

duties with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review, as follows: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated individual countervailable subsidy rates determined in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) if both the producer and exporter of the subject merchandise have company-specific estimated subsidy rates assigned, and their rates differ, then the applicable cash deposit rate will be the higher of these two rates; (3) if either the producer or the exporter, but not both, of the subject merchandise has a company-specific estimated subsidy rate assigned, the applicable cash deposit rate will be that company's company-specific rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 2.87 percent, the all-others subsidy rate established in the investigation.¹⁵ These cash deposit instructions, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Final Results of Review

Unless the deadline is extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of Commerce's analysis of the issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 4, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Diversification of Korea's Economy
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences

- VI. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VII. Benchmarks and Interest Rates
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–18491 Filed 9–9–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–557–819]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Malaysia: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), June 1, 2024, through May 31, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to Southern Steel Sdn. Bhd. (Southern Steel). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable September 10, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colin Thrasher, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on prestressed concrete wire strand (PC strand) from Malaysia.¹ On August 26, 2025, Commerce selected Kiswire Sdn. Bhd. (Kiswire) and Southern PC Steel Sdn. Bhd. (Southern) as the mandatory respondents in this review.² This review covers four producers/exporters of subject merchandise.³ Commerce is rescinding the review in part with

respect to Southern Steel because it had no entries in the CBP data during the POR.⁴

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.⁵ Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.⁶ On March 31, 2026, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce extended the preliminary results of this review by 111 days.⁷ On August 28, 2026, we extended the preliminary results of this review by an additional nine days.⁸ Accordingly, the deadline for these preliminary results is now September 8, 2026.

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS, which is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the Order is PC strand from Malaysia. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part," dated August 26, 2025.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated November 14, 2025.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Tolling of all Case Deadlines," dated November 24, 2025.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 31, 2026.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 28, 2026.

⁹ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Malaysia and Partial Rescission; 2024–2025," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹⁵ See Order, 89 FR at 50265.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 35268 (July 25, 2025) (*Initiation Notice*); *see also* *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Indonesia, Italy, Malaysia, South Africa, Spain, Tunisia, and Ukraine: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 29998 (June 4, 2021) (*Order*).

² See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated August 26, 2025.

³ See *Initiation Notice*.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.¹⁰ Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.¹¹ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the POR.¹²

Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the instant review for Southern Steel because there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise from it during the POR and invited interested parties to comment.¹³ No party commented on this memorandum. In the absence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise from this company during the POR, we are rescinding this administrative review for Southern Steel, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full

description of the methodology underlying our conclusion, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Individually Examined Company

The Act does not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulation at 19 CFR 351.109(g) states that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act.

Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f), the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Where the weighted-average dumping margin for each of the individually examined companies is zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f)(2)(iii) provide that Commerce may use "any reasonable method" to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for the mandatory respondents, Kiswire and Southern, that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, we are preliminarily assigning to Wei Dat Steel Wire Sdn. Bhd. (Wei Dat), the company under review that was not selected for individual examination, a weighted-average dumping margin equal to the simple average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Kiswire and Southern, consistent with 19 CFR 351.109(g).¹⁴

¹⁴ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company's publicly-ranged

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period June 1, 2024, through May 31, 2025:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Kiswire Sdn. Bhd	1.29
Southern PC Steel Sdn. Bhd	1.42
Wei Dat Steel Wire Sdn. Bhd	1.36

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.¹⁵ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.¹⁶ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁷ Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.¹⁸ All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety in ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we

U.S. sale values for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. *See* 19 CFR 351.109(f)(2)(ii); *see also* Memorandum, "Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for the Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹⁵ *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); *see also* 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁶ *See* 19 CFR 351.309.

¹⁷ *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d); *see also* *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

¹⁸ *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ *See, e.g., Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review*; 2020–2021, 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

¹¹ *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹² *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the U.S. Court of International Trade held that "[w]hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended"; *see also* *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that "for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate").

¹³ *See* Memorandum, "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part," dated August 26, 2025.

request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹⁹ Further, we request that interested parties limit their public executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).²⁰

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants, and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing.²¹

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

If Kiswire and Southern's weighted-average dumping margin are not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping

calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.²² To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Kiswire and Southern's weighted-average dumping margin are zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²³

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Kiswire and Southern for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate calculated in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²⁴

For Wei Dat, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the "Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies" section, above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²⁵ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP regarding Kiswire, Southern, and Wei Dat no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**.

For Southern Steel, the company for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP

no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 5.13 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.²⁶ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in

¹⁹ We use the term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

²⁰ See APO and Service Procedures.

²¹ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

²² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

²³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

²⁴ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

²⁵ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

²⁶ See Order.

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 8, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- V. Rate For The Non-Selected Company
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026-18495 Filed 9-9-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024-2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2024, through November 30, 2025. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable September 10, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Chen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2860.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 20, 2026, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (cased pencils) from the

People's Republic of China (China).¹ On September 2, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results by two days.² The deadline for these preliminary results is September 4, 2026.

Scope of the Order³

The merchandise covered by the scope of this *Order* is cased pencils from China. See Appendix I for the full description of the scope.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Because China is a non-market economy (NME) country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, we applied our NME methodology in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

Separate Rate Determinations

In a proceeding involving an NME country, Commerce maintains a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, therefore, should be assessed a single weighted-average dumping margin.⁴ Commerce notified parties in the *Initiation Notice* that “{t}he deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Application {(SRA)} applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.”⁵ Also in the *Initiation Notice*, Commerce notified parties of the application process by which exporters may obtain separate rate status in this administrative review.⁶ This process requires exporters to submit an SRA and to demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over their export activities.⁷ In the

Initiation Notice, Commerce required that all firms listed in the notice “that wish to qualify for separate rates status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a {SRA} or {separate rate certification (SRC)}.”⁸

Commerce's policy is to assign all exporters of merchandise under consideration that are in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.⁹ Commerce analyzes whether each entity exporting the merchandise under consideration is sufficiently independent under a test established in *Sparklers from China*,¹⁰ further developed in *Silicon Carbide from China*,¹¹ and now codified in 19 CFR 351.108(b). In accordance with this separate rate test, Commerce will assign a separate rate in an NME proceeding if a respondent can demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over its export activities. If, however, Commerce determines that a company is wholly foreign owned, then a separate rate analysis is not necessary to determine whether that company is independent from government control and eligible for a separate rate.

In order to demonstrate eligibility for separate rate status, Commerce normally requires an exporter for which a review was requested, and which was assigned a separate rate in a previous completed segment of the proceeding and which remains active for that exporter, to submit an SRC stating that it continues to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate.¹² For an exporter that was not assigned a separate rate in a previously completed segment of the proceeding and which remains active for that exporter, to demonstrate eligibility, Commerce requires an SRA.¹³ A company that submits an SRA or SRC and which is subsequently selected for examination must respond to all parts of Commerce's questionnaire

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 91 FR 8186 (February 20, 2026).

² See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2024-2025 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated September 2, 2026.

³ See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order*, 88 FR 15673 (March 14, 2023); see also *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 66909 (December 28, 1994) (collectively, *Order*).

⁴ See, e.g., *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 73 FR 55039, 55040 (September 24, 2008).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*, 91 FR at 8188.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ For a description of our practice, see Enforcement and Compliance's Policy Bulletin No. 05.1, regarding “Separate-Rates Practice and

Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigations Involving Non-Market Economy Countries,” (April 5, 2005), available on Commerce's website at <https://www.trade.gov/enforcement-and-compliance-policy-bulletins-0>.

⁸ See *Initiation Notice*, 91 FR at 8187.

⁹ See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers from the People's Republic of China*, 56 FR 20588, 20589 (May 2, 1994) (*Sparklers from China*).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994) (*Silicon Carbide from China*).

¹² See *Initiation Notice*, 91 FR at 8187.

¹³ *Id.*