Register.[1] On May 1, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of this third sunset review of the *Order,* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act).[2] On May 15, 2026, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from Metal Grating Coalition (domestic interested party) within the deadline specified in the 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).[3] The domestic interested party claimed the interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(F) of the Act as an association of producers of the domestic like product; each of its individual members is a domestic producer and an interested party under section 771(9)(C) of the Act.[4] On June 26, 2026, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it had received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties.[5]

On June 1, 2026, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i), domestic interested parties filed a timely and adequate substantive response.[6] Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. On June 26, 2026, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive substantive response from any respondent interested parties.[7] As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order.*

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this *Order* is steel grating from China. For the full description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.[8]

[1] *See Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order,* 75 FR 43143 (July 23, 2010) (*Order*); *see also Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order,* 75 FR 69626 (November 15, 2010) (*Amended Final Determination and Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews,* 91 FR 23395 (May 1, 2026).

[3] *See* Domestic Interested Party's Letter, ''Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review,'' dated May 15, 2026.

[4] *Id.* at 2.

[5] *See* Commerce's Letter, ''Sunset Reviews Initiated on May 1, 2026,'' dated June 26, 2026.

[6] *See* Domestic Interested Party's Letter, ''Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review,'' dated June 1, 2026 (Substantive Response).

[7] *See* Commerce's Letter, ''Sunset Reviews Initiated on May 1, 2026,'' dated June 26, 2026.

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.[9] A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 145.18 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

[9] *Id.*

Dated: August 27, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. History of the *Order*
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail
VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–17885 Filed 8–31–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–580–882]

Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel) and POSCO, producers/exporters of certain cold-rolled steel flat products (cold-rolled steel) from the Republic of Korea (Korea), received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable September 1, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Doyle or Seth Brown, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5882 or (202) 482–0029, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 5, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties to comment.[1] On June 16 and

[1] *See Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and*

July 23, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results of this review to no later than August 25, 2026.[2] For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[3]

Scope of the Order[4]

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is cold-rolled steel from Korea. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS, which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Methodology

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.,* a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.[5] For a full description of the methodology underlying all of Commerce's conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023, 91 FR 10795 (March 5, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

[2] *See* Memoranda, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,'' dated June 16, 2026; and ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2023 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,'' dated July 23, 2026.

[3] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea; 2023,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[4] *See Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Brazil, India, and the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order (the Republic of Korea) and Countervailing Duty Orders (Brazil and India),* 81 FR 64436 (September 20, 2016) (*Order*).

[5] *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to POSCO's countervailable subsidy rate calculation from the *Preliminary Results.* For a discussion of these changes, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist for the period January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023.

Producer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent *ad valorem*)
Hyundai Steel Company[6]	1.28
POSCO[7]	3.64

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations performed in connection with the final results of review to interested parties within five days of public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For Hyundai Steel and POSCO, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties at the subsidy rates listed in the table above. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

[6] As discussed in the *Preliminary Results* PDM, Commerce has found Hyundai Green Power Co. Ltd to be cross-owned with Hyundai Steel.

[7] As discussed in the *Preliminary Results* PDM, Commerce has found the following companies to be cross-owned with POSCO: POSCO Future M; POSCO Holdings; POSCO M-Tech, POSCO Nippon Steel RHF Joint Venture Co., Ltd., and POSCO Mobility Solutions. We note that POSCO also exported certain subject merchandise through an affiliated trading company, POSCO International Corporation (POSCO International). We examined POSCO International in the context of POSCO, and POSCO International's subsidies are included in POSCO's total subsidy rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for the companies listed above on shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review.

For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific rate applicable to the company or the all-others rate, as appropriate. These cash deposits, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 25, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Subsidies Valuation Information
V. Analysis of Programs
VI. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Whether Electricity Is Subsidized by the Government of Korea
Comment 2: Whether the Provision of Korea Emissions Trading System (K–ETS) Permits is Countervailable
Comment 3: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Attributed Benefits POSCO International Corporation (POSCO International) Received for the Electricity for More than Adequate Remuneration (MTAR) Program to the Production of Subject Merchandise

Comment 4: Whether the Benchmark Selected for the Electricity for MTAR Program is Appropriate
Comment 5: Whether POSCO International's Korea Export-Import Bank (KEXIM) Overseas Investment Credit Program (OICP) Loan is Tied to the Production of Non-Subject Merchandise
Comment 6: Whether to Modify POSCO's KEXIM OICP Loan Benefit
Comment 7: Whether the Constructing and Operating a Workplace Nursery Program is Countervailable
Comment 8: Whether to Modify the Benchmark Interest Rate for Hyundai Steel's KEXIM Export Growth Loan
VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–17768 Filed 8–31–26; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and exporters subject to this administrative review did not make sales of subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV) the period of review (POR) March 1, 2024, through February 28, 2025.

DATES: Applicable September 1, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0198.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 27, 2026, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review of the *Order* [1] on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (CWP) from Thailand and invited interested parties to comment.[2] This review covers the sole mandatory respondent, Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd., also known as Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Co., Ltd. (collectively, Saha Thai), and Thai Premium Pipe Co., Ltd (TPP), which was not selected for individual examination. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

A summary of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results,* and a discussion of the issue raised by an interested party for the final results, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[3] The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System, which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are CWP from Thailand. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[4]

Analysis of Comments Received

The single issue raised in the case brief filed by an interested party in this administrative review is addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and listed in the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comment received regarding our *Preliminary Results,* as explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made a change in these final results.

Rate for the Non-Selected Company Under Review

As mentioned above, TTP had reviewable entries but was not selected as a mandatory respondent. The Act does not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination in an administrative review that is conducted pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulations at 19 CFR 351.109(g) state that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 705(c)(5) of the Act. Section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f) state that for companies not investigated, in general, we will determine an all-others rate by weight averaging the weighted-average dumping margins established for each of the companies individually investigated, excluding zero and *de minimis* rates or any rates based entirely on facts available.

In this review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of zero percent for the sole mandatory respondent Saha Thai. Consistent with the court's decision in *Albemarle,*[5] and Commerce's practice,[6] we assigned the sole non-examined company under review, TPP, a weighted-average dumping margin of zero, based on the rate calculated for Saha Thai, pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period March 1, 2024, through February 28, 2025:

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd. (also known as Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd.)	0.00
Thai Premium Pipe Co. Ltd	0.00

[1] *See Antidumping Duty Order; Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand,* 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986) (*Order*).

[2] *See Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025,* 91 FR 22490 (April 27, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

[3] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[4] *Id.*

[5] *See Albemarle Corp.* v. *United States,* 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*).

[6] *See Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,*87 FR 60989 (October 7, 2022), unchanged in *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 88 FR 20128 (April 5, 2023).