

certain hot-rolled steel flat products from Japan. Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 11.70 percent.¹

Nippon Steel challenged Commerce's *Final Results*, regarding Commerce's application of partial adverse inference to Nippon Steel's home market affiliates' unreported downstream sales and Commerce's decision to deduct section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 duty payments from the U.S. price of subject merchandise. On August 1, 2022, Commerce completed a partial voluntary remand, granted by the CIT, to add certain separately-reported service-related revenue to the U.S. price and revised Nippon Steel's rate of dumping for the POR to 10.12 percent.²

On October 10, 2024, the CIT affirmed, in part, and remanded, in part, Commerce's *Final Results* as amended by the *First Remand Redetermination*.³ The CIT remanded Commerce to reconsider or further explain Commerce's decision to apply facts available with an adverse inference to certain Nippon Steel home market affiliates' unreported downstream sales.⁴ On October 10, 2024, Commerce issued its *Second Remand Redetermination*, in which Commerce further explained its decision to continue to apply an adverse inference to Nippon Steel's home market affiliates' unreported downstream sales and the CIT sustained Commerce's second redetermination.⁵ On April 8, 2026, the CIT issued its final judgement sustaining Commerce's *Final Results*, as amended by the *First Remand Redetermination* and *Second Remand Redetermination*.⁶

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 47615 (August 26, 2021) (*Final Results*).

² See *Final Results of Remand Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Nippon Steel Corporation v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 21–00533, dated August 1, 2022 (*First Remand Redetermination*).

³ See *Nippon Steel Corporation v. United States*, 732 F.Supp.3d 1353 (CIT 2024).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See *Final Results of Remand Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Nippon Steel Corporation v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 21–00533, Slip Op. 24–112 (CIT October 10, 2024), dated January 8, 2025 (*Second Remand Redetermination*).

⁶ See *Nippon Steel Corporation v. United States*, 829 F.Supp.3d 1274 (CIT 2026).

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁷ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁸ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT's April 8, 2026, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Nippon Steel as follows:

Company	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation/Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation	10.12

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Nippon Steel has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate for Nippon Steel. For all exporters/producers that do not have a superseding cash deposit rate, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to CBP.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

As no parties have appealed the CIT's ruling and the appeals period is now lapsed, the Court's decision is final and conclusive. Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Nippon Steel in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or

⁷ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁸ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

de minimis. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,⁹ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 26, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2026–17619 Filed 8–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–227, A–580–922, A–583–882, A–552–855]

Polytetramethylene Ether Glycol From the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable August 28, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Delgado at (202) 482–1468 (the People's Republic of China (China)); Matthew Palmer at (202) 482–1678 (the Republic of Korea (Korea)), Javeria Ali at (202) 482–0462 (Taiwan), and Rebecca Janz at (202) 482–2972 (the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam)), AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 28, 2026, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigations of imports of polytetramethylene ether glycol (PTMEG) from China, Korea, Taiwan, and Vietnam.¹ Currently, the

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹ See *Polytetramethylene Ether Glycol from the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Less-than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 91 FR 24162 (May 5, 2026) (*Initiation Notice*).

preliminary determinations are due no later than September 15, 2026.

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a LTFV investigation within 140 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1)(A)(b)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On August 20, 2026, the petitioner² submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary determinations in these LTFV investigations.³ The petitioner stated that it requests postponement “so that Commerce can evaluate fully the initial questionnaire responses submitted by the mandatory respondents and solicit supplemental information as necessary.”⁴

For the reasons stated above and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, Commerce, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations by 50 days (*i.e.*, 190 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated). As a result, Commerce will issue its preliminary determinations no later than November 4, 2026. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless postponed at a later date.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: August 25, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026–17638 Filed 8–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–051, C–570–052]

Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From the People’s Republic of China: Final Determinations of No Shipments in the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews; 2024, 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that there were no shipments of certain hardwood plywood products (hardwood plywood) from the People’s Republic of China (China) during the period of review (POR) covering the period June 17, 2020, through September 25, 2021, and January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024, for one producer of hardwood plywood subject to the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) reviews.

DATES: Applicable August 28, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kabir Archuletta, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

Commerce is conducting administrative reviews of the AD and CVD *Orders* on hardwood plywood from China in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ On April 24, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary*

Results of these administrative reviews² and invited interested parties to comment. On May 15, 2026, Taraca Pacific Inc. (Taraca Pacific), an importer of hardwood plywood, submitted a case brief.³ No other interested party submitted case or rebuttal briefs.

The deadline for these final results is August 24, 2026. A complete summary of the events that occurred since publication of the *Preliminary Results* can be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), which is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by the *Orders* is hardwood plywood from China. For a full description of the scope of the *Orders*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comment Received

The issue raised in the case brief is addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice in the appendix.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and sole comment received from interested parties, we revised the draft customs instructions for Hai Hien Bamboo Wood Joint Stock Company (Hai Hien) issued with the *Preliminary Results*.⁵

² See *Certain Hardwood Plywood Products from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Determinations of No Shipments and Rescission, In Part; 2024, 2020–2021*, 91 FR 22126 (April 24, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*).

³ See Taraca Pacific’s Letter, “Case Brief,” dated May 15, 2026, resubmitted at Commerce’s request on August 13, 2026, with revised bracketing of business proprietary information; see also Taraca Pacific’s Letter, “Case Brief,” dated August 13, 2026; and Memorandum, “Request to Revise Bracketing in Brief,” dated August 12, 2026.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Reviews of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Hardwood Plywood Products from the People’s Republic of China; 2024, 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Memorandum, “Draft U.S. Customs and Border Protection Liquidation Instructions,” dated April 20, 2026, at Attachments I and II.

² The petitioner is BASF Corporation.

³ See Petitioner’s Letter, “Petitioner’s Request For Postponement of the Preliminary Determinations,” dated August 20, 2026.

⁴ *Id.* at 1.

¹ See *Certain Hardwood Plywood Products from the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 83 FR 504 (January 4, 2018); and *Certain Hardwood Plywood Products from the People’s Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 513 (January 4, 2018) (collectively, *Orders*).