

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority

44 U.S.C. 3507.

Paul M. Olin,

Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

[FR Doc. 2026-19650 Filed 9-24-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1523]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof (II); Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 11, 2026, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Netlist, Inc. of Irvine, California. A supplement to the complaint was filed on August 25, 2026. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory (DRAM) devices, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of the

infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,731 ("the '731 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 10,217,523 ("the '523 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 12,373,366 ("the '366 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 12,675,407 ("the '407 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 22, 2026, Ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-13 and 16-18 of the '731 patent; claims 1-15, 17, and 18 of the '523 patent; claims 1-14 and 16-28 of the '366 patent; and claims 1-20 of the '407 patent, and whether an industry in the

United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "certain DRAM devices (specifically, DDR5 generation DIMM), and products containing the same (such as servers, computing systems, and storage systems), and components thereof";

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) *The complainant is:* Netlist, Inc., 111 Academy Way, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92617.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Micron Technology, Inc., 8000 South Federal Way, Boise, ID 83716

Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., 8000 South Federal Way, Boise, ID 83716

Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co., 1701 E Mossy Oaks Road, Spring, TX 77389

Lenovo Group Ltd., Lincoln House, 23rd Floor, Taikoo Place, 979 King's Road, Quarry Bay, Hong Kong

Lenovo (United States) Inc., 8001 Development Drive, Morrisville, NC 27560

Super Micro Computer, Inc., 980 Rock Ave., San Jose, CA 95131

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Commission is interested in the development of a thorough record on domestic industry in this investigation to facilitate a holistic review of all

relevant considerations. Accordingly, the presiding administrative law judge may wish to consider what information will be necessary to make a determination with respect to complainant's domestic industry allegations under subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of section 337(a)(3) based on an industry that exists or is in the process of being established; including the extent to which these allegations rely on expenditures made by third parties; and the extent to which expenditures are made outside the United States related to the domestic industry article(s) by any entity.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 23, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-19697 Filed 9-24-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-647 and 731-TA-1517-1519 (Review)]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct Full Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on passenger vehicle and light truck tires from Vietnam and the antidumping duty orders on passenger vehicle and light truck tires from South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: September 4, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alec Resch (202-708-1448), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 4, 2026, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses from South

Korea, Thailand, and Vietnam to its notice of institution (91 FR 32439, June 1, 2026) were adequate, and determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on imports from South Korea, Thailand, and Vietnam. The Commission also found that the respondent interested party group response from Taiwan was inadequate but determined to conduct a full review of the order on imports from Taiwan in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of its determinations to conduct full reviews of the orders with respect to South Korea, Thailand, and Vietnam. A record of the Commissioners' votes will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 22, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-19628 Filed 9-24-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On September 21, 2026, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Steri-Tech, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:25-cv-01319.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Air Act. The United States' Complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the regulations that govern emission of ethylene oxide from Defendant's sterilization facility in Salinas, Puerto Rico. The Consent Decree requires the defendant to perform injunctive relief (compliance with a 99.99% removal efficiency standard for ethylene oxide) and pay a \$700,000 civil penalty.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Energy and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Steri-Tech, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12790. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date