

EPA—APPROVED MICHIGAN REGULATIONS—Continued

Michigan citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Comments
336.1661	Rescinded	April 18, 2023	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
336.1662	Standards for volatile organic compounds emissions from architectural and industrial maintenance coatings.	April 18, 2023	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
*	*	*	*	*
Part 8. Emission Limitations and Prohibitions—Oxides of Nitrogen				
336.1840	Definitions for the NO _x RACT rules	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
336.1841	RACT emission limitations for engines	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	All except for (8).
336.1842	RACT emission limitations for boilers	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	All except for (10).
336.1843	RACT emission limitations for combustion turbines.	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
336.1844	RACT emission limitations for miscellaneous process specific combustion sources.	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	All except for (9).
336.1845	RACT requirements for alternative RACT	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
336.1846	RACT requirements for miscellaneous large sources at major sources of NO _x .	April 28, 2025	8/27/2026, 91 FR [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER PAGE WHERE THE DOCUMENT BEGINS].	
*	*	*	*	*

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2026-17486 Filed 8-26-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 271****[EPA-R04-RCRA-2025-1577; FRL-13183-03-R4]****Florida: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final action; response to comments.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 2023, Florida submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a program revision application seeking authorization of changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended. On March 26, 2026, the EPA published both a direct final action granting Florida final authorization for revisions to its federally authorized hazardous waste program, along with a companion

proposed rule announcing the EPA's proposal to grant such final authorization. The EPA announced in both documents that the direct final action would become effective on May 26, 2026, unless adverse comments were received by April 27, 2026. Although the EPA received two comments during the public comment period, the EPA determined that the comments were not adverse. As a result, the direct final rule became effective on May 26, 2026. This action responds to the comments the EPA received.

DATES: The authorization of revisions to Florida's hazardous waste program took effect on May 26, 2026, as provided in the direct final action (91 FR 14648).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leah Davis; RCRA Programs and Cleanup Branch; Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960; telephone number: (404) 562-8562; fax number: (404) 562-9964; email address: davis.leah@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. What comments were received on Florida's proposed authorization and how is the EPA responding to these comments?**

During the public comment period for the direct final action (91 FR 14648) and proposed rule (91 FR 14673), the EPA received two separate comments. The comments are provided in the docket for this action. See Docket ID No. EPA-R04-RCRA-2025-1577 at www.regulations.gov. A summary of the comments and the EPA's responses are provided below.

Comment 1: The first commenter supported the EPA's authorization of revisions to Florida's hazardous waste program, stating that the EPA's authorization of the Florida hazardous waste program is beneficial in safeguarding human health and the environment.

Response: Because this comment is supportive of the authorization, the EPA determined that there is no basis to withdraw or deny authorization of revisions to the State program based on this comment.

Comment 2: The second commenter supported the EPA's authorization of revisions to the Florida hazardous waste program but posed several clarifying

questions as to the EPA's authorization decision as set forth below.

Comment 2.a.: The commenter notes that the Florida hazardous waste program largely incorporates the Federal hazardous waste regulations by reference but also includes additional State provisions that are either in addition to, or more stringent than, the Federal provisions incorporated by reference. The comment requests clarification of how that determination is reflected in the structure of the State rules.

EPA Response to Comment 2.a.: For purposes of this authorization, most of the provisions being authorized were incorporated by reference. In some cases, the State adds additional regulatory language stating that certain Federal provisions are excluded from that incorporation by reference or adopts another definition from its statutes (for example, the definition of "lamp"). The EPA reviews the totality of the incorporated by reference provisions and any additional State language, to evaluate equivalency.

Comment 2.b.: The commenter requests clarification on whether, for Checklists 181 and 244, the authorized provisions are incorporated by reference in whole or in part or implemented through independently codified State text.

EPA Response to Comment 2.b.: The State provisions being authorized for Checklists 181 and 244 incorporate the Federal regulations by reference. The authorized State provisions list any Federal regulations that are excluded from that incorporation by reference.

Comment 2.c.: The commenter requests confirmation as to whether any substantive differences exist between the Florida provisions and the corresponding Federal requirements.

EPA Response to Comment 2.c.: As documented in the direct final action, for the Federal rules being authorized, there are no substantive differences between the Federal requirements and the State requirements.

Comment 2.d.: The commenter requests that the EPA identify which import/export functions listed in Checklist 244 remain under Federal implementation and explain how they interact with Florida's authorized program.

EPA Response to Comment 2.d.: Checklist 244 makes conforming changes to regulations related to twelve hazardous waste import/export recovery and disposal operations used in hazardous waste export and import notices submitted to the EPA by exporters and importers in the United States, and in movement documents

that accompany export and import shipments. These Federal changes were needed to reflect changes to regulations related to Canadian import/export recovery and disposal operations that Canada promulgated in 2021. State programs are required to adopt the provisions in this rule to maintain their equivalency with the Federal program under 40 CFR 271.10(e); however, the import and export requirements are administered by the Federal government as a matter of foreign policy.

Comment 2.e.: The commenter notes that in the direct final action the EPA stated that it authorized Checklist 181 for completeness. The commenter requested clarification as to the effect of this statement.

EPA Response to Comment 2.e.: As noted in the direct final action, Florida added hazardous waste lamps as a category of universal waste prior to the EPA adding them as a category of universal waste. Florida adopts the federal universal waste lamp regulations by reference but was never specifically authorized for Checklist 181 because lamps were already a part of the Florida universal waste program when Checklist 181 was promulgated. The authorization of Checklist 181 clarifies any ambiguity as to whether Florida is authorized for Checklist 181.

Because this commenter asked clarifying questions only, to which the EPA has responded above, the EPA determined that there is no basis to withdraw or deny authorization of revisions to the State program based on this comment.

II. Why did the EPA issue this response to comments?

In the direct final action and proposed rule, the EPA stated that if it received adverse comments, we would either publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final action in the **Federal Register** informing the public the authorization will not take effect, or we would publish a notification containing a response to comments that either reverses the decision or affirms the final action will take effect. As stated above, the EPA determined that the comments received were not adverse; therefore, there is no basis to withdraw or deny authorization of revisions to the State program based on the comments received. However, the EPA publishes this notice in the **Federal Register** responding to the comments and acknowledging that the direct final action took effect on May 26, 2026, as provided in the direct final action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Incorporation by reference, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006, and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, and 6974(b).

Dated: August 6, 2026.

Kevin J. McOmber,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2026–17454 Filed 8–26–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 27

[GN Docket Nos. 18–122 and 25–59; FCC 26–46; FR ID 362070]

Upper C-Band (3.98–4.2 GHz); Expanding Flexible Use of the 3.7 to 4.2 GHz Band; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) is correcting a final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 2026. The text of the Report and Order, Order of Proposed Modification, and Order on Reconsideration inadvertently contained minor editorial errors and incorrectly restated the number of years provided in the definitions of the first and second performance benchmarks, which are corrected as detailed below. We make this correction to improve the clarity and internal consistency of the document.

DATES: The corrections are effective on September 29, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew McArdell of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at Andrew.McArdell@fcc.gov or 202–418–1576.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 2026–15598 appearing on page 48700 in the **Federal Register** of Friday, July 31, 2026, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 48700, in the second column, in the **DATES** section, “instruction 17 § (27.14(x)(3))” is