

500 and the time per response is 10 minutes to complete the surveys.

7. *Estimated Time per Respondent:* The estimated time per respondent is approximately 15–30 mins per survey for both the NA and LEBIC surveys.

8. *Frequency:* The NA surveys are five times per year. The LEBIC surveys will be as many as ten times per year.

9. *Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* The total annual burden hours for this collection is 250 hours for NA surveys and 8.3 hours for LEBIC surveys

10. *Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$0

If additional information is required, contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, Enterprise Portfolio Management, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W–218, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 31, 2026.

Darwin Arceo,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2026–17964 Filed 9–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OJP Docket No. 1839]

Notice of Submission of Charter for the Reestablishment of the Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of committee reestablishment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice hereby gives notice of planned filing of the charter for the reestablishment of the Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative Advisory Committee (GAC) as a federal advisory committee pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended. The GAC will provide recommendations to the Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Justice Programs, and the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance on national justice information and criminal intelligence sharing policy, standards, and integration initiatives that support improved public safety and enhanced coordination among federal, state, local, tribal, territorial, and other justice-interested partners.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David P. Lewis, Senior Policy Advisor,

Designated Federal Officer, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, 999 N Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20531, by telephone at (202) 616–7829, toll free (866) 859–2687, or by email at david.p.lewis@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The GAC is being reestablished to ensure continued access to practitioner-driven guidance on secure, efficient, and interoperable information sharing across the justice community. The committee's charter becomes effective upon filing with Congress and will remain active for a two-year period unless renewed or terminated in accordance with FACA requirements.

Public Interest Determination

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.60(a), to establish, renew, reestablish, or merge a discretionary advisory committee, an agency must first consult with the General Services Administration's Committee Management Secretariat (the Secretariat) and, as part of the consultation, provide a written public interest determination approved by the head of the agency to the Secretariat with a copy to the Office of Management and Budget.

Information on the following factors for the committee has been provided to the Secretariat to demonstrate that renewing the committee is in the public interest:

1. *Annual budget:* The estimated annual operating cost will not exceed \$500,000.

a. *Federal personnel on a full-time equivalent (FTE) basis:* 0.3 FTE.

b. *Other Federal internal costs:* \$10,000.

c. *Proposed payments to members:* \$0. Members are not compensated.

d. *Proposed number of members:* 35.

e. *Reimbursable Costs:* \$25,000.

2. *If applicable, the total dollar value of grants expected to be recommended during the fiscal year:* N/A.

3. *Criteria for selecting members to ensure the committee has the necessary expertise and fairly balanced membership:* The GAC committee will be comprised of key officials from local, state, tribal, territorial, federal, and other justice-interested entities that work to support public safety. Members will be selected based on their represented interests. The categories of represented interests will encompass agencies and organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice and protecting the public by promoting practices and technologies for the secure sharing of justice information. In selecting potential

members, the GAC anticipates using a distribution that includes at least one member from the desired areas of expertise and the various levels of government. This distribution will ensure that multiple views from the justice community are represented. The authority to appoint members to the GAC was delegated by the Attorney General to the Global Designated Federal Officer (DFO). The GAC has selected to designate members as representative members with the purpose of each member providing advice and guidance that represents the views of practitioners, experts, and advocates in each of the respective areas of expertise from which the member was chosen. It is expected that membership balance is not static, and the expertise or experience relevant to the mission/function of this committee may change over time, depending on the work of the committee.

4. *List of all other Federal advisory committees of the agency:*

- Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention
- Criminal Justice Information Services Advisory Policy Board
- Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee
- Medal of Valor Review Board
- National Institute of Corrections Advisory Board
- Religious Liberty Commission
- Task Force on Research on Violence Against American Indian and Alaska Native Women

5. *Justification that the information or advice provided by the Federal advisory committee or subcommittee is not available from another Federal advisory committee, another Federal Government source, or any other more cost-effective and less burdensome source:* The GAC provides expertise and recommendations that cannot be replicated by any other federal advisory committee or by a more cost-effective source because it represents a uniquely structured, cross-disciplinary partnership of justice leaders from local, state, tribal, territorial, federal, and international entities who are themselves the producers, consumers, and administrators of critical justice information. This composition enables the GAC to address complex, nationwide information and criminal intelligence sharing challenges that no single federal body or lower-cost alternative could resolve, including the need for interoperable standards, coordinated policy development, and operational experience from justice practitioners. Recommendations made through the GAC are uniquely informed, actionable, and indispensable to DOJ's

mission to strengthen public safety and modernize justice information sharing.

6. If the consultation is a committee renewal, a summary of the previous accomplishments of the committee and the reasons it needs to continue:

Throughout its history the GAC has developed and made available over 300 publications and online documents for criminal justice practitioners. Examples include:

- National Criminal Intelligence Sharing Plan
- Minimum Criminal Intelligence Training Standards
- Law Enforcement Analytic Standards
- Guide to Conducting Privacy Impact Assessments
- Multiple editions of the Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Policy
- Development Template for SLTT justice entities
- Face Recognition Policy Development Template
- License Plate Reader Policy Development Template
- Fusion Center Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Policy Development Template, Version 3.0
- Law Enforcement Intelligence: A Guide for State, Local, and Tribal Law Enforcement Agencies, Third Edition
- Nationwide Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR) Concept of Operations
- Developing a Policy on the Use of Social Media in Intelligence and Investigative Activities
- Tips, Leads, and Threats to Life initiative and resource package
- Analyst Toolkit—the premier online resource for law enforcement professionals
- Analyst Professional Development Road Map
- Common Competencies for State, Local, and Tribal Intelligence Analysts

The GAC needs to continue because it remains the only nationally representative, practitioner-driven body that provides the Attorney General and DOJ leadership with coordinated, cross-jurisdictional guidance on justice information-sharing policy and integration the DOJ leadership and criminal justice agencies nationwide relies on the GAC to develop national recommendations that enable secure, timely, and standards-based exchange of critical justice and public safety data that directly supports practitioners to enhance public safety and modernize information-sharing across federal, state, local, tribal, and territorial agencies.

7. Explanation of why the committee/subcommittee is essential to the conduct of agency business: Under the GAC provides critical insight and guidance on justice information-sharing and criminal intelligence policies and integration initiatives directly to the Attorney General, OJP's Assistant Attorney General, and the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance. This is

accomplished by bringing together diverse justice stakeholders, including law enforcement, courts, corrections, mental health, licensing, and public defenders, with the intent to shape interoperable systems that support efficient decision-making, improve community safety, and support critical functions within the criminal justice system. This committee is unique in that facilitates effective cross-jurisdictional collaboration, addressing challenges like terrorism, cybercrime, and public health emergencies by breaking down information silos and establishing a “responsibility-to-share” framework.

In conclusion, this public interest determination documents that renewing the committee is in the public interest, essential to the conduct of agency business, and that the information to be obtained is not already available through another advisory committee or source within the Federal Government.

David P. Lewis,

Senior Policy Advisor/Designated Federal Officer, Bureau of Justice Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2026–17923 Filed 9–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: The Marine Mammal Commission and its Committee of Scientific Advisors on Marine Mammals will hold a public meeting on Tuesday, 22 September 2026, from 2:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time and Wednesday, 23 September 2026, from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

PLACE: The meeting will be live-streamed from the offices of the Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Hwy., Room 700, Bethesda, Maryland 0814. There will be no access for in-person participation. Virtual participation will be possible through a Zoom Webinar.

STATUS: The meeting will be open to the public in accordance with the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and applicable regulations. Public participants must register in advance to join the Zoom webinar, in advance at: https://www.zoomgov.com/webinar/register/WN_ONBJwZ3JRq-dEOIQFd8itg. Public participation will be allowed as time permits and as determined to be desirable by the Chair. The meeting agenda and webinar registration details will be posted on the Commission's

website (<https://www.mmc.gov/2026-annual-meeting>) prior to the meeting.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission and Committee will meet to review and discuss outcomes of the upcoming Range-Wide Gray Whale Science Symposium and consider progress updates from active Commission grant recipients. In addition, the Commission will consider possible actions and initiatives in furtherance of its statutory duties (16 U.S.C. 1402).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Brady O'Donnell, Communications and Legislative Affairs Officer, Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Highway, Room 700, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–0087; email: bodonnell@mmc.gov.

Dated: August 28, 2026.

Peter O. Thomas,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2026–17922 Filed 8–31–26; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–31–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

30-Day Notice for the “Arts Basic Survey”; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice, request for comments, collection of information.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the NEA is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection of information for the Arts Basic Survey. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by visiting www.Reginfo.gov.