Annual HCV STS Compliance

Consistent with the statutory language of serving ''substantially'' the same number of families, the MTW agency will be considered compliant with the STS Requirement in the HCV program if it houses families through the HCV and local, non-traditional program at or above the Annual HCV STS Target. Again, the Annual HCV STS Target is 90% of the Annual HCV Capacity.

The MTW agency may dip below the Annual HCV STS Target for certain circumstances, as approved by HUD. Any allowable dips must be time-limited and described and approved by HUD.

Each year, HUD will advise the MTW agency of its compliance under the STS Requirement in the HCV program for the prior calendar year. This information will also be made available on HUD's website.

In the event an MTW agency does not meet the Annual HCV STS Target, the MTW agency will have two years from the date it is notified to come into compliance. If, two years after notification of the deficiency the MTW agency still does not meet the Annual HCV STS Target, then the MTW agency will be required to expend all HAP dollars only on HAP. Once the MTW agency achieves 93% expenditures of Budget Authority on HAP, the MTW agency will be able to again use its HCV HAP funds flexibly. Failure to adhere to this may result in enforcement processes detailed in the MTW amendment to the MTW agency's Annual Contributions Contract (ACC Amendment).

Adjustments to the HCV Annual Capacity

If the MTW agency believes that its Annual Adjusted Baseline Year PUC is no longer accurate, it may request an adjustment to this figure. Such a request may not be made more than once every three calendar years. The MTW agency must submit such a request to HUD along with a justification for the adjustment (for example, rising costs, special market conditions, public housing repositioning). HUD will then review the request and either approve or deny it. If approved, HUD will change the PUC appropriate to the circumstances of the MTW agency (as determined by HUD). This new PUC will then be adjusted by the inflation factor every year and used to determine compliance with the HCV portion of the STS Requirement going forward.

[FR Doc. 2026–17777 Filed 8–31–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–7107–N–23 OMB Control No.: 2577–0229]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: The Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) Program

AGENCY: Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comments from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 30 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date: October 1, 2026.*

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.* Find this particular information collection by selecting ''Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments'' or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anna Guido, PRA Compliance Officer, Paperwork Reduction Act Division, PRAD, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410; email at *PaperworkReductionActOffice@hud.gov,* ATTN: Anna Guido, telephone (202) 402–5535. This is not a toll-free number. HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit *https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs.*

Available documents submitted to OMB can be found in the docket file on *reginfo.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A. The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on May 11, 2026, at 91 FR 25590.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: The Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) Program.

OMB Approval Number: 2577–0229.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Form Number: HUD–52753, HUD–52755, HUD–52768, SF–424, SF–LLL, HUD–424B, HUD–2880.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The forms are used to evaluate the capacity and eligibility of applicants to the ROSS program.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
SF–424 Application for Federal Assistance	350	1	350	0	0	0	0
SF–LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	50	1	50	0	0	0	0
HUD–52755 Sample Contract Administrator Partnership Agreement for the Ross-Service Coordinator Grant Program	100	1	100	1	100	30.49	3,049
HUD–52768 ROSS							
Service Coordinator Funding	350	1	350	3	1,050	30.49	$32,014.50
HUD–424B Applicant and Recipient Assurances and Certifications	350	1	350	0	0	0	0
HUD–2880 Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report	350	1	350	0	0	0	0
HUD–52753 Certification of Election of Resident Council Board	75	1	75	.17	12.75	$30.49	$388.74
Total	525	1	525	4.17	1,162.75	$30.49	$35,452.24

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

Authority: Section 2 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507.

Anna P. Guido,

Compliance Officer, Department PRA Compliance Officer, Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer.

[FR Doc. 2026–17889 Filed 8–31–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

[S1D1S SS08011000 SX064A000 266S180110; S2D2S SS08011000 SX064A000 26XS501520]

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision for Navajo Transitional Energy Company's Navajo Mine No Name SMCRA Permit

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the final environmental impact statement and record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is publishing this notice to announce that it has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) for Navajo Transitional Energy Company's (NTEC) proposed Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA) permit for the No Name Permit area within NTEC's existing Navajo Mine Lease 14–20–603–2505.

DATES: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will also publish a notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), its implementing regulations at 43 CFR part 46, and the Department of the Interior's (Department's) Handbook of NEPA procedures, OSM has issued a single document that consists of a FEIS and ROD, which is effective immediately.

ADDRESSES: The FEIS and ROD and documents pertinent to this project are available for review on OSM's website at: *https://www.osmre.gov/laws-andregulations/nepa/projects.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marcelo Calle, Acting Regional Director, OSM Western Regions 5, 7–11, P.O. Box 25065, Lakewood, CO 80225–0065; (303) 236–2929 or *mcalle@osmre.gov.*

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OSM Western Region, U.S. Department of the Interior Regions 5, 7–11, prepared an EIS for the No Name SMCRA Permit application (Project). In accordance with SMCRA, OSM must approve the Project, disapprove the Project, or approve the Project with conditions because the Project is on Indian lands, as that term is defined under SMCRA, including tribal lands with leased tribal coal (BIA Mine Lease 14–20–603–2505). The lease agreement grants NTEC the right to mine within the lease area; however, mining cannot occur until a SMCRA permit is obtained. The Navajo Nation owns the surface and mineral rights of the entire lease area and the permit areas located within it.

The No Name Permit area is located on the Navajo Nation approximately 22 miles from Farmington, New Mexico. The proposed surface coal mine is within NTEC's existing Navajo Mine Lease 14–20–603–2505, located adjacent to the southern boundary of NTEC's existing Navajo Mine operating area (OSM Permit No. NM–0043A). The No Name Permit area covers 11,526 acres, completely within tribal lands, and the current surface land use is grazing. The Project would allow for 9,042 acres of additional surface disturbance and production of 502,984,700 tons of recoverable coal, extending the life of the mine to 2136. The initial permit will be for five years with a right of renewal for the life of the mine as long as all legal requirements are met.

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

OSM's purpose and need for the EIS is for OSM to analyze NTEC's SMCRA permit application package to mine Navajo Nation coal on approximately 11,526 acres from Areas 4 South and 5 within the Navajo Mine Lease Area (BIA 14–20–603–2505). Under the Department's Handbook of National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures, 516 DM 1 Section 2.2, ''when the proposed action concerns a bureau's duty to act on an application for authorization, the purpose and need for the proposed action will also be informed by the goals of the applicant.'' Here, NTEC informed OSM that NTEC's goal is to exercise its valid existing rights granted by the Navajo Nation under BIA Mine Lease No. 14–20–603–25205 to access and mine Navajo Nation coal resources, to continue to provide coal to the Four Corners Power Plant for the duration of operations there, and to provide coal to future customers if the Four Corners Power Plant shuts down. NTEC was created by the Navajo Nation to exercise sovereignty over its natural resources.

Proposed Action and Alternatives

The FEIS analyzes three alternatives: the No Action Alternative, the Proposed Action Alternative, and the Avoid Pinabete Arroyo Alternative.

The No Action Alternative evaluates the effects of OSM not approving the Project. Under this alternative, NTEC would continue to be able to mine coal within its existing SMCRA permit boundaries but would not be authorized to expand the mine to include the proposed No Name Permit area covering an additional 11,526 acres, would not disturb 9,042 acres of additional surface disturbance, and would not produce the approximately 502,984,700 tons of recoverable coal.

The Proposed Action Alternative evaluates the effects of approval of a new SMCRA permit area within Area 4 South and Area 5 of NTEC's existing Navajo Mine Lease 14–20–603–2505, located on the western flank of the San Juan Structural Basin south of the Navajo Mine (the No Name SMCRA Permit), authorizing the disturbance of 9,042 acres and the production of approximately 502,984,700 tons of recoverable coal. Under this alternative, the life of the mine would depend on the rate of production. To account for this uncertainty, the Proposed Action considers two demand alternatives that were included in NTEC's permit