

2026, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 121 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2026–346 and K2026–340.

Colleen Hibbert-Kapler,

Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026–17355 Filed 8–25–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, September 9, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. EST; Thursday, September 10, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. EST.

PLACE: Potomac, MD.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Wednesday, September 9, at 9:00 a.m.; Thursday, September 10, at 9:00 a.m.

1. Strategic Matters.
2. Financial and Operational Matters.
3. Administrative Matters.

General Counsel Certification: The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that the meeting may be closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Lucy C. Trout, Secretary of the Board of Governors, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone: (202) 268–4800.

Lucy C. Trout,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–17407 Filed 8–24–26; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106173; File No. SR–Phlx–2026–52]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Various Phlx Rules

August 21, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August

19, 2026, Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 1, Section 1, Applicability, Definitions and References; Options 2, Section 1, Application for Approval as an SQT, RSQT, or RSQTO and Assignment in Options; Options 4A, Section 6, Position Limits; Options 7, Section 1, General Provisions; and Options 8, Section 11, Floor Market Maker and Lead Market Maker Appointment, Section 25, Floor Allocation, and Section 39, B–6, Priority of Options Orders for Equity Options, Index Options and U.S. Dollar-Settled Foreign Currency Options by Account Type (EQUITY OPTION, INDEX OPTION AND U.S. DOLLAR-SETTLED FOREIGN CURRENCY OPTION ONLY).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/phlx/rulefilings>, and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Phlx proposes to amend the following Rules: Options 1, Section 1, Applicability, Definitions and References; Options 2, Section 1, Application for Approval as an SQT, RSQT, or RSQTO and Assignment in Options; Options 4A, Section 6, Position Limits; Options 7, Section 1, General

Provisions; and Options 8, Section 11, Floor Market Maker and Lead Market Maker Appointment, Section 25, Floor Allocation, and Section 39, B–6, Priority of Options Orders for Equity Options, Index Options and U.S. Dollar-Settled Foreign Currency Options by Account Type (EQUITY OPTION, INDEX OPTION AND U.S. DOLLAR-SETTLED FOREIGN CURRENCY OPTION ONLY).

Alphabetize Definitions and Amend Rule Citations

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 1, Section 1, Applicability, Definitions and References, to alphabetize the defined terms and make corresponding rule citation corrections at: Options 2, Section 1 (Application for Approval as an SQT, RSQT, or RSQTO and Assignment in Options); Options 7, Section 1 (General Provisions); and Options 8, Section 11 (Floor Market Maker and Lead Market Maker Appointment). The Exchange also proposes to amend Options 1, Section 1(b)(49) to change “an” to “a” within the description. These proposed amendments are non-substantive.

Remove Extraneous Text

The Exchange proposes to remove extraneous words from Options 4A, Section 6, Options Index Rules. The phrase “in excess of 100,000 contracts for its own account or for the account of a customer” is repeated twice in a row within the rule text. The Exchange proposes to remove the inadvertently repeated text. This proposed amendment is non-substantive.

Undefined Term and Conforming Usage of Term

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 8, Section 25, Floor Allocation, to replace the legacy term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” with “broker-dealer.” The term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” was removed from Phlx's Rules in 2025.³ Phlx noted in that rule proposal that off-floor broker-dealers should be treated the same as other market participants. Therefore, Phlx believes the term “broker-dealer” is the appropriate replacement for this term because the term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” was not meant to refer to any specific floor participant currently defined in the

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 101989 (December 30, 2024), 89 FR 106888 (December 31, 2024) (SR–Phlx–2024–71). The term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer Order” meant an order delivered from off the floor of the Exchange by or on behalf of a broker-dealer for the proprietary account(s) of such broker-dealer, including an order for a market maker located on an exchange or trading floor other than the Exchange's trading floor delivered electronically for the proprietary account(s) of such market maker.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

floor trading rules. The Exchange also proposes to lowercase “Limit Orders” to conform the use of the term Options 8 and remove the dated reference to Options 1, Section 1(b)(34). The Exchange also proposes to replace the lowercase “off-floor broker-dealer” references in Options 8, Section 39 at B–6, Priority of Options Orders for Equity Options, Index Options and U.S. Dollar–Settled Foreign Currency Options by Account Type (EQUITY OPTION, INDEX OPTION AND U.S. DOLLAR–SETTLED FOREIGN CURRENCY OPTION ONLY) with “broker-dealer” to conform the terms and remove the references to “off-floor broker-dealer.” The Exchange also proposes to remove the dated reference to Options 3, Section 7(b)(i)(C).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that each of the proposed non-substantive amendments furthers these objectives by improving the clarity, accuracy, and internal consistency of the Exchange’s rulebook, on which members, member organizations, and other market participants rely. The proposed amendments correct organizational, drafting, grammatical, and terminology errors without altering the substance of any rule.

The proposal to alphabetize the defined terms in Options 1, Section 1, Applicability, Definitions and References, and to make corresponding rule citation corrections at Options 2, Section 1, Options 7, Section 1, and Options 8, Section 11 should make it easier for market participants to locate the defined terms, and the amendments also ensure that cross-references throughout the rulebook accurately point to the renumbered definitions. The related amendment to Options 1, Section 1(b)(49) to change “an” to “a” is a grammatical correction that improves the readability of that provision.

The proposal to eliminate a repetition of the phrase “in excess of 100,000 contracts for its own account or for the account of a customer” is consistent

with the Act because the repetition could create confusion among members and member organizations regarding their reporting obligations for options on market indexes.

The proposal to replace the legacy term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” and its lowercase variants (“off-floor broker-dealer”) with “broker-dealer” in Options 8, Section 25, Floor Allocation, and Options 8, Section 39 at B–6, Priority of Options Orders for Equity Options, Index Options and U.S. Dollar–Settled Foreign Currency Options by Account Type, conforms these floor rules to the Exchange’s current rulebook. The defined term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” was removed from Phlx’s Rules in 2025, and its continued use in Options 8, Section 25 and Section 39 at B–6 could confuse members and member organizations regarding the class of participants to which the applicable allocation and priority provisions refer. Replacing this legacy term with “broker-dealer” protects investors and the public interest by ensuring that the Exchange’s floor rules reference a class of market participants that is currently used throughout the rulebook, consistent with the Exchange’s stated intent, when it removed the “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” definition, that off-floor broker-dealers be treated the same as other market participants. Finally, lowercasing “Limit Order” and removing dated references is consistent with the Act because it will conform the Rules and remove confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed amendments are non-substantive. Alphabetizing the defined terms in Options 1, Section 1 and updating the corresponding rule citations at Options 2, Section 1, Options 7, Section 1, and Options 8, Section 11, correcting the article in Options 1, Section 1(b)(49), removing the inadvertently duplicative phrase in Options 4A, Section 6, and replacing the legacy term “Off-Floor Broker-Dealer” and its lowercase variants with “broker-dealer” in Options 8, Section 25 and Options 8, Section 39 at B–6 are corrective and organizational changes that leave the substance of each affected rule unchanged. The proposed amendments will apply uniformly to all similarly situated members and member organizations. For these reasons, the Exchange does not believe that the

proposed rule change will impose any burden on intra-market or inter-market competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁶ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.⁷

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR–Phlx–2026–52 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–Phlx–2026–52. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>.) Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–Phlx–2026–52 and should be submitted on or before September 16, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–17361 Filed 8–25–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106175; File No. SR–NYSEAMER–2026–73]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 7.18E Regarding Trading Halts

August 21, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on August 11, 2026, NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.18E (“Trading Halts”) to set forth specific requirements for halting and resuming trading in a security that is subject to certain corporate actions. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) proposes to amend Rule 7.18 (“Trading Halts”) to set forth specific requirements for halting and resuming trading in a security that is subject to certain corporate actions.

In conjunction with the industry's plans for the introduction of trading 23 hours a day, 5 days a week (“23/5 Trading”), the Exchange's affiliate exchange, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”), filed with the Commission a proposal to amend its rules to set forth specific requirements for halting trading in a security for which the Exchange is the Primary Listing Market that is subject to certain issuer-related corporate actions and for resuming trading in that security using a Trading Halt Auction. NYSE Arca explained that the proposal would expand on the framework already in place with respect to its authority to declare a mandatory regulatory halt in advance of a reverse stock split, thereby providing greater transparency and clarity with respect to the situations in which trading certain securities subject to issuer-related corporate actions will be halted and the process through which that halt will be implemented and terminated. On July 8, 2026, the Commission published a

notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of NYSE Arca's proposal.⁴

The Exchange now proposes to make the same changes to its own rules. The Exchange understands that the other Primary Listing Markets also plan to implement substantially identical versions of this rule to ensure consistent treatment of corporate actions across the market.

Background

In 2024, the Commission noticed for immediate effectiveness the Exchange's filing establishing the Exchange's authority to declare a mandatory regulatory halt in a security for which the Exchange is the Primary Listing Market when that security is subject to a reverse stock split.⁵ Specifically, the Exchange proposed halting such a security before the end of the Late Trading Session on the day immediately before the effective date of a reverse stock split, with trading to resume with a Trading Halt Auction at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Time (“ET”)—instead of 4:00 a.m. ET—on the next trading day. The Exchange noted that because it processes reverse stock splits overnight, having the security reopen for trading at 4:00 a.m. ET raised the “potential for errors resulting in a material effect on the market resulting from market participants' processing of the reverse stock split, including incorrect adjustment or entry of orders.”⁶ The Exchange explained that this concern could be rectified by imposing a trading halt, “which would prohibit pre-market trading immediately after a reverse stock split” and open trading in such securities at 9:00 a.m. ET instead of 4:00 a.m. ET.⁷ The Exchange further noted that imposing such a trading halt and deferring the opening of the security until 9:00 a.m. ET would “allow the Exchange and market participants to better detect any errors or problems with orders for the security resulting from the reverse stock split before trading in the security begins and thereby avoid any material effect on the market.”⁸ In approving the substantively identical proposal of

⁴ See Securities Exchange Release No. 105862 (July 8, 2026), 91 FR 42999 (July 13, 2026) (SR–NYSEARCA–2026–71) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Rule 7.18–E Regarding Regulatory Halts for Corporate Actions and Issuer-Related Events).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99863 (March 27, 2024), 89 FR 22757 (April 2, 2024) (SR–NYSEAMER–2024–22) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Rule 7.18E) (“Reverse Stock Split Proposal”).

⁶ *Id.*, 89 FR at 22769.

⁷ *Id.*, 89 FR at 22758.

⁸ *Id.*

⁸ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.