were filed from the public. On April 21, 2026, Epson filed a statement on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

On May 8, 2026, the Commission issued a notice determining to review the ID in part with respect to the economic prong of the DI requirement and requesting written submissions on the issues under review as well as remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 91 FR 25921–23 (May 12, 2026) ("Review Notice"). The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the ID.

On May 22, 2026, Epson and OUII filed their written submissions in response to the Review Notice. No other written submissions were filed in response to the Review Notice. On May 29, 2026, Epson and OUII filed their replies to the other party's written submissions.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including Order No. 16 and the parties' written submissions, the Commission has determined to affirm

with supplemental analysis the ID's findings that Epson has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement. The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is a GEO and CDOs against respondents Mountain Peak and Straightouttaint, as to claims 1 and 7 of each of the following patents: the '172 patent, the '934 patent, the '038 patent, the '248 patent, and the '249 patent.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections (d)(1), (f)(1), and (g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), and (g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the above referenced remedial orders. Additionally, the Commission has determined to impose a bond in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the entered value of the covered products during the period of Presidential review. 19 U.S.C. 1337(j). The Commission issues its opinion herewith setting forth its determinations. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 13, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 13, 2026.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–16811 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1059 (Fourth Review)]

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From China; Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of antidumping duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on February 2, 2026 (91 FR 4613) and determined on May 8, 2026 that it would conduct an expedited review (91 FR 34649, June 8, 2026).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on August 14, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5777 (August 2026), entitled *Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1059 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 14, 2026.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–16816 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103–0116]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection: Title—Certification of Identity

AGENCY: Office of Information Policy, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Information Policy, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until September 17, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this information collection request, please contact: Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief of Compliance, Office Information Policy, 441 G St. NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20530, andrew.fiorillo@usdoj.gov, (202) 598–5074.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on June 5, 2026, 2026 FR 11352, allowing a 60-day comment

² Commissioner Peter-Anthony Pappas not participating.

period. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and/or
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function and entering either the title of the information collection or the OMB #1103–0016. This information collection request may be viewed at www.reginfo.gov. Please submit a copy of public comment along with OMB #1103–0016 to Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief of Compliance, Office Information Policy, 441 G St. NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20530, Andrew.Fiorillo@usdoj.gov, (202) 598–5074.

DOJ seeks PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. The DOJ notes that information collection requirements submitted to the OMB for existing ICRs receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a previously approved collection.
2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* Certification of Identity.

3. *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* DOJ 361.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Affected Public: Individuals or household.

Abstract: This form is used to identify individuals requesting records on self or another individual under the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(i)(3) and in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR, Section 16.41(d).

5. *Obligation to Respond:* Voluntary.

6. *Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 69000.

7. *Estimated Time per Respondent:* 30 minutes.

8. *Frequency:* Once.

9. *Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* Burden Hours—34500.

10. *Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:*

If additional information is required, contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, Enterprise Portfolio Management, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W–218, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 14, 2026.
Darwin Arceo,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.
[FR Doc. 2026–16814 Filed 8–17–26; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–BE–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On August 13, 2026, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Old Dutch Mustard Co., Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1: 26–cv–00655.

The United States alleges that the defendant made unpermitted discharges of pollutants and otherwise failed to comply with the conditions of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) permit for stormwater discharges by failing to eliminate its unauthorized non-stormwater discharges and by failing to comply with numerous other requirements included in that permit to prevent and minimize water pollution at its vinegar and mustard production facility in Greenville, New Hampshire. The United States’ complaint alleges that the defendant violated the Clean

Water Act in four specific ways: (1) unpermitted discharges of pollutants; (2) failure to evaluate for and eliminate unauthorized non-stormwater discharges; (3) failure to carry out stormwater management practices required by the defendant’s NPDES permit; and (4) failure to timely provide to the Environmental Protection Agency information reasonably required to implement the Clean Water Act. In its complaint, the United States sought an order requiring the defendant to comply with all applicable requirements of the Clean Water Act and its implementing regulations, including the defendant’s current and any future NPDES permits, along with civil penalties.

The proposed consent decree resolves the United States’ claims. It requires the defendant to pay a civil penalty of \$50,000 based on its limited financial ability to pay. The proposed consent decree also includes injunctive relief to bring the defendant into compliance with the Clean Water Act and applicable NPDES permit coverage, including requiring defendant to implement monitoring for water quality, acetic acid discharge, and stormwater discharge flow; retain a third party to conduct a facility engineering investigation and a facility environmental site assessment and investigation and use the results to implement a comprehensive environmental compliance program; evaluate for and eliminate non-stormwater discharges; implement control measures that minimize pollutant discharges in stormwater; to prepare and implement an updated Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Old Dutch Mustard Co., Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–07145/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Any comments submitted in writing may be filed by the United States in whole or in part on the public court docket without notice to the commenter.