

Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 6.94 percent, the all-others rate established in the underlying investigation.²⁰ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 8, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Affiliation/Single Entity
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates for Export Subsidies in Companion Countervailing Duty Investigation
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–18694 Filed 9–11–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–533–884]

Glycine From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies were provided to producers and exporters of glycine from India. In addition, Commerce is rescinding this review, in part, with respect to 27 companies. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amber Hodak, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8034.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 21, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the countervailing duty (CVD) order on glycine from India.¹ On June 3, 2025, Commerce published the notice of the opportunity to request an administrative review of the Order.² On July 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the Order.³ On September 11, 2025, we selected Kumar Industries (Kumar) and Mulji Mehta Enterprises (Mulji) as mandatory respondents in this review.⁴ Between August 6 and October 23, 2025, all review requests for 27 companies were timely withdrawn.⁵

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 17, 2025, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by 47 days.⁶ Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled deadlines for this administrative proceeding by an additional 21 days.⁷ Between April 28, and August 31, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing these preliminary results, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), until September 8, 2026.⁸

¹ See *Glycine from India and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29173 (June 21, 2019) (Order).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 90 FR 23515 (June 3, 2025).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 35268 (July 25, 2025) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated September 11, 2025.

⁵ See Deer Park Glycine LLC's Letters, "Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated August 6, 2024, and "Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated October 23, 2025.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated November 17, 2025.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Tolling of all Case Deadlines," dated November 24, 2025.

⁸ See Memoranda, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated April 28, 2026, and "Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated August 31, 2026.

²⁰ See Order.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided as an Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS, which is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is glycine from India. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹⁰

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if all parties that requested the review withdraw their requests within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. As noted above, Commerce received a timely filed withdrawal of review requests with respect to the companies listed in Appendix II, and no other parties requested a review of these companies. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review, in part, with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of a countervailing duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.¹¹ Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the POR.¹² Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate

at the CVD rate calculated for the POR.¹³ Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind this administrative review regarding Mulji Mehta Pharma.¹⁴ We received a comment from Chattem Chemicals (Chattem), claiming that Mulji Mehta Pharma is a cross-owned affiliate of mandatory respondent Mulji.¹⁵ In order to further consider this comment, we are not rescinding the administrative review with respect to Mulji Mehta Pharma at this time.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce preliminarily determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.¹⁶ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, including our reliance, in part, on facts otherwise available with adverse inferences pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an

administrative review pursuant to section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 705(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a CVD investigation. Section 777A(e)(2) of the Act provides that "the individual countervailable subsidy rates determined under subparagraph (A) shall be used to determine the all-others rate under section 705(c)(5) {of the Act}."

Under section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates established for each of the companies individually investigated, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Where the countervailable subsidy rates for each of the individually examined companies is zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 705(c)(5)(A)(ii) of the Act provides that Commerce may use "any reasonable method to establish an all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated."

For these preliminary results, because the rate calculated for Mulji is based entirely on adverse facts available, we are preliminarily assigning to the company under review that was not selected for individual examination (*i.e.*, Mulji Mehta Pharma) a countervailable subsidy rate based on the rate calculated for Kumar.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist for the POR, January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024:¹⁷

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Kumar Industries	39.75
Mulji Mehta Enterprises	104.92
Mulji Mehta Pharma	39.75

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary

⁹ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Glycine from India; 2024," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ See, e.g., *Certain Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2024*, 90 FR 48043 (October 3, 2025).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2).

¹³ See, e.g., *Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that "[w]hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended"; see also *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that "for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate").

¹⁴ See Memorandum, "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, in Part," dated August 3, 2026; see also "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, in Part," August 20, 2026.

¹⁵ See Chattem's Letter, "Chattem's Response to the Department's Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, in Part," dated August 25, 2026.

¹⁶ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

¹⁷ Commerce continues to determine that Advance Chemical Corporation, Rexistize Rasayan Industries, Reliance Corporation, and Rudraa International are cross-owned with Kumar Industries. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 15–16; see also *Glycine from India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023*, 91 FR 36110 (June 16, 2026).

results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.¹⁸ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁹ Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.²⁰ All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety in ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.²¹ Further, we request that interested parties limit their executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).²²

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests

should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing.²³

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

For the companies listed in Appendix II for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP for the companies listed in Appendix II no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP regarding Kumar, Mulji, and Mulji Mehta Pharma no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.107(e), Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review as follows: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated individual countervailable subsidy rates determined in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) if both the producer and exporter of the subject

merchandise have company-specific estimated subsidy rates assigned, and their rates differ, then the applicable cash deposit rate will be the higher of these two rates; (3) if either the producer or the exporter, but not both, of the subject merchandise has a company-specific estimated subsidy rate assigned, the applicable cash deposit rate will be that company's company-specific rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be continue to be 5.01 percent, the all-others subsidy rate established in the investigation.²⁴ These cash deposit instructions, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Final Results

Unless the deadline is extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of Commerce's analysis of the issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 8, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inferences
- V. Subsidies Valuation
- VI. Benchmarks and Interest Rates
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies for Which Commerce Is Rescinding the Administrative Review

1. Aditya Chemicals
2. Adwith Nutrichem Private Limited
3. Avid Organics Private Limited
4. Bajaj Healthcare Limited
5. Elementis Specialties India Private Limited
6. Euroasia Trans Continental
7. Euroasias Organics Private Limited
8. Galaxy Surfactants Limited
9. Glisten Biotech
10. Grauer & Weil (India) Limited
11. Gujarat Ambuja Export Limited
12. Gulbrandsen Technologies (India) Private Limited

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309.

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

²¹ We use term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

²² See *APO and Service Procedures*.

²³ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

13. Indiana Chem Port
14. Kronox Lab Sciences Private Limited
15. Mass Dye Chem. Private Limited
16. Medilane Healthcare Private Limited
17. Meteoric Biopharmaceuticals Private Limited
18. Mumbai Merchant
19. Nature Bio
20. Priya Chemicals
21. Promois International Limited
22. Paras Intermediates Private Limited
23. Shari Pharmachem Private Limited
24. Strava Healthcare Private Limited
25. Tarkesh Trading Co.
26. Valaji Pharma Chem
27. Venus International Exports Private Limited

[FR Doc. 2026–18719 Filed 9–11–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–889]

Certain Quartz Surface Product From India: Preliminary Results, Intent To Rescind, in Part, and Rescission, in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Cuarzo and Beyyond Rocks Private Limited (Beyyond) (collectively, Cuarzo/Beyyond) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), June 1, 2024, through May 31, 2025. Additionally, Commerce preliminarily determines that Pokarna Engineered Stone Limited (PESL) did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than NV during the POR. Furthermore, we are rescinding the review with respect to 53 companies and intend to rescind the review with respect to four companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable September 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Doss, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain

quartz surface products (QSP) from India.¹ On March 3, 2026, Commerce selected Cuarzo and PESL as the mandatory respondents in this review.²

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.³ Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.⁴ On April 23, 2026, we extended the preliminary results of this review to no later than August 28, 2026.⁵ On August 28, 2026, Commerce further extended the deadline for preliminary results by nine days, to September 8, 2026.⁶

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached in Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

¹ *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 35268 (July 25, 2025) (*Initiation Notice*); *see also Certain Quartz Surface Products from India and Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 85 FR 37422 (June 22, 2020) (*Order*).

² *See* Memorandum, “Respondent Selection Sampling Meeting,” dated March 3, 2026 (Respondent Selection Memorandum). We are preliminarily treating Cuarzo and its affiliate Beyyond as a single entity. We hereinafter refer to the single entity, collectively, as Cuarzo/Beyyond. For further details, *see* Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Quartz Surface Products from India; 2024–2025,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ *See* Memorandum, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,” dated November 14, 2025.

⁴ *See* Memorandum, “Tolling of all Case Deadlines,” dated November 24, 2025.

⁵ *See* Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated April 23, 2026.

⁶ *See* Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated August 28, 2026.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is QSP from India. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation. As noted above, Commerce received a timely-filed withdrawal request with respect to the following companies for which no other party requested an administrative review: (1) Global Stones Pvt Ltd.; (2) Global Surfaces Ltd.; (3) Pacific Industries Limited; (4) Pacific Quartz Surfaces LLP; and (5) Quartzkraft LLP. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.⁷ Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.⁸ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the AD assessment rate calculated for the POR.⁹ Commerce notified all interested parties of its

⁷ *See, e.g., Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

⁸ *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁹ *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that “[w]hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended”; *see also Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that “for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate”).