

Federal Resources Supply Company, LLC, Stevensville, MD; Flambeau Diagnostics LLC, Madison, WI; Foothold Labs INC, Olathe, KS; Goldbelt Hawk L.L.C., Newport News, VA; Nantcell, Inc., Culver City, CA; Itl LLC, Hampton, VA; Joint Research and Development LLC, Stafford, VA; Luna Labs USA LLC, Charlottesville, VA; Redwire Space Components, LLC, Marlborough, MA; and Saponiqx Inc., Lexington, MA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made to either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MCDC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 13, 2015, MCDC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 6, 2016 (81 FR 513).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 1, 2025. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 20, 2026 (91 FR 2369).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2026–19005 Filed 9–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Mobile Satellite Services Association

Notice is hereby given that, on July 1, 2026, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Mobile Satellite Services Association (“MSSA”), filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, TTP plc, Melbourn, UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, Cobham Satcom A/S has changed its name to Gatehouse Satcom

A/S, Noerresundby, KINGDOM OF DENMARK.

No other changes have been made to either the membership or planned activity of the venture. Membership in this group remains open, and MSSA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 26, 2024, MSSA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2024 (89 FR 52089).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 27, 2026. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 22, 2026 (91 FR 46168).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2026–19010 Filed 9–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

[MCC FR 26–07]

Notice of Open Meeting

AGENCY: Millennium Challenge Corporation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) Economic Advisory Council was established as a discretionary advisory committee on October 5, 2018. Its charter was most recently renewed on September 30, 2024, for two additional years. The MCC Economic Advisory Council serves MCC solely in an advisory capacity and provides advice and guidance to MCC economists, evaluators, leadership of the Department of Policy and Evaluation, and senior MCC leadership regarding relevant trends in development economics, applied economic and evaluation methods, poverty analytics, as well as modeling, measuring, and evaluating development interventions. In doing so, the MCC Economic Advisory Council helps sharpen MCC’s analytical methods and capacity in support of the agency’s mission. It also serves as a sounding board and reference group for assessing and advising on strategic policy innovations and methodological directions in MCC.

DATES: Friday, September 25, 2026, from 10:00 a.m.–12:30 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held both in-person at 1099 14th Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20005 and virtually via WebEx.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mesbah Motamed, 202.521.7874, MCCEACouncil@mcc.gov or visit www.mcc.gov/about/org-unit/economic-advisory-council.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda. During this meeting of the MCC Economic Advisory Council, members will receive an overview of MCC’s work to fulfill its poverty reduction through economic growth mission and the role of the MCC Economic Advisory Council. The MCC Economic Advisory Council will also discuss issues related to MCC’s work in upper middle-income countries.

Public Participation: The meeting will be open to the public. Members of the public may file written statement(s) before or after the meeting. If you plan to participate, please submit your name and affiliation no later than Friday, September 18, 2026, to MCCEACouncil@mcc.gov to receive instructions for virtual participation and to be placed on an attendee list.

(Authority: Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App.)

Dated: September 11, 2026.

Brian Finkelstein,

Acting Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–18955 Filed 9–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9211–03–P

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Request

AGENCY: National Mediation Board.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Mediation Board (NMB) invites comments on the proposed information collection request as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The NMB is seeking the reinstatement, with non-substantive change, of a previously approved collection of information, entitled “Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute.” The change to the information collection is a non-substantive one related to the change in the agency’s Washington, DC headquarters address, which was effective August 1, 2026. This notice allows for 60 days for public comments. **DATES:** Comments are due by November 16, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request

should be directed by email (the preferred method) to NMB Program Management Specialist Keaira Butler at keaira.butler@nmb.gov, or mailed to Keaira Butler at National Mediation Board, Office of Legal Affairs, P.O. Box 23300, Washington, DC 20026. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments on the proposed information collection request should be directed by email (the preferred method) to NMB Counsel John Gross at gross@nmb.gov, or by mail to John Gross at National Mediation Board, Office of Legal Affairs, P.O. Box 23300, Washington, DC 20026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Gross, Counsel, National Mediation Board, Office of Legal Affairs, P.O. Box 23300, Washington, DC 20026; telephone number: 202-815-1647; email address: gross@nmb.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The NMB publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Record keeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Currently, the NMB is soliciting comments concerning the proposed reinstatement, with non-substantive change, of a previously approved collection of information, entitled "Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute," and is interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the agency; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the

agency enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the agency minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Title of Collection: Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute.

OMB Control Number: 3140-0001.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with non-substantive change, of a previously approved collection of information.

Affected Public: Carrier and Union Officials, and employees of railroads and airlines.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 25 annually.

Burden Hours: 6.25.

1. *Abstract:* When a dispute arises among a carrier's employees as to who will be their bargaining representative, the NMB is required by Section 2, Ninth of the Railway Labor Act (RLA), 45 U.S.C. 152, Ninth, to investigate the dispute, to determine who is the authorized representative, if any, and to certify such representative. The NMB's duties do not arise until its services have been invoked by a party to the dispute. The RLA is silent as to how the invocation of a representation dispute is to be accomplished and the NMB has not promulgated regulations requiring any specific vehicle. Nonetheless, 29 CFR 1203.2, provides that applications for the services of the NMB under Section 2, Ninth, to investigate representation disputes may be made on printed forms secured from the NMB's Office of Legal Affairs or on the internet at <http://www.nmb.gov>. The application requires the following information: the name of the carrier involved; the name or description of the craft or class involved; the name of the petitioning organization or individual; the name of the organization currently representing the employees, if any; the names of any other organizations or representatives involved in the dispute; and the estimated number of employees in the craft or class involved. This basic information is essential in providing the NMB with the details of the dispute so that it can determine what resources will be required to conduct an investigation.

2. The application form provides necessary information to the NMB so that it can determine the amount of staff and resources required to conduct an investigation and fulfill its statutory responsibilities. Without this information, the NMB would have to delay the commencement of the

investigation, which is contrary to the intent of the RLA.

3. There is no improved technological method for obtaining this information. The burden on the parties is minimal in completing the Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute.

4. There is no duplication in obtaining this information.

5. Rarely are representation elections conducted for small businesses. Employers are not permitted to request our services regarding representation investigations. The labor organizations, which are the typical requesters, are national in scope and would not qualify as small businesses. Even in situations where the invocation comes from a small labor organization, we believe the burden in completing the application form is minimal and that no reduction in burden could be made.

6. The NMB is required by Section 2, Ninth, to investigate the dispute, to determine who is the authorized representative, if any, and to certify such representative. The NMB has no ability to control the frequency, technical, or legal obstacles, which would reduce the burden.

7. The information requested by the NMB is consistent with the general information collection guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.6 and 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3). The NMB has no ability to control the data provided or timing of the invocation. The burden on the parties is minimal in completing the Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute.

8. No payments or gifts have been provided by the NMB to any respondents of the form.

9. There are no questions of a sensitive nature on the form.

10. The total time burden on respondents is 6.25 hours annually—this is the time required to collect information. After consulting with a sample of people involved with the collection of this information, the time to complete this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including gathering the data needed and completion and review of the information.

Number of respondents per year: 25.

Estimated time per respondent: 15 minutes.

Total Burden hours per year: 6.25. (25 × .25 hours)

11. The total collection and mail cost burden on respondents is estimated at \$222.00 annually (\$201.50 time cost burden + \$20.50 mail cost burden.)

a. The respondents will not incur any capital costs or start up costs for this collection.

b. Cost burden on respondents—detail:

The total time burden annual cost is \$201.50.

Time Burden Basis: The total hourly burden per year, upon respondents, is 6.25.

Staff cost = \$201.50.

\$32.24 per hour—based on mid level clerical salary

$\$32.24 \times 6.25$ hours per year = \$201.50

We are estimating that a mid-level clerical person, with an average salary of \$32.24 per hour, will be completing the Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute form. The total burden is estimated at 6.25 hours, therefore, the total time burden cost is estimated at \$201.50 per year.

The total annual mailing cost to respondents is \$20.50.

Number of applications mailed by

Respondents per year: 25.

Total estimated cost: \$20.50 ($25 \times \0.82 stamp).

The collection of this information is not mandatory; it is a voluntary request from airline and railroad carrier employees seeking to invoke an investigation of a representation dispute. After consulting with a sample of people involved with the collection of this information, the time to complete this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including gathering the data needed and completion and review of the information. However, the estimated hour burden costs of the respondents may vary due to the complexity of the specific question in dispute.

The application form is available from the NMB's Office of Legal Affairs and is also available on the internet at <http://www.nmb.gov>, which is the primary source of the form.

12. The total annualized Federal cost is \$340.00. This cost represents the processing cost of the applications once they are received by the NMB. There are no printing or mailing costs. (The NMB has not received any requests to print and mail a form for a number of years.) The completed applications are maintained by the Office of Legal Affairs.

a. Processing Cost = \$340.00.

Basis (processing cost): Representation is requested approximately 25 times per year and it takes approximately 20 minutes to process each application.

Staff Cost = \$340.00

\$.68 per minute (GS 11 Step 1 base hourly rate of \$40.94 per hr. $\div$ 60)

$\$.68 \times 20$ minutes per mailing = \$13.60

$\$13.60 \times 25$ times per year = \$340.00

13. Item 13—no change in annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden.

14. The information collected by the application will not be published.

15. The NMB will display the OMB expiration date on the form.

16(a)—the form does not reduce the burden on small entities; however, the burden is minimized and voluntary.

16(b)—the form does not indicate the retention period for record keeping requirements.

16(c)—not applicable, the form is not part of a statistical survey.

Dated: September 11, 2026.

Michael Jerger,

Chief Financial Officer, Acting Director of Administration, National Mediation Board.

[FR Doc. 2026–18936 Filed 9–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Integrative Activities; Committee Reestablishment

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Committee management reestablishment.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is reestablishing the Advisory Committee for Integrative Activities.

DATES: NSF approves the reestablishment of this committee on 9/4/2026. Effective date for reestablishment is September 30, 2026. For more information, please contact Crystal Robinson, NSF, at (703) 292–8687.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Crystal Robinson, Committee Management Officer, NSF, at (703) 292–8687, or by mail to National Science Foundation, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NSF management officials having responsibility for the advisory committee listed below have determined that reestablishing this committee is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the Director, National Science Foundation (NSF), by 42 U.S.C. 1861 *et seq.* This determination follows consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

Committee

Advisory Committee for Integrative Activities, #1373

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.60(a), to establish, renew, reestablish, or merge a discretionary (agency discretion) advisory committee, an agency must

first consult with the General Services Administration's Committee Management Secretariat (the Secretariat) and, as part of the consultation, provide a written public interest determination approved by the head of the agency to the Secretariat with a copy to the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.35, an agency shall follow the same consultation process and document in writing the same determination of need before creating a subcommittee under a discretionary committee that is not made up entirely of members of a parent advisory committee. Information on the following factors for the committee is provided to the Secretariat to demonstrate that reestablishing the committee is in the public interest:

1. *Annual budget:* \$129,400.

a. *Federal personnel on a full-time equivalent (FTE) basis:* 0.4 FTE.

b. *Other Federal internal costs:*

\$46,000.

c. *Proposed payments to members:* \$38,400.

d. *Proposed number of members:* 24.

e. *Reimbursable costs:* \$45,000.

2. If applicable, the total dollar value of grants expected to be recommended during the fiscal year: N/A.

3. Criteria for selecting members to ensure the committee has the necessary expertise and fairly balance membership.

Membership is expected to consist of up to approximately 24 people appointed on an ad hoc basis as needed. The selection and number of these members will be determined by the subject matter to be considered by the committee. Members are selected to achieve a balance of viewpoints and expertise in scientific areas, sectors, geography, and types of organizations appropriate to the subject matter and encompassed by the Committee's charge. It is anticipated that membership will comprise of Special Government Employees (SGEs) and Regular Government Employees (RGEs)

4. List of all other Federal advisory committees of the agency.

84684 Advisory Committee for Technology, Innovation and Partnerships

1172 Alan T. Waterman Award Committee

13883 Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee

1173 Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering

1186 Proposal Review Panel for Astronomical Sciences

10751 Proposal Review Panel for Atmospheric and Geospace Sciences