

that [t]he government need not prove that the settlements will perfectly remedy the alleged antitrust harms[;] it need only provide a factual basis for concluding that the settlements are reasonably adequate remedies for the alleged harms.”) (internal quotations omitted).

“Because enforcement by consent decree is an alternative to enforcement by trial or abandonment of the litigation altogether and often reflects the United States’s assessment of litigation risk, a proposed settlement does not need to replicate the full relief that United States sought in its complaint.” *Hewlett Packard Enter.*, 2026 WL 2349970, at *17. “[A] proposed decree must be approved even if it falls short of the remedy the court would impose on its own, as long as it falls within the range of acceptability or is ‘within the reaches of public interest.’” *United States v. Am. Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 552 F. Supp. 131, 151 (D.D.C. 1982); *Apple*, 889 F. Supp. 2d at 637 n.10; *see also United States v. U.S. Airways Grp., Inc.*, 38 F. Supp. 3d 69, 74 (D.D.C. 2014) (noting that room must be made for the government to grant concessions in the negotiation process for settlements) (citing *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1461); *Morgan Stanley*, 881 F. Supp. 2d at 568 (approving the consent decree even though the court may have imposed a greater remedy). To meet this standard, “it is necessary only that the submissions provide an ample ‘factual foundation for the government’s decisions such that its conclusions regarding the proposed settlement are reasonable.’” *Apple*, 889 F. Supp. 2d at 639 (citing *Keyspan*, 763 F. Supp. 2d at 637–38).

Moreover, the Court’s role under the APPA is limited to reviewing the remedy in relationship to the violations that the United States has alleged in its Complaint, and does not authorize the Court to “construct [its] own hypothetical case and then evaluate the decree against that case.” *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1459; *see also Morgan Stanley*, 881 F. Supp. 2d at 567 (“A court must limit its review to the issues in the complaint and give ‘due respect to the [Government’s] perception of . . . its case.’”) (citing *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1461); *United States v. InBev*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84787, at *20 (D.D.C. Aug. 11, 2009) (“[T]he ‘public interest’ is not to be measured by comparing the violations alleged in the complaint against those the court believes could have, or even should have, been alleged”). Because the “court’s authority to review the decree depends entirely on the government’s exercising its prosecutorial discretion by bringing a

case in the first place,” it follows that “the court is only authorized to review the decree itself,” and not to “effectively redraft the complaint” to inquire into other matters that the United States did not pursue. *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1459–60.

In its 2004 amendments to the APPA, Congress made clear its intent to preserve the practical benefits of using judgments proposed by the United States in antitrust enforcement, Public Law 108–237 § 221, and added the unambiguous instruction that “[n]othing in this section shall be construed to require the court to conduct an evidentiary hearing or to require the court to permit anyone to intervene.” 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(2); *see also Apple*, 889 F. Supp. 2d at 633 (declining to hold evidentiary hearing and finding “[a] hearing would serve only to delay the proceedings unnecessarily.”); *U.S. Airways*, 38 F. Supp. 3d at 76 (stating that “[a] court is not required to hold an evidentiary hearing or to permit intervenors as part of its review under the Tunney Act”). This language explicitly wrote into the statute what Congress intended when it first enacted the Tunney Act in 1974. As Senator Tunney explained: “[t]he court is nowhere compelled to go to trial or to engage in extended proceedings which might have the effect of vitiating the benefits of prompt and less costly settlement through the consent decree process.” 119 Cong. Rec. 24,598 (1973) (statement of Sen. John V. Tunney). Rather, the procedure for the public interest determination is left to the discretion of the court, with the recognition that the court’s “scope of review remains sharply proscribed by precedent and the nature of Tunney Act proceedings.” *SBC Commc’ns*, 489 F. Supp. 2d at 11; *see also Apple*, 889 F. Supp. 2d at 632 (“[P]rosecutorial functions vested solely in the executive branch could be undermined by the improper use of the APPA as an antitrust oversight provision or anti-takeover statute.”) (quoting *United States v. BNS Inc.*, 858 F.2d 456, 466 (9th Cir. 1988)). A court can make its public interest determination based on the detailed allegations in the Complaint, competitive impact statement, and response to public comments alone. *Apple*, 889 F. Supp. 2d at 633; *U.S. Airways*, 38 F. Supp. 3d at 76.

VIII. Determinative Documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the APPA that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: August 27, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

For Plaintiff United States of America:
Stanley E. Woodward, Jr., *Associate Attorney General*

Emily Claire Mimnaugh, *Deputy Associate Attorney General*

Nicole A. Sarrine, *Deputy Assistant Attorney General*

Suzanne Morris, *Deputy Director for Civil Enforcement Operations*

Andrew L. Kline, *Acting Deputy Director of Civil Enforcement*

Danielle Hauck, *Acting Chief, Technology & Digital Platforms Section*

David M. Teslicko

Meagan Glynn

U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

450 5th St. NW, Suite 4000

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: 202–710–0114

Email: David.Teslicko@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff

[FR Doc. 2026–18136 Filed 9–3–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Veterans’ Employment and Training Service

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; OMB Control No. 1293–0014; Veterans’ Employment and Training Service Competitive Grants Programs Reporting

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL) Veterans’ Employment and Training Service (VETS) is soliciting comments on the information collection request (ICR) for OMB Control No. 1293–0014 *Veterans’ Employment and Training Service Competitive Grants Programs Reporting*, for which VETS proposes to revise the title to the *Homeless Veterans’ Reintegration Program Grant Recipient Reporting*. The Homeless Veterans’ Reintegration Program (HVRP) is authorized under 38 U.S.C. 2021. HVRP funds over 150 discretionary grants that serve nearly 16,000 veterans experiencing homelessness, veterans at-risk of homelessness, and incarcerated veterans annually, along with nearly 40 Stand Down events. This collection will contain reports submitted by HVRP grant recipients.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before 60 days from November 3, 2026.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments electronically by any of the following methods:

- *DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov*, identified by OMB Control Number 1293–0014.

- *HVRP@dol.gov*. Include “1293–0014 HVRP Grant Recipient Reporting ICR Comment” in the subject line.

Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record. They will also be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate McCord, Management and Program Analyst, DOL–VETS, by email at *HVRP@dol.gov*, subject line “1293–0014 HVRP Grant Recipient Reporting ICR Information.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor’s VETS administers funds for the Homeless Veterans’ Reintegration Program (HVRP) grant on an annual basis. These competitive grants are codified under 38 U.S.C. 2021, 2021A, and 2023. VETS provides funds to grant recipients through annual Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOA) and incremental funding throughout the grant’s three-year (12 quarters) period of performance. The total number of grant recipients varies from year to year based on the amount of available funds. Grants are awarded up to \$500,000 each year, for a total of up to \$1,500,000 for the three-year period of performance.

The Assistant Secretary for Veterans’ Employment and Training monitors and supervises the distribution and use of those funds as required by 38 U.S.C. 2021 and 38 U.S.C. 2021A. Additionally, and in accordance with 38 U.S.C. 2021(d), the Secretary shall collect such information as that Secretary considers appropriate to monitor and evaluate the distribution and expenditure of funds appropriated to carry out this section.

VETS is proposing the following revisions:

Revise the title of this information collection: from the *Veterans’ Employment and Training Service Competitive Grants Programs Reporting to the Homeless Veterans’ Reintegration Program Grant Recipient Reporting*.

Migration to an online system: as part of this renewal request, VETS is modernizing how required information is submitted. Historically, respondents completed several instruments using static Excel or PDF formats. To improve data quality, reduce administrative

burden, strengthen validation of reported information, and streamline the submission process, VETS is transitioning these collection instruments into an online system. This change consolidates multiple legacy form formats into a single web-based interface that applies automated checks, enforces required fields, and reduces manual re-entry or formatting errors. This transition does not substantively change the underlying data elements required for HVRP oversight, nor does it expand the scope or frequency of reporting. Instead, it reflects a format change to support more efficient reporting and enhanced usability. The content of the currently approved forms remains aligned with the existing HVRP performance and statutory requirements.

Revisions to the VETS–701 Technical Performance Report (TPR): VGRS–HVRP:

- Several data fields are being removed, consolidated, or relocated to simplify reporting and reduce redundancy.
- Some performance measures and demographic categories are eliminated as they are no longer relevant.
- New fields are added to capture policy updates, such as eligibility status, training types/hours, and registered apprenticeships.
- Terminology is updated for clarity and automated calculations replace manual entries, where possible.
- The structure of participant information, training, services, exit, and follow-up sections is revised to focus on essential data and streamline responses.

Revisions to the VETS–702 Technical Performance Narrative (TPN): VGRS–HVRP:

- Many fields previously requiring manual entry are now auto generated by the system.
- Questions for partially met goals or failed goals are reworded for clarity and to focus on remediation activities to improve performance.
- Financial review sections are updated to better align with federal reporting requirements.
- Personnel reporting is connected to approved positions, with automated calculations and clearer variance explanations.

Revisions to the VETS–703 Stand Down After Action Report (SDAAR):

- Event location and participant eligibility fields are clarified.
- New questions are added to capture planned and expended event costs.
- Certification and representative fields are updated to reflect current terminology and requirements.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

VETS is particularly interested in comments on these topics:

- Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information has practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of VETS’ estimate of the burden related to the information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used in the estimate;
- Suggest methods to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the information collection on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION** section of this notice.

III. Current Actions

VETS has listed the data with respect to the number of respondents, responses, burden hours, and burden costs supporting this information collection request. VETS seeks PRA authorization for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an Information Collection Review cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. VETS notes that currently approved information collection requirements submitted to the OMB receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours

Type of Review: Revision.

Agency: VETS.

OMB Control Number: 1293–0014.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits, Not-for-profit institutions, State and Local Governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 155.

Frequency: Varies.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 659.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,890.

Forms:

- VGRS–HVRP
- SDAAR

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the proposed information collection request; they will become a matter of public record and

will be available at <https://www.reginfo.gov>.

Jeremiah Workman,

Assistant Secretary, Veterans' Employment and Training Service.

[FR Doc. 2026-18098 Filed 9-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-79-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[NASA Document Number: 26-049]

Name of Information Collection: NASA Visitor Management System (NVMS)

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of revision of a currently approved information collection.

SUMMARY: NASA, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.

DATES: Comments are due by October 5, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to NASA PRA Clearance Officer, Stayce Hoult, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, JC0000, Washington, DC 20546, phone 256-714-8575, or email hq-ocio-pra-program@mail.nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NASA hosts/sponsors numerous events on federally owned/leased property which are open to NASA affiliates and members of the public. The events include but are not limited to meetings, conferences, briefings, public outreach activities, tours, focus groups, etc. Visitor access is substantiated by a credentialed NASA sponsor who validates the visitor's need to access a building/area, guest networking services, etc. for a specific event/purpose. Information is collected to validate identity and enable intermittent access to activities.

The NASA Office of Protective Services transitioned to a one-NASA process to manage access for visitors with an affiliation less than 30-days.

NASA may collect event registration information to include but not limited to a visitor's name, address, citizenship, biometric data, purpose of visit, the location to be visited, escort/sponsor name with contact data, and preferred meeting/event sessions when options are available. When parking is provided on federally owned/leased space, driver's license information as well as vehicle make/model/tag information will be collected.

When visitors/vendors are permitted to bring equipment and/or event set-up materials such as booths and displays, information will be collected to issue property passes and coordinate equipment/property delivery. Information will also be collected, when applicable, to include other associated requirements such as electrical power needs, internet access, etc.

NASA collects, stores, and secures information from individuals requiring routine and intermittent access in a manner consistent with the Constitution and applicable laws, including the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act.

II. Methods of Collection

Electronic.

III. Data

Title: NASA Visitor Management System (NVMS).

OMB Number: 2700-0165.

Type of review: Notice of Revision of a previously approved information collection.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Annual Number of

Activities: 400,000.

Estimated Number of Respondents per Activity: 1.

Annual Responses: 400,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 8 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 53,333 hours.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Stayce Hoult,

PRA Clearance Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 2026-18175 Filed 9-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2026-0001]

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Weeks of September 7, 14, 21, 28, and October 5, 12, 2026. The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the internet at: <https://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

PLACE: The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please contact the Reasonable Accommodations Resource by email at Reasonable_Accommodations.Resource@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

STATUS: Public and closed.

Members of the public may request to receive the information in these notices electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555, at 301-415-1969, or by email at Betty.Thweatt@nrc.gov or Samantha.Miklaszewski@nrc.gov.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of September 7, 2026

Wednesday, September 9, 2026

10:00 a.m. Briefing on NRC International Activities (Closed Ex. 1 and 9)

Week of September 14, 2026—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 14, 2026.