

steel shelving in which the vertical and horizontal supports forming the frame are assembled primarily without the use of nuts and bolts or screws. The vertical and horizontal support members for boltless steel shelving are assembled by methods such as, but not limited to, fitting a rivet, punched or cut tab or other similar connector on one support into a hole, slot or similar receptacle on another support. The supports lock together to form the frame for the shelving unit and provide the structural integrity of the shelving unit separate from the inclusion of any decking. The incidental use of nuts and bolts or screws to add accessories, wall anchors, tie-bars or shelf supports does not remove the product from scope. Boltless steel shelving units may also come packaged as partially assembled, such as when two upright supports are welded together with front-to-back supports, or are otherwise connected, to form an end unit for the frame. The boltless steel shelving covered by the *Orders* may be commonly described as rivet shelving, welded frame shelving, slot and tab shelving, and punched rivet (quasi-rivet) shelving as well as by other trade names. The term “deck” refers to the shelf that sits on or fits into the horizontal supports (beams or braces) to provide the horizontal storage surface of the shelving unit.

The scope includes all boltless steel shelving meeting the description above, regardless of: (1) vertical support or post type (including but not limited to open post, closed post and tubing); (2) horizontal support or beam/brace profile (including but not limited to Z-beam, C-beam, L-beam, step beam and cargo rack); (3) number of supports; (4) surface coating (including but not limited to paint, epoxy, powder coating, zinc and other metallic coating); (5) number of levels; (6) weight capacity; (7) shape (including but not limited to rectangular, square, and corner units); (8) decking material (including but not limited to wire decking, particle board, laminated board or no deck at all); or (9) the boltless method by which vertical and horizontal supports connect (including but not limited to keyhole and rivet, slot and tab, welded frame, punched rivet and clip).

Specifically excluded from the scope are:

- wall-mounted shelving, defined as shelving that is hung on the wall and does not stand on, or transfer load to, the floor;
- wire shelving units, which consist of shelves made from wire that incorporates both a wire deck and wire horizontal supports (taking the place of the horizontal beams and braces) into a

single piece with tubular collars that slide over the posts and onto plastic sleeves snapped on the posts to create the finished shelving unit;

- bulk-packed parts or components of boltless steel shelving units; and
- made-to-order shelving systems.

Subject boltless steel shelving enters under the United States through Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical subheadings 9403.20.0018, 9403.20.0020, 9403.20.0025, and 9403.20.0026, but may also enter through HTSUS 9403.10.0040. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Orders* is dispositive.

Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by Commerce and the ITC that revocation of the *Orders* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, Commerce hereby orders the continuation of the *Orders*. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD and CVD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of the *Orders* will be September 29, 2026.⁶ Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2), Commerce intends to initiate the next five-year reviews of the *Orders* not later than 30 days prior to fifth anniversary of the date of the last determination by the ITC.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility of protecting the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

These five-year (sunset) reviews and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act and published in accordance with

⁶ *Id.*

section 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: October 8, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2026–20920 Filed 10–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–856]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from Taiwan are being sold in the United States at below normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable October 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Cohen or Anjali Mehindiratta, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4521 or (202) 482–9127, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 30, 2025, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the AD order¹ on CORE from Taiwan.² In July 2025, Commerce received timely requests to conduct an administrative review of entries of CORE from Taiwan during the POR from Prosperity Tieh Enterprise,

¹ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016) (Order).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 90 FR 27841 (June 30, 2025).

Co., Ltd. (Prosperity),³ and the petitioners.⁴ On August 14, 2025, we published a notice initiating an AD administrative review of CORE from Taiwan with respect to nine firms.⁵

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.⁶ Further, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.⁷ Additionally, on May 20, 2026, and September 24, 2026, Commerce extended the preliminary signature date by a total of 120 days.⁸ Accordingly, the deadline for these preliminary results is now October 7, 2026.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

³ See Prosperity's Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2025.

⁴ The petitioners are Cleveland-Cliffs Inc., Steel Dynamics Inc. (SDI), Nucor Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation, manufacturers of the domestic like product in the United States. See Petitioners' Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2025 (Petitioners' Review Request).

⁵ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 90 FR 41043 (August 22, 2025) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁶ See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated November 14, 2025.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Tolling of all Case Deadlines," dated November 24, 2025.

⁸ See Memoranda, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 20, 2026; and "Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated September 24, 2026.

⁹ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order for Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan; 2024–2025," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are certain flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Review, In Part

As noted above, we initiated this review with respect to nine companies.¹⁰ During the course of the review, we selected two mandatory respondents: Prosperity and Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd.¹¹ There are seven companies upon which a review was requested and which were not selected for individual examination.¹²

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), Commerce will rescind an administrative review when there are no reviewable suspended entries. Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) entry data, certain companies identified in the *Initiation Notice* had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR.¹³ On August 28, 2025, Commerce released CBP data for U.S. imports of subject merchandise from Taiwan from the firms identified in the *Initiation Notice* during the POR, and provided notice to interested parties of Commerce's intent to rescind this instant review with respect to companies with no entries listed in the CBP data.¹⁴ Commerce received no comments on its intent to rescind this review with respect to the companies with no suspended entries listed in the CBP data. As a result, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to CSC, Chung Hung, Great

¹⁰ See *Initiation Notice*.

¹¹ See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated September 30, 2025.

¹² These companies are (1) China Steel Corporation (CSC), (2) Chung Hung Steel Corporation (Chung Hung), (3) Great Fortune Steel Co., Ltd. (Great Fortune), (4) Great Grandeul Steel Co., Ltd. (GG Steel Ltd.), (5) Great Grandeul Steel Company Limited (Samoa) (GG Samoa), (5) Great Grandeul Steel Corporation (GG Steel Corp.), (6) Xxentria Technology Materials Company Ltd. (Xxentria).

¹³ These companies are (1) CSC, (2) Chung Hung; (3) Great Fortune, (4) GG Steel Ltd, (5) GG Steel Corp, and (6) Xxentria. See Memorandum, "Release of Customs Data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection & Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part," dated August 28, 2025 (CBP Data Release Memorandum) at Attachment 1 and Attachment 2.

¹⁴ *Id.*

Fortune, GG Steel Ltd, GG Steel Corp, and Xxentria.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price was calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Company

There is one company, GG Samoa, for which a review was requested, which had reviewable entries, and which was not selected as a mandatory respondent. The Act does not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulation at 19 CFR 351.109(g) states that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act.

Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f), the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Where the weighted-average dumping margin for each of the individually examined companies is zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f)(2)(iii) provide that Commerce may use "any reasonable method" to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for the mandatory respondents, Prosperity and SYSCO, that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, we are preliminarily assigning a rate to GG Samoa, the sole non-individually-reviewed company

under review, based on the weighted-average of the estimated dumping margins calculated for Prosperity and SYSCO, weighted by the mandatory respondents' publicly ranged total sales values, consistent with the guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.¹⁵

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Prosperity Tieh Enterprises Co., Ltd.	3.54
Sheng Yu Steel Co.	20.73
Great Grandeul Steel Company Limited (Samoa)	5.92

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).¹⁶

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. As Commerce intends to solicit additional information in a supplemental questionnaire, a timeline for the submission of case briefs and written comments will be notified to interested parties at a later date. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the date for filing case briefs, once established.¹⁷

¹⁵ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company's publicly ranged U.S. sale quantities for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010). See also Memorandum, "Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for the Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in*

Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.¹⁸

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹⁹ Further, we request that interested parties limit their public executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).²⁰

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.²¹ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing at a time and location to be determined.²² Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing no fewer than two days before the scheduled date.

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal**

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁹ We use the term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

²⁰ See *APO and Service Procedures*.

²¹ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

²² See 19 CFR 351.310.

Register, pursuant to 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²³ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

If the respective weighted-average dumping margins are above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).²⁴ If the respondent has not reported entered values, we will calculate a per-unit assessment rate for each importer by dividing the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made to that importer by the total quantity associated with those sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondents for which they did not know that the

²³ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

²⁴ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

For the company which was not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at an *ad valorem* assessment rate equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin determined in the final results.

For the companies rescinded from review, antidumping duties shall be assessed at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of the final results of the administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each company listed above will be equal to the dumping margins established in the final results of this review, except if the ultimate rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rates will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 11.04 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Third Amended Final Determination*.²⁵ These cash deposit

²⁵ See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Third Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value Pursuant to Court Decision and Partial Exclusion*

requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: October 7, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Particular Market Situation
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–20897 Filed 10–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), Article 10.12; Binational Panel Review: Notice of Panel Decision

AGENCY: United States Section, USMCA Secretariat, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Panel Decision.

SUMMARY: On October 8, 2026, the Binational Panel issued its Decision on the Final Determination in the matter of Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 2017–2018 (Secretariat File Number: USA–CDA–2020–10.12–01).

from Antidumping Duty Order, 88 FR 58245, 58247 (August 25, 2023).

The Binational Panel affirmed in part and remanded in part the U.S. Department of Commerce's Determination.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vidya Desai, United States Secretary, USMCA Secretariat, Room 2061, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Article 10.12 of USMCA provides a dispute settlement mechanism involving trade remedy determinations issued by the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico. Following a Request for Panel Review, a Binational Panel is composed to provide judicial review of the trade remedy determination being challenged and then issue a binding Panel Decision. There are established *Rules of Procedure for Article 10.12 Binational Panel Reviews*, which were adopted by the three governments for panels requested pursuant to Article 10.12 of USMCA. The notice of this Binational Panel's Decision is being published pursuant to Rule 74. For the complete Rules, please see Rules of Procedure for Article 10.12 (Binational Panel Reviews).

Dated: October 8, 2026.

Vidya Desai,

United States Secretary, USMCA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2026–20894 Filed 10–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–557–816]

Certain Steel Nails From Malaysia: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to 40 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable October 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tyler Weinhold, AD/CVD Operations,