Comment deadline(s) for each such request, if any, appear in Section II.

Section III identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, if any, to add a standardized distinct product to the Competitive product list or to amend a standardized distinct product, the title of each such request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. Standardized distinct products are negotiated service agreements that are variations of one or more Competitive products, and for which financial models, minimum rates, and classification criteria have undergone advance Commission review. *See* 39 CFR 3041.110(n); 39 CFR 3041.205(a). Such requests are reviewed in summary proceedings pursuant to 39 CFR 3041.325(c)(2) and 39 CFR 3041.505(f)(1). Pursuant to 39 CFR 3041.405(c)–(d), the Commission does not appoint a Public Representative or request public comment in proceedings to review such requests.

II. Public Proceeding(s)

None. *See* Section III for summary proceedings.

III. Summary Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s).:* MC2026–362 and K2026–353; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add New Fulfillment Standardized Distinct Product, PM–GA Contract 1077 and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 26, 2026; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3633, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.325.

2. *Docket No(s).:* MC2026–363 and K2026–354; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add New Fulfillment Standardized Distinct Product, PM–GA Contract 1078, and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 26, 2026; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3633, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.325.

3. *Docket No(s).:* MC2026–364 and K2026–355; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add New Fulfillment Standardized Distinct Product, PM–GA Contract 1079, and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 26, 2026; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3633, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.325.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Danielle LeFlore,

Legal Assistant.

[FR Doc. 2026–17729 Filed 8–28–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106196; File No. SR–CBOE–2026–061]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Rules To Permit the Listing of Binary Options Overlying Key Performance Indicators Reported by Certain Issuers of Stock

August 26, 2026.

On June 30, 2026, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "Cboe Options") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") [1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] a proposed rule change to amend its rules to permit the listing and trading of binary options overlying key performance indicators ("KPIs") reported by certain issuers of stock ("binary KPI options"). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 15, 2026.[3]

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act [4] provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is August 29, 2026. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change and the issues raised therein. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,[5] designates October 13, 2026, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR–CBOE–2026–061).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[6]

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–17667 Filed 8–28–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 105877 (July 10, 2026), 91 FR 43418. Comments received on the proposed rule change are available on the Commission's website at: *https://www.sec.gov/rules-regulations/public-comments/sr-cboe-2026-061.*

[4] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

[5] *Id.*

[6] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(31).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106197; File No. SR–MX2–2026–04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MX2 LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposal To Amend Rule 20.6 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors) Regarding the Roster Requirements for the Obvious Error Panel

August 26, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on August 24, 2026, MX2 LLC ("MX2" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act [3] and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.[4] The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change to amend Exchange Rule 20.6 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions including Obvious Errors) to eliminate the requirement regarding the maintenance of a minimum roster of representatives eligible to serve on the Exchange's Obvious Error Panel. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5 and is available on the Exchange's website at *https://*

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

info.memxtrading.com/regulation/rules-and-filings/.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rule 20.6 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions including Obvious Errors) to simplify the representative requirement for the Obvious Error Panel. Specifically, as described below, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the requirement regarding the maintenance of a minimum roster of representatives eligible to serve on the Exchange's Obvious Error Panel to avoid an unnecessary administrative burden on the Exchange and its Options Members.

Under Rule 20.6(l), an Options Member affected by a determination made under Rule 20.6 may request review by an Obvious Error Panel. Under Rule 20.6(l)(1), each Obvious Error Panel must be comprised of the Exchange's Chief Regulatory Officer (''CRO''), or a designee of the CRO; one representative of an Options Member engaged in market making (any such representative, a ''MM Representative''); and two representatives of Options Members that satisfy specified criteria designed to ensure that such representatives are not engaged principally in options market making (any such representative, a ''Non-MM Representative'').

Under current Rule 20.6(l)(2), the Exchange must designate at least ten MM Representatives and at least ten Non-MM Representatives to be called upon to serve on the Obvious Error Panel as needed. That rule further provides that an Obvious Error Panel may not include a person affiliated with a party to the trade in question and that, to the extent reasonably possible, the Exchange must call upon designated representatives to participate on panels on an equally frequent basis.

The Exchange believes that the requirement to designate at least ten MM Representatives and at least ten Non-MM Representatives to be called upon to serve on the Obvious Error Panel is unnecessarily burdensome to both the Exchange and the representatives. The Exchange believes that a mandatory roster of twenty or more designated representatives is larger than necessary to administer the appeals process effectively and imposes avoidable administrative burdens on both the Exchange and its Options Members. Maintaining such a roster requires the Exchange to identify, solicit, qualify, designate, track, and periodically refresh a substantial number of representatives, even though only three industry representatives serve on a particular panel, and appeals occur only periodically and infrequently.

The Exchange believes that Rule 20.6, as amended, will facilitate a more efficient administration of the appeal process while retaining the requirement of having both MM Representatives and Non-MM Representatives on the panel. The proposed amendment will streamline the appeal process by removing the unnecessary burden of maintaining an active list of at least twenty representatives to serve on an Obvious Error Panel. The Exchange does not believe it is necessary to designate such a large number of representatives because the composition of each Obvious Error Panel will remain unchanged, as each panel will continue to include one MM Representative and two Non-MM Representatives, in addition to the CRO or the CRO's designee. The Exchange believes this composition provides a proper balance of competing interests and helps ensure regulatory fairness when resolving trade disputes.

The proposal will not alter the eligibility criteria for Non-MM Representatives. In addition, Rule 20.6(l)(2) will retain the requirements that an Obvious Error Panel may not include a person affiliated with a party to the trade in question and that, to the extent reasonably possible, the Exchange must call upon designated representatives to participate on panels on an equally frequent basis. These provisions will continue to promote impartiality and equitable participation in the appeal process.

The Exchange expects to continue designating a sufficient number of qualified MM Representatives and Non-MM Representatives to convene panels promptly, taking into account representative availability, potential conflicts, and the applicable review timeframes. Eliminating the fixed minimum roster size will provide the Exchange flexibility to maintain a roster appropriately sized to its operational needs without affecting the composition, independence, or substantive authority of the Obvious Error Panel.

The Exchange notes that the proposed approach is consistent with the rules of other national securities exchanges.[5]

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.[6] Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)[7] requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)[8] requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(1) of the Act,[9] which provides that the Exchange be organized and have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Act and to enforce compliance by the Exchange's Members and persons associated with its Members with the Act, the rules and

[5] Nasdaq Options 3, Section 20(l)(1) provides that a Nasdaq Review Council panel will be comprised minimally of one representative of a member engaged in market making and two industry representatives not engaged in market making, and that no more than 50% of the panel may be engaged in market making. The rule does not require Nasdaq to designate or maintain a minimum roster of potential panel representatives. Nasdaq ISE and Nasdaq MRX maintain materially similar panel-composition provisions in Options 3, Section 20(k)(1) of their respective rulebooks, likewise without imposing a minimum roster requirement.

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

[8] *Id.*

[9] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

regulations thereunder, and the rules of the Exchange.

In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed amendment to eliminate the requirement regarding the maintenance of a minimum roster of representatives eligible to serve on the Exchange's Obvious Error Panel will make the administration of the appeal process more efficient by reducing an unnecessary numerical condition while preserving the provisions governing panel composition, representative qualifications, conflicts of interest, review timing, and decisional authority. The required panel composition, the eligibility criteria for Non-MM Representative, and the prohibition on participation by a person affiliated with a party to the trade will remain unchanged. The Exchange believes these retained safeguards provide a proper balance of competing interests and protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange does not believe that requiring a roster of at least twenty designated representatives is necessary to ensure fair review. Rather, fairness is achieved through the composition of the panel that hears the appeal, the qualifications and independence of its representatives, and the substantive and procedural protections in Rule 20.6. The proposed change also will serve to avoid wasting Options Member and Exchange resources on maintaining an excessive list of Options Member representatives.

The Exchange further believes that the proposal's consistency with the rules of Nasdaq and its affiliated options exchanges supports the conclusion that the fixed roster requirement is not necessary to protect investors or ensure fair review. Those exchanges rely on panel-composition safeguards similar to those that will remain in Rule 20.6, but do not require the maintenance of a roster of at least ten market-maker and ten non-market-maker representatives.

Finally, the proposal is not designed to permit unfair discrimination. Rather, the proposal relates only to the Exchange's administrative requirements for maintaining a roster of eligible representatives and will apply uniformly to all Options Members and all appeals under Rule 20.6. The Exchange will continue to maintain a roster of qualified representatives appropriately sized to its operational needs and will continue to select representatives in accordance with the rule's objective criteria and, to the extent reasonably possible, call upon designated representatives on an equally frequent basis.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. This proposal does not create an unnecessary or inappropriate intramarket burden on competition because the proposed change will apply uniformly to all Members and will not affect any Member's ability to request or obtain review of an obvious error determination. Further, the proposal will not impact the fairness or impartiality of the appeal process. The Exchange will continue to appoint qualified individuals to serve on the Obvious Error Panel and to administer the appeals process in a fair and consistent manner, and all similarly situated parties will continue to have access to the same appeal procedures and protections under Rule 20.6. The Exchange also does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition because the proposal relates solely to the Exchange's internal administration of the Obvious Error Panel and does not affect the standards for determining whether a transaction is erroneous, the relief available for market participants, the rights or obligations of any Member, the Exchange's trading functionality or the ability of Members to compete on the Exchange or across markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act [10] and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.[11]

[10] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

[11] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's internet comment form (*https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include file number SR–MX2–2026–04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–MX2–2026–04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (*https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-MX2–2026–04 and should be submitted on or before September 21, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[12]

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–17668 Filed 8–28–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106202; File No. 600–43]

TriOptima AB; Notice of Filing of Application for Exemption From Registration as a Clearing Agency Under Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

August 26, 2026.

I. Introduction

On August 9, 2024, TriOptima AB (''TriOptima'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') an application on Form CA–1 (''Application'') seeking an exemption from registration as a clearing agency pursuant to Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Exchange Act'') and Rule 17Ab2–1 thereunder.[1] In its Application, TriOptima states that it is requesting an exemption from clearing agency registration in connection with certain post-trade risk reduction services for transactions in security-based swaps (''SBS'') offered through its triReduce and triBalance services.[2] TriOptima also requests that the exemption be applied to its planned expansion of these services to cover transactions in repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements involving any type of underlying securities (''Repo Products''), including U.S. Treasury securities.[3]

The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested persons on the Application. The Commission will consider any comments it receives in making its determination whether to grant TriOptima's request for an exemption from registration as a clearing agency.[4]

II. Background

TriOptima has been providing the services described in Part III of this notice pursuant to a temporary, class-based exemption issued by the Commission in 2011 (''2011 Temporary Exemption'').[5] Specifically, the 2011 Temporary Exemption provided exemptive relief to entities performing (non-central counterparty) post-trade services for SBS that otherwise would have to register as a clearing agency or obtain an exemption from registration.[6] In adopting Regulation SE in 2023, the Commission terminated the 2011 Temporary Exemption, while extending its exemptive relief to entities that applied for registration or an exemption from registration as a clearing agency.[7] Pursuant to the terms set forth in the Regulation SE adopting release, TriOptima has continued to provide the services described below.[8]

III. Summary of TriOptima's Organization and Services

A. Organization

Orion ELP LP, which is owned by funds and other vehicles controlled by KKR, is the ultimate parent of TriOptima.[9] TriOptima is incorporated as a private limited company in Stockholm and has branches in the United Kingdom and in Singapore.[10] TriOptima also has four subsidiaries, each of which provide services to TriOptima in the form of client relationship management, sales, and marketing activities.[11] All clients of TriOptima services enter into contractual arrangements only with TriOptima, the applicant.[12]

B. Description of Services

In its Application, TriOptima explains that offers the triReduce and triBalance services to enable financial institutions to reduce risks in their non-cleared and cleared portfolios of positions in various derivative products, including SBS.[13] Customers of the services include SBS dealers, inter-dealer brokers, prime brokers, and institutional buy-side firms. As stated above, in addition to serving the SBS market, TriOptima proposes in its Application to expand its service offerings to include Repo Products, including for U.S. Treasury securities. Below is a description of each of the triReduce and triBalance services.

1. triReduce

triReduce, a portfolio compression service, is a web-based service that enables multilateral and multi-contract early termination of cleared and uncleared OTC derivatives (primarily interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps, inflation swaps, credit default swaps and FX forwards).[14] TriOptima

---

[12] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1; 17 CFR 240.17ab2–1 (''Rule17Ab2–1'').

[2] Application, Exhibit S–1. TriOptima subsequently amended its application on December 11, 2024, in 2025 on January 6, November 26, and December 22, and in 2026 on February 13. The non-confidential exhibits of its application are available for viewing on the Commission's website at *https://www.sec.gov/rules-regulations/commission-orders-notices/other-commission-orders-notices-information.* On August 5, 2026, TriOptima amended its application by letter, explaining that, over the next 12 months, certain product names will change because its parent company has relinquished certain naming rights, including use of the words ''Markit'' and ''Serv.'' OSTTRA Group, on behalf of TriOptima, explains that, although not directly affected by this change, in Q4 2026 the ''triReduce'' service will be renamed ''OSTTRA Reduce'' and the ''triBalance'' service will be renamed ''OSTTRA ReBalance.'' It also explains that these changes are strictly a renaming exercise and introduce no changes to technical architecture, risk controls, or operational support. *See* Letter from Michelle Hallet, Head of Compliance, OSTTRA, dated August 5, 2026.

[3] Application, Exhibit S–1.

[4] Because the Application seeks an exemption from registration, the timing requirements in Section 19(a) of the Exchange Act do not apply. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(2) (applying the provisions of Section 19(a) to applications for registration but not applications for an exemption from registration).

[5] *See* Order Pursuant to Section 36 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Granting Temporary Exemptions from Clearing Agency Registration Requirements under Section 17A(b) of the Exchange Act for Entities Providing Certain Clearing Services for Security-Based Swaps, Release No. 34–64796 (July 1, 2011), 76 FR 39963 (July 7, 2011).

[6] *See* 2011 Temporary Exemption, 76 FR at 39964.

[7] *See* Security-Based Swap Execution and Registration and Regulation of Security-Based Swap Execution Facilities, Release No. 34–98845 (Nov. 2, 2023), 88 FR 87156, 87229 (Dec. 15, 2023) (''Regulation SE'') (stating that ''[f]or any entity currently relying on the 2011 Clearing Agency Exemption that becomes required to register as a clearing agency, the exemptive relief will terminate 180 days after the Effective Date of Regulation SE, which will be 60 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**, except that (1) with respect to an entity that has filed an application to register as a clearing agency with the Commission on Form CA–1 within 180 days of the Effective Date of Regulation SE, the relief will terminate 240 days after the Effective Date of Regulation SE; and (2) with respect to an entity that has filed an application on Form CA–1 within 180 days after the Effective Date of Regulation SE and whose application on Form CA–1 is complete (having responded to requests by the Commission's staff for revisions or amendments) within 240 days after the effective date, the exemptive relief will terminate 30 days after the Commission acts to approve or disapprove the application on Form CA–1.'').

[8] *See* Regulation SE, 88 FR at 87229; *see also* Application, Exhibit J, at 1, and Exhibit S–1.

[9] *See* Application, Exhibits D and D–1. This change in ownership was reflected in an amendment filed on December 22, 2025.

[10] The Application explains that these branches are not involved with the services that constitute the clearing agency functions. *See* Application, Exhibit D, at 2.

[11] The subsidiaries are TriOptima North America LLC, TriOptima UK Limited, TriOptima Asia Pacific Pte LTD, OSTTRA Japan KK. The Application explains that the purpose of the subsidiaries is to provide global coverage via the so-called ''follow the sun'' model. *See* Application, Exhibit D, at 2.

[12] *Id.*

[13] *See* Application, Exhibit J, at 2, and Exhibit S–1.

[14] *See* Application, Exhibit S–1, at 5.