

questions as to the EPA's authorization decision as set forth below.

Comment 2.a.: The commenter notes that the Florida hazardous waste program largely incorporates the Federal hazardous waste regulations by reference but also includes additional State provisions that are either in addition to, or more stringent than, the Federal provisions incorporated by reference. The comment requests clarification of how that determination is reflected in the structure of the State rules.

EPA Response to Comment 2.a.: For purposes of this authorization, most of the provisions being authorized were incorporated by reference. In some cases, the State adds additional regulatory language stating that certain Federal provisions are excluded from that incorporation by reference or adopts another definition from its statutes (for example, the definition of "lamp"). The EPA reviews the totality of the incorporated by reference provisions and any additional State language, to evaluate equivalency.

Comment 2.b.: The commenter requests clarification on whether, for Checklists 181 and 244, the authorized provisions are incorporated by reference in whole or in part or implemented through independently codified State text.

EPA Response to Comment 2.b.: The State provisions being authorized for Checklists 181 and 244 incorporate the Federal regulations by reference. The authorized State provisions list any Federal regulations that are excluded from that incorporation by reference.

Comment 2.c.: The commenter requests confirmation as to whether any substantive differences exist between the Florida provisions and the corresponding Federal requirements.

EPA Response to Comment 2.c.: As documented in the direct final action, for the Federal rules being authorized, there are no substantive differences between the Federal requirements and the State requirements.

Comment 2.d.: The commenter requests that the EPA identify which import/export functions listed in Checklist 244 remain under Federal implementation and explain how they interact with Florida's authorized program.

EPA Response to Comment 2.d.: Checklist 244 makes conforming changes to regulations related to twelve hazardous waste import/export recovery and disposal operations used in hazardous waste export and import notices submitted to the EPA by exporters and importers in the United States, and in movement documents

that accompany export and import shipments. These Federal changes were needed to reflect changes to regulations related to Canadian import/export recovery and disposal operations that Canada promulgated in 2021. State programs are required to adopt the provisions in this rule to maintain their equivalency with the Federal program under 40 CFR 271.10(e); however, the import and export requirements are administered by the Federal government as a matter of foreign policy.

Comment 2.e.: The commenter notes that in the direct final action the EPA stated that it authorized Checklist 181 for completeness. The commenter requested clarification as to the effect of this statement.

EPA Response to Comment 2.e.: As noted in the direct final action, Florida added hazardous waste lamps as a category of universal waste prior to the EPA adding them as a category of universal waste. Florida adopts the federal universal waste lamp regulations by reference but was never specifically authorized for Checklist 181 because lamps were already a part of the Florida universal waste program when Checklist 181 was promulgated. The authorization of Checklist 181 clarifies any ambiguity as to whether Florida is authorized for Checklist 181.

Because this commenter asked clarifying questions only, to which the EPA has responded above, the EPA determined that there is no basis to withdraw or deny authorization of revisions to the State program based on this comment.

II. Why did the EPA issue this response to comments?

In the direct final action and proposed rule, the EPA stated that if it received adverse comments, we would either publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final action in the **Federal Register** informing the public the authorization will not take effect, or we would publish a notification containing a response to comments that either reverses the decision or affirms the final action will take effect. As stated above, the EPA determined that the comments received were not adverse; therefore, there is no basis to withdraw or deny authorization of revisions to the State program based on the comments received. However, the EPA publishes this notice in the **Federal Register** responding to the comments and acknowledging that the direct final action took effect on May 26, 2026, as provided in the direct final action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Incorporation by reference, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006, and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, and 6974(b).

Dated: August 6, 2026.

Kevin J. McOmber,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2026–17454 Filed 8–26–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 27

[GN Docket Nos. 18–122 and 25–59; FCC 26–46; FR ID 362070]

Upper C-Band (3.98–4.2 GHz); Expanding Flexible Use of the 3.7 to 4.2 GHz Band; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) is correcting a final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 2026. The text of the Report and Order, Order of Proposed Modification, and Order on Reconsideration inadvertently contained minor editorial errors and incorrectly restated the number of years provided in the definitions of the first and second performance benchmarks, which are corrected as detailed below. We make this correction to improve the clarity and internal consistency of the document.

DATES: The corrections are effective on September 29, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew McArdell of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at Andrew.McArdell@fcc.gov or 202–418–1576.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 2026–15598 appearing on page 48700 in the **Federal Register** of Friday, July 31, 2026, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 48700, in the second column, in the **DATES** section, “instruction 17 § (27.14(x)(3))” is

corrected to read “instruction 17 (§ 27.14(x)(4))”.

2. On page 48708, in the third column, in paragraph 60, the second sentence is corrected to read as follows: “Specifically, if a licensee fails to meet the first performance benchmark (*i.e.*, providing reliable signal coverage and offering service to at least 45% of the population in the license area no later than two years after the relevant Transition Deadline), it will accelerate its second performance benchmark by one year.”

3. Beginning on page 48708, in the third column, in paragraph 60, the third sentence is corrected to read as follows: “If a licensee fails to meet the second performance benchmark (*i.e.*, providing reliable signal coverage and offering service to at least 80% of the population in the license area no later than six years after the relevant Transition Deadline) in any license area, its authorization for that particular license area will terminate automatically without Commission action.”

4. Beginning on page 48738, in the third column, paragraph 241 is corrected to read as follows: “*It is further ordered* that the rules and requirements as adopted herein *are adopted*, effective sixty (60) days after publication in the **Federal Register**, and that the *Order of Proposed Modification* is effective as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register**; provided, however, that §§ 25.138(a)–(b); 25.147(a)–(c); 27.14(x)(4); 27.1412(b)–(c); 27.1412(e); 27.1412(g); 27.1413(a)(3); 27.1413(c)(1); 27.1413(c)(9); 27.1413(e)–(f); 27.1414(e); 27.1415; 27.1416; 27.1417; 27.1419; 27.1421; 27.1422(c); 27.1424 of the Commission’s rules, which contain new or modified information collection requirements that require review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act, will not become effective until the effective date for those information collections is announced in a document published in the **Federal Register** after the Commission receives OMB approval. The Commission directs the Bureau to issue such document and to cause §§ 25.138(a) and (b); 25.147(a) through (c); 27.14(x)(4); 27.1412(b) and (c); 27.1412(e); 27.1412(g); 27.1413(a)(3); 27.1413(c)(1); 27.1413(c)(9); 27.1413(e)–(f); 27.1414(e); 27.1415; 27.1416; 27.1417; 27.1419; 27.1421; 27.1422(c); 27.1424 to be revised accordingly.”

■ 5. On page 48742, in the third column, in § 27.14, paragraphs (x)(3) and (x)(4) are corrected to read as follows:

§ 27.14 [Corrected]

(x) * * *

(3) If a licensee fails to establish that it meets the Second Buildout Requirement for a particular license area, its authorization for each license area in which it fails to meet the Second Buildout Requirement shall terminate automatically without Commission action, and the licensee will be ineligible to regain it if the Commission makes the license available at a later date.

(4) [Reserved]

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–17508 Filed 8–26–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 260821–0006]

RIN 0648–B016

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Monkfish; Framework Adjustment 17

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Through this action, NMFS is implementing specifications and management measures in Framework Adjustment 17 to the Monkfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP). This action sets monkfish specifications for fishing year 2026, projects specifications for fishing years 2027 and 2028, streamlines the Annual Catch Limit (ACL) Overage Accountability Measure (AM) trigger, and adds default specifications for the monkfish fishery. This action is necessary to respond to updated scientific information and achieve the goals and objectives of the FMP. These measures are intended to help prevent overfishing and ensure that management measures are based on the best scientific information available.

DATES: Effective September 28, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Copies of Framework Adjustment 17, including the draft Supplemental Information Report (SIR) prepared by the New England Fishery Management Council in support of this action, are available from Dr. Cate O’Keefe, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport,

MA 01950. The supporting documents are also accessible via the internet at: <https://www.nefmc.org/management-plans/monkfish> or <https://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Spencer Talmage, Fishery Policy Analyst, (978) 281–9232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The monkfish fishery is jointly managed under the Monkfish FMP by the New England and the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Councils (Councils). The fishery extends from Maine to North Carolina from the coast out to the end of the continental shelf. The Councils manage the fishery as two management areas, with the Northern Fishery Management Area (NFMA) covering the Gulf of Maine and northern part of Georges Bank, and the Southern Fishery Management Area (SFMA) extending from the southern flank of Georges Bank through Southern New England and into the Mid-Atlantic Bight to North Carolina.

The monkfish fishery is primarily managed by landing limits and a yearly allocation of monkfish days-at-sea (DAS) calculated to enable vessels participating in the fishery to catch, but not exceed, the target total allowable landings (TAL) and the annual catch target (ACT), which is the TAL plus an estimate of expected discards, for each management area.

Under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), NMFS approves, disapproves, or partially approves measures that the Council recommends, based on consistency with the Act and other applicable law. NMFS reviews proposed regulations for consistency with the fishery management plan, plan amendments, the Magnuson-Stevens Act and other applicable law, and publishes the proposed regulations, solicits public comment, and promulgates the final regulations. NMFS published a proposed rule for Framework 17 on April 23, 2026 (91 FR 21779), with a 30-day comment period that ended on May 26, 2026. Based on information provided in the SIR and considered during the preparation of this action, and after consideration of comments, NMFS has approved all the measures in Framework 17 recommended by the Councils, as described below. The measures implemented in this final rule:

- Set specifications for the NFMA and SFMA for fishing year 2026 and projects specifications for fishing years 2027 and 2028;