

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* e-Request Tool.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection:* G-1592; USCIS.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* *Primary:* Individuals or households. Respondents use this collection of information to notify USCIS that: their case is outside of normal processing times; they did not receive a notice; they did not receive a card or document by mail; to request an appointment accommodation; or to notify USCIS of a typographical error. USCIS will use the information provided by respondents to look up their case and determine an appropriate action in response to the inquiry.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The estimated total number of annual respondents for the information collection e-Request Tool is 569,519 and the estimated hour burden per response is 0.30 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual hour burden associated with this collection is 170,856 hours.

(7) *An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is \$0. This is a system that allows the respondent to request an action, any costs are associated with the collection of information for which the person is requesting action.

Dated: September 1, 2026.

John R. Pfirrmann-Powell,

Acting Deputy Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2026-18099 Filed 9-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-97-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-6482-N-03]

Housing Trust Fund: Fiscal Year 2026 Allocation Notice

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Fiscal Year 2026 Funding Awards.

SUMMARY: The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) established the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) to be administered by HUD. Pursuant to the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Security and Soundness Act of 1992 (the Act), as amended by HERA, eligible HTF grantees are the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, and the United States Virgin Islands. This notice announces the formula allocation amount for each eligible HTF grantee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Huber, Supervisory Program Advisor, Office of Grant Programs, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Room 7164, Washington, DC, 20410; telephone (202) 285-5130. (This is not a toll-free number). HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 1131 of HERA, Division A amended the Act to add a new section 1337 entitled “Affordable Housing Allocations” and a new section 1338 entitled “Housing Trust Fund.” Congress authorized the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) with the stated purpose of: (1) Increasing and preserving the supply of rental housing for extremely low-income families with incomes between 0 and 30 percent of area median income and very low-income families with incomes between 30 and 50 percent of area median income, including homeless families, and (2) increasing homeownership for extremely low-income and very low-income families. Section 1337 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4567) requires Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) to set-aside 4.2 basis points (.042 percent) of the

unpaid principal of their new mortgage purchases annually to fund the HTF and the Capital Magnet Fund. Each year, 65% of the amounts set aside by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are then allocated to the HTF.

Section 1338 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4568) directs HUD to establish, through regulation, the formula for the distribution of amounts made available for the HTF. The provisions in section 1338(c)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 4568(c)(3)) specify the factors to be used for the formula and priority for certain factors. The HTF implementing regulations are at 24 CFR part 93. The factors and methodology HUD uses to allocate HTF funds among eligible grantees are established in the HTF regulation at 24 CFR 93.50, 93.51, and 93.52.

The funding announced for Fiscal Year 2026 through this notice is \$255,516,650.92. Appendix A to this notice provides the HTF allocation amount for each grantee.

Ronald J. Kurtz,

Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Appendix A: FY 2026 Housing Trust Fund Allocation Amounts

Grantee	FY 2026 Allocation
1. Alabama	\$3,000,000.00
2. Alaska	3,311,933.19
3. Arizona	3,967,108.08
4. Arkansas	3,000,000.00
5. California	28,226,868.41
6. Colorado	3,881,841.70
7. Connecticut	3,370,316.96
8. Delaware	3,000,000.00
9. District of Columbia	3,000,000.00
10. Florida	10,899,725.05
11. Georgia	5,784,484.75
12. Hawaii	3,311,933.19
13. Idaho	3,000,000.00
14. Illinois	8,069,203.17
15. Indiana	3,704,942.76
16. Iowa	3,311,933.19
17. Kansas	3,311,933.19
18. Kentucky	3,311,933.19
19. Louisiana	3,311,933.19
20. Maine	3,311,933.19
21. Maryland	3,914,389.55
22. Massachusetts	5,520,297.92
23. Michigan	5,047,924.13
24. Minnesota	3,496,035.12
25. Mississippi	3,311,933.19
26. Missouri	3,646,162.77
27. Montana	3,311,933.19
28. Nebraska	3,311,933.19
29. Nevada	3,311,933.19
30. New Hampshire	3,311,933.19
31. New Jersey	7,444,600.15
32. New Mexico	3,311,933.19
33. New York	17,985,999.17
34. North Carolina	5,635,646.14
35. North Dakota	3,311,933.19
36. Ohio	5,506,260.05
37. Oklahoma	3,311,933.19

Grantee	FY 2026 Allocation
38. Oregon	3,668,804.64
39. Pennsylvania	7,115,162.83
40. Rhode Island	3,311,933.19
41. South Carolina	3,311,933.19
42. South Dakota	3,311,933.19
43. Tennessee	3,796,317.64
44. Texas	12,100,536.40
45. Utah	3,311,933.19
46. Vermont	3,311,933.19
47. Virginia	4,954,111.29
48. Washington	5,132,340.41
49. West Virginia	3,311,933.19
50. Wisconsin	3,687,098.39
51. Wyoming	3,311,933.19
52. Puerto Rico	902,616.11
53. American Samoa	10,755.74
54. Guam	78,883.01
55. Northern Marianas	34,790.51
56. Virgin Islands	*70,897.89
Total	255,516,650.92

*The allocation to the Virgin Islands of the United States is made subject to the suspension of the Virgin Islands Housing Finance Authority. Notwithstanding this notification of award to the Government of the Virgin Islands, HUD reserves all rights and remedies available under applicable statutes and regulations.

[FR Doc. 2026-18163 Filed 9-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[A2407-014-004-065516, #O2509-014-004-125222]

Intent To Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Southern Ute Mancos Shale Development, La Plata County, CO

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, as well as the U.S. Department of the Interior regulations and handbook implementing NEPA, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Tres Rios Field Office and the Southern Ute Indian Tribe (Tribe), each acting as a joint lead agency, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, acting as a Cooperating Agency, intend to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Programmatic EIS will consider the effects of exploration and development of the Mancos Shale Formation, as well as other oil and gas resources held in trust by the United States for the benefit of the Tribe within an approximately 108,000-acre planning area on existing leases located within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation. This notice announces the beginning of

the scoping process to solicit public comments and identify issues.

DATES: The BLM and the Tribe request that the public submit comments concerning the scope of the analysis, potential alternatives, and identification of relevant information, and studies by October 5, 2026. To afford the BLM and the Tribe the opportunity to consider comments in the Programmatic EIS, please ensure your comments are received prior to the close of the 30-day scoping period or 15 days after the last public meeting, whichever is later. The BLM and the Tribe will be holding an in-person scoping meeting on September 24, 2026, from 6 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. Mountain Time.

ADDRESSES: The scoping meeting will be held at the Sky Ute Casino Resort, located on the Southern Ute Indian Reservation, at 14324 US Highway 172 North, Ignacio, Colorado 81301.

You may submit comments related to the Southern Ute Mancos Shale Development Programmatic EIS by any of the following methods:

- **Website:** <https://eplanning.blm.gov/>; search project number DOI-BLM-CO-S010-2026-0015-EIS.
- **Mail:** BLM Tres Rios Field Office, Attn: Ryan Joyner, 29211 Highway 184, Dolores, CO 81323.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ryan Joyner, Assistant Field Manager for Lands and Minerals, telephone (970) 882-7296; email BLM_CO_TR_Mancos_Shale@blm.gov. Contact Mr. Joyner to have your name added to our mailing list. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services for contacting Mr. Joyner. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM and the Tribe are preparing this Programmatic EIS for the purpose of planning for and evaluating impacts associated with Federal approval of on-lease oil and gas exploration and production operations on lands and minerals held in trust for the Tribe within an approximately 108,000-acre planning area located within the boundaries of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation in southwestern Colorado. The planning area encompasses 108,000 surface acres containing approximately: 64,000 mineral acres held in trust for the Tribe; 42,000 mineral acres of fee minerals; and 2,000 mineral acres held in trust for individual Indian allottees.

All the Tribal trust minerals within the planning area are subject to existing oil and gas leases granted pursuant to the Indian Mineral Leasing Act of 1938, 25 U.S.C. 396a-396g and the Indian Mineral Development Act of 1982, 25 U.S.C. 2101-2108.

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

The purpose of the Proposed Action is to provide for the development of oil and gas minerals held in trust by the United States for the benefit of the Tribe, in an orderly, efficient, economical, and environmentally acceptable manner. Federal action is needed because the BLM is responsible for reviewing and approving exploration and production operations for the development of leased Tribal trust minerals within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation, and, in exercising these responsibilities, must ensure that proposed development is in the best interest of the Tribe, consistent with the Federal trust obligation to the Tribe, and in accordance with applicable laws, including NEPA, the Indian Mineral Leasing Act of 1938, 25 U.S.C. 396a-396g, the Indian Mineral Development Act of 1982, 25 U.S.C. 2101-2108, and the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act, 30 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.* After NEPA compliance and authorizations, the Proposed Action will facilitate efficient exploration, development, and production operations on Tribal trust minerals within the planning area.

Preliminary Proposed Action and Alternatives

As of the publication date of this Notice of Intent, the BLM and the Tribe are considering two alternatives, a No Action Alternative and a Proposed Action Alternative, known as the Trust Resources Planning Alternative.

The No Action Alternative represents the continuation of current oil and gas management actions and conditions of approval consistent with existing environmental analyses, policies, and practices. The No Action Alternative would maintain the status quo under a business-as-usual approach.

The Proposed Action or Trust Resources Planning Alternative would modify existing management by establishing goals and objectives for the development of Tribal trust minerals within the planning area over time, including goals and objectives providing for the orderly, efficient, and economic development of the trust mineral resource, and mitigation measures for the protection of environmental, cultural, and other resources that may