

sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.¹⁹ To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Colakoglu's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²⁰

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Colakoglu for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate calculated in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²¹

For Ekinciler, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the "Rate for Non-Individually Examined Company" section, above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²²

For the companies listed in Appendix II for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

²¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

²² See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 3.90 percent, the all-others rate established in the amended final determination of the LTFV investigation.²³ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

²³ See *Order*.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 29, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Recommendation

Appendix II

1. Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S.
2. Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim A.S.
3. Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S.

[FR Doc. 2026–20294 Filed 10–2–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–020]

Melamine From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on melamine from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable October 5, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Saba, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5849.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 28, 2015, Commerce published the *Order* in the **Federal**

Register.¹ On June 1, 2026, Commerce published the *Notice of Initiation* of this second sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On June 12, 2026, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested party³ within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).⁴ The domestic interested party claimed the interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic like product in the United States.⁵ On June 22, 2026, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it had received a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party.⁶

On July 1, 2026, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i), the domestic interested party filed a timely and adequate substantive response.⁷ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. On July 27, 2026, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties.⁸ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this *Order* is melamine from China. For the full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to

prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.¹⁰ A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System, which is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 363.31 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: September 29, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping

¹⁰ *Id.*

2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail
 VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
 VIII. Recommendation
 FR Doc. 2026–20383 Filed 10–2–26; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–427–831, A–588–879, A–469–822]

Methionine From France, Japan, and Spain: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on methionine from France, Japan, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Reviews” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable October 5, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Davison, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1015.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 13, 2021, Commerce published the *France Order*,¹ and on September 14, 2021, Commerce published the *Japan and Spain Orders*, in the *Federal Register*. On June 1, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).²

On June 15, 2026, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from Novus International within the deadline specified in the 19 CFR

351.218(d)(1)(i).³ Novus International

¹ See *Methionine from France: Antidumping Duty Order* 86 FR 36705 (July 13, 2021 (*France Order*)); see also *Methionine from Japan and Spain: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 51119 (September 14, 2021) (*Japan and Spain Orders*) (collectively, *Orders*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 91 FR 32376 (June 1, 2026).

³ See Novus International's Letter, “Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Methionine from France,” dated June 15, 2026; see also Novus

Continued

¹ See *Melamine from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 80 FR 80751 (December 28, 2015) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 91 FR 32376 (June 1, 2026) (*Notice of Initiation*).

³ The domestic interested party is Cornerstone Chemical Company (Cornerstone).

⁴ See Cornerstone's Letter, “Domestic Interested Party Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated June 12, 2026.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See Commerce's Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on June 1, 2026,” dated June 22, 2026.

⁷ See Cornerstone's Letter, “Domestic Interested Party Substantive Response,” dated July 1, 2026 (Substantive Response).

⁸ See Commerce's Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on June 1, 2026,” dated July 27, 2026.

⁹ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Melamine from the People's Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).