

*Determination*, as amended by the *Amended Final Determination*. In *Stupp I*, CIT affirmed several aspects of Commerce's *Amended Final Determination* including Commerce's differential pricing analysis.<sup>5</sup> The CIT remanded to Commerce issues related Hyundai HYSCO twice, and the CIT ultimately sustained Commerce's second final results of redetermination.<sup>6</sup> On April 7, 2020, because Hyundai HYSCO's estimated weighted-average dumping margin changed as a result of the reclassification of certain of Hyundai HYSCO's local sales, Commerce issued a notice not in harmony with the *Amended Final Determination* in accordance with *Timken*,<sup>7</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*.<sup>8</sup> Upon appeal by SeAH, in *Stupp II* the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) affirmed each part of *Stupp I* except for Commerce's use of the Cohen's *d* test as part of the differential pricing analysis, which the Federal Circuit vacated and remanded to Commerce for further explanation of whether the application of the Cohen's *d* test is reasonable.<sup>9</sup> On October 8, 2021, the CIT remanded *Stupp II* to Commerce.

In its third final results of redetermination pursuant to *Stupp II*, Commerce explained how its application of the Cohen's *d* test as part of its differential pricing analysis is reasonable.<sup>10</sup> In *Stupp III*, the CIT affirmed Commerce's explanation.<sup>11</sup> Subsequently, on appeal, the Federal Circuit in *Stupp IV* held that Commerce failed to demonstrate that SeAH's U.S. pricing data satisfied certain statistical criteria identified by SeAH as necessary to permit a reasonable application of the Cohen's *d* test.<sup>12</sup> Thus, the Federal

Circuit directed Commerce to perform a differential pricing analysis consistent with the Federal Circuit's precedential opinion in *Marmen*.<sup>13</sup>

In its fourth final results of redetermination, issued in March 2026, Commerce discontinued the use of Cohen's *d* test as part of its differential pricing analysis, adopted the "price difference test" to determine whether prices differ significantly, and also discontinued use of the mixed method as an alternative comparison methodology.<sup>14</sup> The CIT has sustained Commerce's fourth final results of redetermination.<sup>15</sup>

#### Amended Final Determination and Amended Antidumping Duty Order

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Amended Final Determination* and its antidumping duty Order with respect to SeAH and all other producers and exporters. The revised estimated weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

| Exporter or producer | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| SeAH .....           | 4.55                                      |
| All Others .....     | 5.39                                      |

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

Because SeAH has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, this notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate for SeAH. However, because the rate for all other producers and exporters has changed, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection regarding the cash deposit requirements for all other producers and exporters. These cash deposit requirements will remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 24, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2026–19932 Filed 9–29–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–908, A–583–869, A–549–842]

#### Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on passenger vehicle and light truck tires (PVLTT) from the People's Republic of China (China), Taiwan, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable September 30, 2026.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–2371.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 19, 2021, Commerce published the *Orders* in the **Federal Register**.<sup>1</sup> On June 1, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of this first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.<sup>2</sup>

On June 10, 2026, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review for domestic interested party within the deadline specified in the 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> The domestic

<sup>1</sup> See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand*, 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021) (*Korea Order*, *Taiwan Order*, *Thailand Order*) (collectively, *Orders*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 91 FR 32376 (June 1, 2026).

<sup>3</sup> See Domestic Interested Party's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review

<sup>5</sup> See *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, 359 F. Supp. 3d 1293, 1297 (CIT January 8, 2019) (*Stupp I*).

<sup>6</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's reversal of its rejection of Maverick's supplemental case brief in *Stupp Corporation et al. v. United States*, 413 F. Supp. 3d 1326 (CIT October 21, 2019). The CIT remanded Commerce's decision regarding Hyundai HYSCO, which Commerce further explained and the CIT sustained in *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, 435 F. Supp. 3d 1307 (CIT March 24, 2020).

<sup>7</sup> See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>8</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

<sup>9</sup> See *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, 5 F.4th 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2021) (*Stupp II*).

<sup>10</sup> See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, Court No. 15 00334 (CIT October 8, 2021), dated April 4, 2022, available at <https://access.trade.gov/FinalRemandRedetermination>.

<sup>11</sup> See *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, 619 F.Supp.3d 1314, 1328 (CIT February 24, 2023) (*Stupp III*).

<sup>12</sup> See *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, No. 2023–1663, 2025 WL 1178392 (Fed. Cir. April 23, 2025) (*Stupp IV*), at \*2.

<sup>13</sup> See *Stupp IV*, No. 2023–1663, 2025 WL 1178392 at \*2 (*Marmen Inc. v. United States*, 134 F.4th 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2025) (*Marmen*)).

<sup>14</sup> See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, Court No. 15–00334 (CIT June 16, 2025), dated March 16, 2026, available at <https://access.trade.gov/FinalRemandRedetermination>.

<sup>15</sup> See *Stupp Corp. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 15–00334, Slip Op. 26–113 (CIT September 15, 2026).

interested party claimed the interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(D) of the Act as certified union representative of an industry engaged in the manufacture, production, or wholesale in the United States of PVL.T.<sup>4</sup> On June 22, 2026, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it had received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties.<sup>5</sup>

On June 30, 2026, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i), domestic interested parties filed a timely and adequate substantive response.<sup>6</sup> Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. On July 27, 2026, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive substantive response from any respondent interested parties.<sup>7</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Orders*.

### Scope of the Orders

The product covered by these *Orders* is PVL.T from China, Taiwan, and Thailand. For the full description of the scope of the *Orders*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.<sup>8</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Orders* and the

of the Antidumping Duty Order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Korea,” dated June 10, 2026; “Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan,” dated June 10, 2026; and “Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand,” dated June 10, 2026

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on June 1, 2026,” dated June 22, 2026

<sup>6</sup> See Domestic Interested Party’s Letter, “Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Korea: Petitioner’s Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation,” dated June 30, 2026 (Substantive Response—Korea); “Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan: Petitioner’s Substantive Response,” dated June 30, 2026 (Substantive Response—Taiwan); and “Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand: Petitioner’s Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation,” dated June 30, 2026 (Substantive Response—Thailand).

<sup>7</sup> See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on June 1, 2026” dated July 27, 2026.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Orders* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup> A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

### Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Orders* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 27.05 percent for Korea, 101.84 percent for Taiwan, and 21.09 percent for Thailand.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: September 24, 2026.

**Scot Fullerton,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Orders*
- IV. History of the *Orders*

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

### V. Legal Framework

#### VI. Discussion of the Issues

1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail

#### VII. Final Results of Sunset Reviews

#### VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–19931 Filed 9–29–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648–XG044]

### Fall Meeting of the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of the advisory committee 2026 fall meeting

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) announces its annual fall meeting, to be held in person October 14–15, 2026.

**DATES:** The open session of the Committee meeting will be held on October 14, 2026, 8:45 a.m. to approximately 12:30 p.m. The remainder of the meeting will be closed to the public and is expected to end by 1:30 p.m. on October 15. All times are Eastern Daylight time. Interested members of the public may present their views during the public comment session on October 14, 2026, or submit written comments by October 7, 2026 (see **ADDRESSES**).

**ADDRESSES:** The Advisory Committee is holding this meeting at the DoubleTree by Hilton located at 8777 Georgia Ave, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Written comments should be sent via email to [bryan.keller@noaa.gov](mailto:bryan.keller@noaa.gov). Comments may also be sent via mail to Bryan Keller at NMFS, Office of International Affairs, Trade, and Commerce, 1315 East–West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bryan Keller, Office of International Affairs, Trade, and Commerce; email: [bryan.keller@noaa.gov](mailto:bryan.keller@noaa.gov); phone: 301–427–7725.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to ICCAT will meet October 14–15, 2026, first in an open session to consider information on the status of