

change and require that the proposed rule change be refiled in accordance with the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Act.⁷¹

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OGM-2026-001 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OGM-2026-001. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OGM-2026-001 and should be submitted on or before October 23, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷²

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-20194 Filed 10-1-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-106522; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2026-86]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend NYSE American Rule 903G

September 29, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that, on September 16, 2026, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 903G (Terms of Flexible Exchange ("FLEX") Options). The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 903G (Terms of FLEX Options) as it relates to FLEX Equity Options where the underlying security is an ETF that is eligible for cash settlement.

Specifically, the proposed amendments would: (i) permit newly FLEX-eligible ETFs that satisfy heightened eligibility thresholds of \$600 million average daily notional value and 5,616,000 shares ADV, based on the previous one-month period of trading statistics to be eligible for cash settlement as a contract term; (ii) establish tiered criteria governing the treatment of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options where the underlying ETF ceases to satisfy the requirements of Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii) at the time of the Exchange's bi-annual review; and (iii) eliminate the existing provision limiting cash settlement as a contract term to no more than 50 underlying ETFs.

This filing is based on substantially identical proposals by Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe"),⁴ Nasdaq ISE, LLC ("ISE")⁵ and Nasdaq PHLX Exchange ("PHLX").⁶

Background

Generally, FLEX Equity Options are settled by physical delivery of the underlying security,⁷ while all FLEX Index Options are settled in cash.⁸ In February 2020, however, the Exchange amended Rule 903G to permit cash settlement for up to 50 FLEX Equity Options with an underlying security that is an ETF meeting certain criteria: an average daily notional value of \$500 Million or more and a national average daily volume of 4,680,000 shares, measured over the prior six-month period. Where more than 50 ETFs qualify, the Exchange selects the 50 with the highest average daily volume.⁹

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 105929 (July 16, 2026), 91 FR 45856 (July 21, 2026) (SR-Cboe-2026-035) (Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified and Superseded by Amendment No. 1, To Amend Rule 4.21 (Series of FLEX Options) ("Cboe Approval").

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 106015 (July 30, 2026), 91 FR 49470 (August 4, 2026) (SR-ISE-2026-44) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend FLEX Options Listing Rules) ("ISE Notice").

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 106016 (July 30, 2026), 91 FR 49476 (August 4, 2026) (SR-PHLX-2026-49) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend FLEX Electronic Options Listing Rules) ("PHLX Notice").

⁷ See Rule 903G(c)(3)(i).

⁸ See Rule 903G(b)(2) and (3). Similarly, pursuant to Exchange rules, Binary Return Derivatives ("ByRDs") are also settled in cash (See Rule 900ByRDS(b)) and, as discussed below, cash settlement is also permitted in the over-the-counter ("OTC") market.

⁹ See Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii). See also Securities Exchange Release No. 88131 (February 5, 2020), 85 FR 7806 (February 11, 2020) (SR-NYSEAMER-2019-38) (Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Allow Certain Flexible Equity Options To Be Cash Settled).

⁷¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

⁷² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(73).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange adopted these specific thresholds to limit cash-settled FLEX ETF Options to the most highly liquid and actively-traded ETFs, thereby mitigating concerns about susceptibility to manipulation at settlement. With respect to the notional value threshold, the Exchange determined that average daily notional value is an appropriate proxy for selecting underlying securities that are not readily susceptible to manipulation for purposes of establishing a settlement price. The Exchange noted that average daily notional value takes into account both the trading activity and the price of an underlying security. As a general matter, the more expensive an underlying security's price, the less cost-effective manipulation could become. Further, manipulation of the price of a security encounters greater difficulty the more volume that is traded.

With respect to the ADV threshold, the Exchange determined that a requirement of 4,680,000 shares per day is appropriate because it represents average trading in the underlying ETF of approximately 200 shares per second, a level of continuous trading activity that the Exchange believes meaningfully limits the ability to influence the ETF's price for purposes of establishing a settlement value. The Exchange acknowledged that no security is immune from all manipulation, but determined that the combination of these two requirements would appropriately limit cash settlement of FLEX ETF Options to underlying securities that are less susceptible to manipulation.

Under this framework, the Exchange conducts a bi-annual review on January 1 and July 1 of each year to identify qualifying ETFs. The rule caps the number of eligible underlying ETFs at 50; if more than 50 ETFs satisfy the criteria, the Exchange selects the top 50 by highest ADV. This cap was designed to prevent the scope of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options from expanding considerably without a corresponding evaluation of whether the level of the requirements remains reasonable, while still providing flexibility to add ETFs given that the initial list of eligible ETFs numbered well below 50 at the time of adoption. In the event a previously eligible ETF fails to satisfy the criteria at the time of a bi-annual review, any new positions overlying that ETF must be physically settled and any existing open cash-settled positions may be traded only to close. This provision was designed to address how to wind down outstanding cash-settled positions in an ETF that no longer qualifies under the liquidity and volume criteria, thereby

addressing manipulation concerns while still permitting market participants to exit existing positions.

In connection with the adoption of this framework, the Exchange committed to conducting a five-year review of cash-settled FLEX ETF Option trading activity and furnishing the Commission with five annual reports. Pursuant to this commitment, the Exchange has submitted two annual monitoring reports to the Commission covering the two years of trading. The report assessed trading volume and open interest in cash-settled FLEX ETF options relative to physically settled options on the same underlying ETFs, market maker participation, the liquidity of the market for such options products and the underlying ETF, and any manipulation concerns arising in connection with the trading of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options under the proposed rule. The reports also discuss any recommendations the Exchange may have for enhancements to the listing standards based on its review. The Exchange had no recommendations for enhancements to the listing standards based on its reviews. The Exchange had no open investigation, inquiry, or enforcement matter relating to the manipulation of cash-settled FLEX ETF options or their underlying ETFs.

As discussed in the Cboe Approval, Cboe noted that it submitted two annual monitoring reports to the Commission covering the periods of August 1, 2023 through July 31, 2024 and August 1, 2024 through July 31, 2025, respectively.¹⁰ The reports assessed trading volume and open interest in cash-settled FLEX ETF options relative to physically settled options on the same underlying ETFs, market maker participation, position limit activity, and manipulation concerns.¹¹ Cboe had no recommendations for enhancements to the listing standards based on either review.¹² Also, Cboe noted that in both review periods, neither Cboe nor any affiliated Cboe securities exchange had an open investigation, inquiry, or enforcement matter relating to the manipulation of cash-settled FLEX ETF options or their underlying ETFs.¹³ Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), acting as Cboe's regulatory services provider for position limit surveillance, confirmed the same finding for both periods.¹⁴ While both of Cboe's reports note that certain

regulatory matters arose during each period involving the applicable ETFs or related physically-settled options, Cboe's surveillance and investigatory staff confirmed in each instance that the activity did not appear to relate to manipulation of an ETF for the purpose of benefiting a cash-settled FLEX ETF option position.¹⁵

The review period reflects broad and stable market maker participation across the eligible underlying ETFs. Cboe stated that the highest levels of FLEX market maker participation were observed in SPY (9 to 12 per month), QQQ (6 to 10 per month), and IWM (3 to 15 per month).¹⁶ Cboe noted that participation in less actively traded eligible ETFs was more limited but consistent across both periods, reflecting a well-supported and liquid product across the eligible universe.¹⁷

Proposal

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii) to refine two aspects of the framework governing cash-settled FLEX ETF Options and to eliminate the 50-ETF cap. First, the Exchange proposes to permit newly FLEX-eligible ETFs to qualify for cash settlement as a contract term based on one month of trading statistics outside of the Exchange's regular bi-annual review cycle.¹⁸ Second, the Exchange proposes to replace the existing provision governing ETFs that cease to satisfy the eligibility criteria with a tiered framework that more precisely calibrates treatment to the actual state of open interest in cash-settled FLEX ETF Options overlying the affected ETF.¹⁹ Third, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the existing cap limiting cash settlement as a contract term to no more than 50 underlying ETFs.

One-Month Lookback for Newly Eligible ETFs

Under the current rule, the Exchange determines eligible underlying ETFs bi-annually, on January 1 and July 1 of each year, using six months of prior trading statistics, with newly eligible ETFs permitted to list cash-settled FLEX options beginning on February 1 and August 1, respectively. No mechanism currently exists to add newly FLEX-eligible ETFs to the eligible list between bi-annual reviews. As a result, an ETF that becomes FLEX-eligible after a bi-annual review has been conducted may not be considered for cash-settled FLEX

¹⁰ See Cboe Approval, *supra* note 4.

¹¹ See *Id.*

¹² See *Id.*

¹³ See *Id.*

¹⁴ See *Id.*

¹⁵ See *Id.*

¹⁶ See *Id.*

¹⁷ See *Id.*

¹⁸ See proposed Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii)(A).

¹⁹ See proposed Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii)(B).

ETF Option eligibility for up to six months, even if it otherwise satisfies the notional value and ADV requirements of Rule(c)(3)(ii).²⁰

The Exchange proposes to address this gap by permitting, outside of the regular bi-annual review, the Exchange to determine that a newly FLEX-eligible ETF satisfies heightened notional value and trading volume requirements of \$600 million average daily notional value and 5,616,000 shares ADV based on the previous one-month period of trading statistics. Any ETF satisfying such requirements on that basis shall be eligible for cash settlement as a contract term.

The Exchange believes a one-month lookback is appropriate in this context because an ETF that has newly become FLEX-eligible and simultaneously satisfies both the \$600 million average daily notional value threshold and the 5,616,000-share ADV requirement over the prior month has already demonstrated the degree of liquidity and trading activity that the eligibility criteria are designed to capture. These thresholds represent a 20% increase over the standard \$500 million and 4,680,000-share thresholds that apply to the bi-annual review, reflecting the Exchange's determination that heightened criteria are appropriate for ETFs seeking to qualify based on a shorter lookback period. The Exchange believes Cboe's and its annual monitoring reports demonstrate that the existing criteria are an effective proxy for identifying ETFs that are not readily susceptible to manipulation. Requiring newly eligible ETFs to await the next bi-annual review before becoming eligible for cash settlement would delay investor access to the product.

Cboe's back-testing analysis of newly listed FLEX ETFs supports the appropriateness of the heightened thresholds.²¹ Under the standard eligibility criteria (\$500 million average daily notional value and 4,680,000 shares ADV), ten ETFs would have qualified based on one month of trading data. Under the 20% increased thresholds (\$600 million average daily notional value and 5,616,000 shares ADV), only eight ETFs qualified. Cboe noted that the two ETFs excluded by the heightened thresholds did not qualify at their next six-month bi-annual review, demonstrating that the heightened thresholds effectively filter out ETFs

whose initial trading activity may not be sustained.

The Exchange believes the heightened thresholds for the one-month lookback appropriately address the concern that a newly listed ETF may experience elevated trading activity in its initial period that is not representative of sustained liquidity. By requiring newly eligible ETFs to satisfy thresholds that are 20% above the standard bi-annual review criteria, the Exchange ensures that only those ETFs demonstrating a meaningfully higher level of liquidity and trading activity—beyond what may reflect initial market interest—are eligible for cash settlement based on the shorter lookback period.

Further, the Exchange represents that it will continue to monitor the one-month lookback criteria on an ongoing basis to assess the appropriateness of the threshold. As part of this ongoing monitoring, the Exchange will evaluate whether the heightened criteria continue to effectively identify ETFs with sustained liquidity and trading activity, and will propose adjustments to the threshold if warranted by the Exchange's review.

Tiered Criteria for ETFs Ceasing To Satisfy Eligibility Requirements

Under the current rule, if the Exchange determines at the time of a bi-annual review that an underlying ETF ceases to satisfy the eligibility criteria, any new position overlying that ETF must be physically settled and any existing open cash-settled positions may be traded only to close. While this provision addresses the wind-down of cash-settled activity in a straightforward manner, it does not distinguish between ETFs with active open interest and those with no meaningful cash-settled activity, nor does it account for the possibility that an ETF may temporarily fall below the eligibility thresholds and subsequently recover.

The Exchange proposes to replace this provision with a tiered framework that more precisely calibrates the treatment of an ineligible ETF to the actual state of the market for cash-settled FLEX ETF Options overlying that ETF. Under the proposed framework, if no open interest in cash-settled FLEX Equity Options overlying the ETF exists during the previous six-month period at the time of the bi-annual review determination, the existing treatment will apply: any new position must be physically settled and any open cash-settled positions may be traded only to close. Where open interest in cash-settled FLEX Equity Options overlying the ETF does exist during the previous six-month period, the Exchange will permit the opening of

new cash-settled positions in that ETF for a period of one year from the date of the bi-annual review, after which any new position must be physically settled and any remaining open cash-settled positions may be traded only to close. This one-year continuation period is intended to provide market participants holding or seeking to manage existing cash-settled positions with a reasonable and predictable runway to do so, rather than abruptly restricting new position activity at the time of the bi-annual review determination.

The proposed framework also includes a recovery provision: if the underlying ETF satisfies the eligibility criteria at the time of either bi-annual review conducted during the one-year continuation period, that period will terminate and the ETF will resume full eligibility for cash settlement as a contract term. The Exchange believes this provision appropriately accounts for the possibility that an ETF's trading statistics may fluctuate around the eligibility thresholds and prevents an unnecessarily disruptive wind-down in cases where the ETF promptly returns to eligibility.

Elimination of the 50-ETF Cap

The Exchange also proposes to eliminate the existing provision limiting cash settlement as a contract term to no more than 50 underlying ETFs. The cap was adopted at the outset of the program to prevent the scope of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options from expanding considerably without a corresponding evaluation of whether the level of the eligibility requirements remained reasonable. While the number of ETFs satisfying the eligibility criteria remained well below 50 during the initial period of the program's operation, over the two-year period that Cboe monitored the market, the number of qualifying ETFs has grown to exceed that threshold (as of February 1, 2026, 60 ETFs were eligible), such that the cap now operates as an active constraint on the availability of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options on ETFs that otherwise satisfy the established eligibility criteria. The Exchange does not believe this result is consistent with the purpose of the cap, which was intended as a programmatic guardrail rather than a permanent numerical ceiling. As noted above, neither the Exchange nor Cboe identified manipulation concerns in their reviews. Given the same eligibility criteria and position and exercise limits would apply to any cash-settled FLEX ETF option, as would the Exchange's surveillance program, the Exchange believes the 50-ETF cap is no longer necessary. The Exchange believes these

²⁰ See proposed Rule 903G(c)(3)(ii)(A).

²¹ For purposes of the back-testing analysis, Cboe reviewed all newly listed FLEX-eligible ETFs from January 1, 2025 through April 6, 2026.

protections and the eligibility criteria themselves sufficiently mitigate any manipulation concerns associated with cash-settled FLEX ETF Options.

The Exchange also notes that, consistent with its commitment in the original proposal, it will continue to furnish the Commission with annual reports for the remainder of the five-year review period. The Exchange believes that the continued reporting commitment, together with the proposed amendments, appropriately positions the cash-settled FLEX ETF Option framework to address the operational gaps identified through the Exchange's review to date while preserving the monitoring mechanisms that allow the Exchange and the Commission to evaluate the ongoing impact of the program.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,²² in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²³ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)²⁴ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

One-Month Lookback for Newly Eligible ETFs

The Exchange believes the proposed one-month lookback for newly FLEX-eligible ETFs is consistent with the Act because it removes an impediment to the offering of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options on ETFs that have already demonstrated the liquidity and trading activity that the eligibility criteria are designed to capture, without compromising the manipulation-resistant features of those criteria. Under the current rule, an ETF that becomes FLEX-eligible after a bi-annual review has been conducted must wait up to six months before it may be considered for cash-settled FLEX ETF Option eligibility, even if it satisfies both the

\$600 million average daily notional value threshold and the 5,616,000-share ADV requirement at the time it becomes FLEX-eligible. The Exchange believes this gap is not necessary to protect against manipulation.

An ETF that satisfies both heightened thresholds over the prior one-month period has demonstrated a degree of liquidity and breadth of trading activity exceeding that which the six-month bi-annual review is designed to identify as indicative of reduced susceptibility to manipulation. The heightened thresholds, which represent a 20% increase over the standard \$500 million and 4,680,000-share thresholds applicable to the bi-annual review, are designed to account for the possibility that a newly listed ETF may experience elevated trading activity in its initial period that is not representative of sustained liquidity. As noted above, Cboe's and the Exchange's annual monitoring reports have demonstrated the existing eligibility criteria are an effective and reliable proxy for identifying ETFs that are not readily susceptible to manipulation, and neither Cboe nor the Exchange has identified any manipulation concerns in connection with cash-settled FLEX ETF Options or their underlying ETFs during that period. The Exchange therefore believes that permitting a one-month lookback for newly FLEX-eligible ETFs between bi-annual reviews, subject to the heightened thresholds, removes an impediment to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market and protects investors and the public interest by providing timely investor access to a cash-settlement alternative on ETFs that satisfy the established eligibility criteria, while maintaining the protections afforded by those criteria.

Cboe's back-testing analysis further supports the consistency of the heightened thresholds with the Act's anti-manipulation objectives. Under the standard criteria, ten newly listed FLEX ETFs would have qualified based on one month of trading data, but under the 20% increased thresholds only 8 qualified. The two names excluded (AMDL and SIVR) did not qualify at their next six-month bi-annual review, confirming that the heightened thresholds effectively identify and exclude ETFs whose initial trading statistics do not reflect sustained market activity. The Exchange believes this empirical evidence demonstrates that the heightened thresholds are reasonably designed to prevent ETFs with potentially transient liquidity from qualifying for cash settlement based on the shorter lookback period.

Tiered Criteria for ETFs Ceasing To Satisfy Eligibility Requirements

The Exchange believes the proposed tiered framework for ETFs that cease to satisfy the eligibility criteria at the time of a bi-annual review is consistent with the Act because it is reasonably designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices while also promoting just and equitable principles of trade and protecting investors. The current rule applies a single, uniform wind-down treatment to any ETF that falls below the eligibility thresholds at bi-annual review, regardless of whether active open interest in cash-settled FLEX ETF Options overlying that ETF exists. The Exchange believes this one-size-fits-all approach does not adequately account for the legitimate interests of market participants that hold existing cash-settled positions or that need the ability to open new positions to manage existing risk exposure in an ETF that has temporarily fallen below the thresholds.

The proposed tiered framework addresses this concern in a manner consistent with the Act's investor protection and anti-manipulation objectives. Where no open interest in cash-settled FLEX ETF Options overlying the affected ETF has existed during the previous six-month period, the current treatment would continue to apply, because the Exchange believes an immediate restriction on new cash-settled positions would not disrupt market participants' activity. Where open interest does exist at the time of the bi-annual review, the proposed one-year continuation period provides market participants with a reasonable and predictable runway to manage existing positions, which the Exchange believes promotes just and equitable principles of trade. The Exchange further believes that the recovery provision, under which the continuation period terminates and full eligibility is restored if the ETF satisfies the criteria at either bi-annual review during the one-year period, is consistent with the Act because it prevents an unnecessarily disruptive wind-down where an ETF's trading statistics temporarily dip below the eligibility thresholds and then recover, and it reinforces the principle that the eligibility criteria, rather than arbitrary timing, are the appropriate determinant of cash-settlement eligibility. Taken together, the Exchange believes the tiered framework is a reasonable means to address manipulation concerns while not unduly burdening market participants with existing cash-settled

²² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

positions, as it eliminates the current immediate disruption to their investment strategies.

Elimination of the 50-ETF Cap

The Exchange believes the elimination of the 50-ETF cap is consistent with the Act because the cap is no longer necessary to protect against the concerns it was designed to address and, as currently operative, functions as an impediment to the offering of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options on ETFs that otherwise satisfy the established eligibility criteria. The cap was adopted at the outset of the program to prevent the scope of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options from expanding considerably without a corresponding evaluation of whether the level of the eligibility requirements remained reasonable. While the number of qualifying ETFs remained well below 50 during the initial period of the program's operation, that number has more recently grown to exceed the cap, such that the cap now actively restricts the availability of cash-settled FLEX ETF Options on ETFs that fully satisfy the notional value and ADV requirements of Rule 903G. The Exchange believes that retaining this arbitrary restriction is inconsistent with the Act's objectives because Cboe's two-year monitoring record demonstrates that the eligibility criteria themselves limit the availability of cash settlement to FLEX ETF Options. The liquidity and trading activity requirements mitigate manipulation concerns for any ETF that satisfies those requirements, not just the top 50.

During the first two years that cash-settled FLEX ETF Options were available on Cboe, it identified (and its annual monitoring reports demonstrated) no manipulation concerns in connection with cash-settled FLEX ETF Options or their underlying ETFs. The Exchange did not identify any manipulation in its two years of monitoring. The Exchange believes the eligibility criteria, position and exercise limits, and surveillance program applicable to the 50 ETFs eligible for FLEX options with cash-settlement provide adequate protections against manipulation and market disruption to all ETFs that satisfy the criteria, regardless of the number of qualifying ETFs. The Exchange therefore believes that eliminating the cap removes an impediment to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market, protects investors and the public interest, and is otherwise consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In this regard and as indicated above, the Exchange notes that the rule change is substantially similar in all material respects to proposals submitted by Cboe, ISE and PHLX.²⁵

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on inter-market competition as the proposal is not competitive in nature. The Exchange expects that all option exchanges have or will adopt substantively similar proposals, such that the Exchange's proposal would benefit competition. For these reasons, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange's proposal does not burden intra-market competition because all Members and Member Organizations would be subject to the proposed changes to Rule 903G. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will also provide additional opportunities for market participants to continue to efficiently achieve their investment and trading objectives for equity options on the Exchange.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act²⁶ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.²⁷ Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become

²⁵ Cboe Approval Order, ISE Notice and PHLX Notice, *supra* notes 4-6.

²⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

²⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)²⁸ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),²⁹ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. Waiver of the 30-day operative delay would allow the Exchange to immediately align its rules with the current rules of Cboe, ISE and PHLX. The Commission believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)³⁰ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-

²⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

²⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

³⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

NYSEAMER–2026–86 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSEAMER–2026–86. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSEAMER–2026–86 and should be submitted on or before October 23, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³¹

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–20195 Filed 10–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[OMB Control No. 3235–0648]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension: Rule 498

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for extension and approval.

Rule 498 (17 CFR 230.498) under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*) (“Securities Act”) permits open-end management investment companies (“funds”) to satisfy their prospectus delivery obligations under the Securities Act by sending or giving key information directly to investors in the form of a summary prospectus (“Summary Prospectus”) and providing the statutory prospectus on a website. Upon an investor's request, funds are also required to send the statutory prospectus to the investor. In addition, under rule 498, a fund that relies on the rule to meet its statutory prospectus delivery obligations must make available, free of charge, the fund's current Summary Prospectus, statutory prospectus, statement of additional information, and most recent annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders at the website address specified in the required Summary Prospectus legend (17 CFR 270.498(e)(1)). A Summary Prospectus that complies with rule 498 is deemed to be a prospectus that is authorized under Section 10(b) of the Securities Act and Section 24(g) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–1 *et seq.*).

The purpose of rule 498 is to enable a fund to provide investors with a Summary Prospectus containing key information necessary to evaluate an investment in the fund. Unlike many other federal information collections, which are primarily for the use and benefit of the collecting agency, this information collection is primarily for the use and benefit of investors. The information filed with the Commission also permits the verification of compliance with securities law requirements and assures the public availability and dissemination of the information.

Based on an analysis of fund filings, the Commission estimates that approximately 11,699 funds are using a Summary Prospectus. The Commission estimates that the annual hourly burden per fund associated with the compilation of the information required on the cover page or the beginning of the Summary Prospectus is 0.5 hours, and estimates that the annual hourly burden per fund to comply with the website posting requirement is approximately 1 hour, requiring a total of 1.5 hours per fund per year.¹ Thus the total annual hour burden associated with these requirements of the rule is approximately 17,549 hours.² The

¹ 0.5 hours per fund + 1 hour per fund = 1.5 hours per fund.

² 1.5 hours per fund × 11,699 funds = 17,549 hours.

Commission estimates that the annual cost burden is approximately \$23,380 per fund, for a total annual cost burden of approximately \$273,522,620.³

Estimates of average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. Under rule 498, use of the Summary Prospectus is voluntary, but the rule's requirements regarding provision of the statutory prospectus upon investor request are mandatory for funds that elect to send or give a Summary Prospectus in reliance upon rule 498. The information provided under rule 498 will not be kept confidential.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the SEC, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the SEC's estimate of the burden imposed by the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and the assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated, electronic collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please direct your written comments on this 60-Day Collection Notice to Austin Gerig, Director/Chief Data Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Tanya Ruttenberg via email to PaperworkReductionAct@sec.gov by December 1, 2026.

Dated: September 30, 2026.

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–20280 Filed 10–1–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

³ \$23,380 per fund × 11,699 funds = \$273,522,620.

³¹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).